
WEEKEW MATHER AND CROP BULLETIN

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Weather Service U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE National Agricultural Statistics Service and World Agricultural Outlook Board



HIGHLIGHTS


April 27 - May 3, 2025


Highlights provided by USDA/WAOB

late-April deluge across the southern Plains led to extensive flooding from north-central Texas into northeastern Oklahoma. Those areas had also received several rounds of heavy rain earlier in the month, boosting April totals as high as 10 to 20 inches across much of the southeastern half of Oklahoma and portions of neighboring states. Substantial, late-month rain extended into other regions, including the mid-South and lower Midwest. Severe weather, while not widespread, was observed each day during the week, starting on the Plains (Continued on page 3)

Contents


| Highlights & Total Precipitation Map |
|---|
| April 29 Drought Monitor & U.S. Monthly Drought Outlook 5 |
| Growing Degree Day Maps |
| National Weather Data for Selected Cities 8 |
| National Agricultural Summary 11 |
| Crop Progress and Condition Tables12 |
| International Weather and Crop Summary17 |
| Bulletin Information & Soil Temperature Map28 |





(Continued from front cover)

and ending in the East. By week's end, rain was moving into drier areas of the middle and southern Atlantic States. Meanwhile. significant, generally beneficial rain fell early in the week across parts of the northern Plains and upper Midwest, followed by a return to mostly dry weather. Elsewhere, dry weather prevailed in the Far West, while rain and snow showers dotted the Rockies and Four Corners States. Southwestern showers curbed the threat of wildfires and provided a boost in topsoil moisture—but had little overall effect on long-term drought. Near- or slightly below-normal temperatures affected southern California and the Southwest, while general warmth developed or expanded across the Plains and Northwest. For the third week in a row, near- or above-normal temperatures dominated the South, East and lower Midwest, with weekly temperatures broadly averaging at least 5°F above normal from southern Texas into the Northeast.





Late-month warmth was initially focused across the **South**, where **Vicksburg**, **MS**, posted a pair of daily-record highs (89 and 90°F, respectively) on April 26 and 27. Elsewhere on the 27th, daily-record highs included 89°F in **Mobile**, **AL**, and 88°F in **Monticello**, **AR**. By the 28th, warmth continued across the **South** and spread into the **Midwest**. Record-setting highs for April 28 included 90°F in **Greenwood**, **MS**, and **Tuscaloosa**, **AL**, along with 88°F in **Quincy**, **IL**. April 29 featured several daily records in the **Great Lakes and Northeastern States**, with highs climbing to 87°F in **Syracuse**, **NY**, and 86°F in **Detroit**, **MI**. On the last day of April, record-setting warmth was limited to portions of the **Atlantic Coast States**, where highs included 90°F in **Raleigh-Durham**, **NC**, and 85°F on **Wallops Island**, **VA**. Late in the week, record-setting warmth briefly overspread the **interior Northwest**, where **Walla Walla**, **WA**, logged a high of 86°F on May 2.


Rain on the southern Plains, while initially beneficial for rangeland, pastures, winter wheat, and emerging summer crops, soon became excessive from north-central Texas into northeastern Oklahoma. On May 1, Beaver Creek near Waurika, OK, crested 7.65 feet above flood stage, topping the June 2007 high-water mark by 1.54 feet. Elsewhere in Oklahoma, Deep Red Creek near Randlett crested 9.14 feet above flood stage on April 30, second only to the flood (9.58 feet above flood stage) of May 29, 1987. By May 4, the Red River near Gainesville, TX, crested 13.39 feet above flood stage—the third-highest level on record at that gauge site, below only the floods of June 2015 and May 1987. An April rainfall record was broken in Tulsa, OK, where the 10.99-inch total clipped the 2017 standard of 10.44 inches. April rainfall records were also shattered in Oklahoma locations such as Lawton (13.92 inches; previously, 9.76 inches in 1915) and Oklahoma City (12.55 inches; previously, 11.91 inches in 1947). In neighboring Texas, the 10.35-inch monthly total in Wichita Falls bested the April 1957 mark of 8.50 inches. Fittingly, April ended with a final deluge on the 30th, when daily-record amounts reached 4.88 inches in Longview, TX; 4.53 inches in Shreveport, LA; and 2.47 inches in Lawton, OK. Earlier, mostly


beneficial precipitation had fallen from parts of Montana and Wyoming into the upper Great Lakes region. Record-setting precipitation totals for April 28 had reached 1.98 inches in Hibbing, MN, and 1.50 inches at Montana State University in Bozeman. Later, the focus for heavy showers shifted to other areas, including parts of the Midwest, South, and East. Madison, WI, netted a daily-record sum (1.80 inches) for May 1. Record-setting rainfall for May 2 totaled 4.32 inches in College Station, TX; 2.92 inches in Tuscaloosa, AL; and 2.85 inches in Shreveport, LA. Late in the week, precipitation developed across the West, extending as far south as the southern Great Basin and the Four Corners States. Las Vegas, NV, received rainfall totaling 0.26 inch on May 3-4, an unusual amount for a month with a normal value of 0.07 inch.


Chilly weather lingered across northern Alaska, while near- or above-normal temperatures covered the remainder of the state. Meanwhile, much of southern and eastern Alaska received widespread precipitation, capping a wet April. In fact, King Salmon experienced its wettest April on record, with the 3.17-inch total (305 percent of normal) topping the 1963 standard of 2.99 inches. April precipitation was also more than three times the normal value in Anchorage (1.48 inches). In southeastern Alaska, April precipitation totaled 18.43 inches (232 percent of normal) in Yakutat and 12.44 inches (121 percent) in Ketchikan. May opened with a very wet day in Ketchikan, as the daily-record rainfall totaled 4.33 inches. Farther south, Hawaiian warmth accompanied scattered to widespread showers. Honolulu, Oahu, notched consecutive daily-record highs—89 and 88°F, respectively—on April 30 and May 1. Kahului, Maui, also collected a record high for May 1, with a reading of 89°F. Despite the warmth, frequent showers further eased short-term drought. At the state's major airport observation sites, April rainfall ranged from 0.81 inch (105 percent of normal) in **Honolulu** to 3.34 inches (165 percent) in Lihue, Kauai. Hilo, one of the drier spots, also received an April sum of 3.34 inches (36 percent of normal), with more than one-third (1.18 inches) of that total occurring on the last 2 days of the month.




















Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin National Weather Data for Selected Cities

Weather Data for the Week Ending May 3, 2025
Accessible Data Available from the Climate Prediction Center

| | | | | | | | | unabic | , 110111 | | | | on Cen | | REL | ATIVE | NUN | /IBER | OF D | AYS |
|----|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | STATES | 1 | ГЕМР | PERA | TUR | E ° | F | | | PRE | CIPITA | ATION | l | | | IDITY CENT | TEM | IP. °F | PRE | ECIP |
| | AND | | | | | | 7 | | 7 | > | | -1- | | | | | E | > | | |
| Ş | STATIONS | AVERAGE MAXIMUM | AVERAGE MINIMUM | EXTREME HIGH | EXTREME LOW | AVERAGE | DEPARTURE FROM NORMAL | WEEKLY TOTAL, IN. | DEPARTURE FROM NORMAL | GREATEST IN 24-HOUR, IN. | TOTAL, IN., SINCE MAR 1 | PCT. NORMAL SINCE MAR 1 | TOTAL, IN., SINCE JAN 1 | PCT. NORMAL SINCE JAN 1 | AVERAGE MAXIMUM | AVERAGE MINIMUM | 90 AND ABOVE | 32 AND BELOW | .01 INCH OR MORE | .50 INCH OR MORE |
| AK | ANCHORAGE BARROW | 49 13 | 38 4 | 51 18 | 36 3 | 44 9 | 0 | 0.62 0.00 | 0.51 -0.04 | 0.23 0.00 | 3.04 0.00 | 260 0 | 5.30 0.00 | 190 0 | 91 87 | 57 76 | 0 | 0 7 | 5 0 | 0 |
| | FAIRBANKS | 56 | 35 | 61 | 31 | 46 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 1.63 | 208 | 3.57 | 186 | 75 | 36 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | JUNEAU | 50 | 41 | 54 | 34 | 45 | 0 | 2.20 | 1.34 | 0.91 | 12.03 | 160 | 22.68 | 127 | 94 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| | KODIAK NOME | 47 40 | 37 33 | 51 43 | 32 29 | 42 37 | -1 5 | 1.12 0.09 | -0.24 -0.08 | 0.34 0.07 | 12.39 1.76 | 107 113 | 34.55 5.68 | 132 163 | 97 88 | 72 67 | 0 | 1 | 5 2 | 0 |
| AL | BIRMINGHAM | 82 | 63 | 85 | 55 | 72 | 5 | 2.83 | 1.71 | 1.74 | 13.84 | 123 | 19.98 | 94 | 93 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| | HUNTSVILLE | 82 | 62 | 88 | 56 | 72 | 5 | 3.65 | 2.48 | 2.07 | 13.26 | 123 | 23.17 | 111 | 91 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| | MOBILE | 85 | 64 | 89 | 62 | 75 | 4 | 1.57 | 0.37 | 1.30 | 15.29 | 131 | 22.09 | 101 | 98 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| AR | MONTGOMERY FORT SMITH | 84 78 | 62 62 | 87 83 | 56 51 | 73 70 | 4 | 1.17 2.56 | 0.35 1.25 | 1.02 1.27 | 10.36 11.97 | 108 128 | 16.75 16.35 | 87 109 | 99 94 | 51 54 | 0 | 0 | 3 5 | 1 2 |
| 7 | LITTLE ROCK | 80 | 62 | 87 | 54 | 71 | 6 | 1.50 | 0.16 | 0.97 | 13.46 | 121 | 21.27 | 114 | 96 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| AZ | FLAGSTAFF | 61 | 29 | 66 | 23 | 45 | -2 | 0.06 | -0.11 | 0.06 | 3.93 | 138 | 5.58 | 79 | 74 | 23 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| | PHOENIX PRESCOTT | 89 69 | 65 40 | 97 76 | 59 33 | 77 55 | 1 -2 | 0.00 0.01 | -0.02 -0.07 | 0.00 0.01 | 1.23 2.98 | 116 202 | 1.33 3.62 | 47 91 | 32 62 | 17 15 | 4 0 | 0 | 0 1 | 0 |
| | TUCSON | 85 | 56 | 91 | 50 | 71 | -1 | 0.00 | -0.03 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 35 | 0.56 | 22 | 32 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CA | BAKERSFIELD | 79 | 57 | 89 | 48 | 68 | 1 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0.00 | 1.93 | 108 | 2.95 | 71 | 68 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | EUREKA FRESNO | 55 78 | 46 55 | 57 87 | 44 47 | 50 67 | -2 1 | 0.06 0.00 | -0.47 -0.13 | 0.05 0.00 | 10.76 4.49 | 112 150 | 21.48 6.29 | 98 88 | 99 78 | 76 31 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | LOS ANGELES | 64 | 55 | 65 | 51 | 60 | -3 | 0.00 | -0.13 | 0.00 | 1.59 | 67 | 5.30 | 64 | 87 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | REDDING | 81 | 53 | 91 | 46 | 67 | 4 | 0.39 | -0.01 | 0.39 | 5.92 | 82 | 17.73 | 94 | 83 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | SACRAMENTO SAN DIEGO | 78 66 | 51 58 | 87 68 | 44 55 | 64 62 | 2 -2 | 0.12 0.30 | -0.05 0.21 | 0.12 0.19 | 1.86 3.22 | 47 149 | 6.91 4.57 | 62 72 | 90 80 | 37 59 | 0 | 0 | 1 2 | 0 |
| | SAN FRANCISCO | 63 | 50 | 69 | 46 | 56 | -2 -2 | 0.30 | -0.12 | 0.19 | 2.28 | 55 | 7.59 | 63 | 91 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | STOCKTON | 79 | 49 | 87 | 44 | 64 | 0 | 0.05 | -0.11 | 0.05 | 3.28 | 106 | 6.74 | 81 | 97 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| CO | ALAMOSA | 65 | 28 | 71 | 24 | 46 | 0 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 65 | 1.20 | 69 | 83 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| | CO SPRINGS DENVER INTL | 66 70 | 37 38 | 79 82 | 33 27 | 51 54 | 0 3 | 0.32 0.17 | -0.09 -0.32 | 0.32 0.12 | 2.47 1.90 | 102 69 | 4.02 3.08 | 132 87 | 84 80 | 24 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 2 | 0 |
| | GRAND JUNCTION | 72 | 44 | 81 | 36 | 58 | 2 | 0.03 | -0.32 | 0.12 | 1.24 | 66 | 1.56 | 51 | 55 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | PUEBLO | 72 | 40 | 87 | 31 | 56 | 1 | 0.12 | -0.29 | 0.12 | 0.90 | 35 | 1.93 | 60 | 79 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| CT | BRIDGEPORT | 69 | 50 | 74 | 47 | 60 | 4 | 0.12 | -0.77 | 0.12 | 7.25 | 84 | 11.11 | 74 | 82 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| DC | HARTFORD WASHINGTON | 75 81 | 48 59 | 85 84 | 42 50 | 62 70 | 6 7 | 0.61 0.57 | -0.28 -0.27 | 0.35 0.56 | 8.93 7.34 | 110 103 | 13.45 12.46 | 93 99 | 80 73 | 30 38 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| DE | WILMINGTON | 79 | 52 | 85 | 41 | 65 | 7 | 0.00 | -0.80 | 0.00 | 9.36 | 120 | 13.15 | 95 | 81 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FL | DAYTONA BEACH | 84 | 64 | 88 | 61 | 74 | 2 | 0.00 | -0.46 | 0.00 | 2.17 | 35 | 5.58 | 50 | 92 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | JACKSONVILLE KEY WEST | 86 83 | 62 75 | 93 84 | 56 73 | 74 79 | 3 0 | 0.03 0.21 | -0.56 -0.30 | 0.03 0.20 | 6.01 3.71 | 93 97 | 14.46 9.30 | 114 129 | 92 84 | 46 65 | 1 0 | 0 | 1 2 | 0 |
| | MIAMI | 84 | 73 | 85 | 70 | 78 | 0 | 0.13 | -0.72 | 0.13 | 3.17 | 51 | 4.85 | 47 | 76 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | ORLANDO | 88 | 65 | 92 | 62 | 76 | 2 | 0.00 | -0.60 | 0.00 | 1.79 | 30 | 3.40 | 32 | 92 | 40 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | PENSACOLA TALLAHASSEE | 82 89 | 69 63 | 85 94 | 65 61 | 76 76 | 4 5 | 1.95 0.97 | 0.91 0.32 | 1.88 0.96 | 12.35 10.52 | 110 116 | 20.57 18.39 | 98 103 | 93 93 | 63 39 | 0 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | TAMPA | 89 | 71 | 92 | 68 | 80 | 3 | 0.00 | -0.55 | 0.00 | 1.95 | 37 | 8.46 | 80 | 81 | 43 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | WEST PALM BEACH | 85 | 73 | 88 | 69 | 79 | 2 | 0.29 | -0.46 | 0.29 | 2.44 | 33 | 5.49 | 41 | 73 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| GA | ATHENS | 81 81 | 59 63 | 85 86 | 56 | 70 | 4 5 | 1.65 | 0.88 | 1.43 | 9.85 | 119 97 | 17.06 | 100 96 | 92 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| | ATLANTA AUGUSTA | 86 | 56 | 89 | 56 53 | 72 71 | 3 | 1.01 0.42 | 0.16 -0.16 | 0.81 0.42 | 8.65 5.85 | 80 | 17.41 11.38 | 77 | 87 99 | 49 38 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 0 |
| | COLUMBUS | 83 | 64 | 87 | 58 | 73 | 4 | 0.70 | -0.12 | 0.60 | 11.78 | 126 | 19.20 | 106 | 93 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | MACON | 84 | 60 | 88 | 57 | 72 74 | 4 | 0.39 | -0.25 | 0.39 | 9.97 | 122 | 14.80 | 88 | 99 96 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| н | SAVANNAH HILO | 85 84 | 63 68 | 89 86 | 58 66 | 76 | 4 | 0.13 1.78 | -0.56 0.00 | 0.07 0.95 | 4.55 11.13 | 63 48 | 7.50 20.61 | 56 50 | 87 | 45 53 | 0 | 0 | 2 5 | 0 2 |
| | HONOLULU | 86 | 73 | 88 | 71 | 80 | 3 | 0.40 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 2.62 | 81 | 8.82 | 126 | 81 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | KAHULUI | 86 | 66 | 88 | 63 | 76 | 0 | 0.98 | 0.73 | 0.98 | 1.73 | 42 | 6.13 | 72 50 | 89 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| IA | LIHUE BURLINGTON | 81 68 | 71 49 | 82 85 | 67 43 | 76 58 | 1 1 | 0.64 1.04 | 0.16 -0.08 | 0.64 0.78 | 4.87 6.06 | 61 88 | 8.43 6.83 | 59 68 | 86 90 | 67 55 | 0 | 0 | 1 3 | 1 |
| | CEDAR RAPIDS | 67 | 46 | 82 | 41 | 57 | 3 | 0.56 | -0.39 | 0.41 | 6.54 | 109 | 7.04 | 86 | 89 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | DES MOINES | 67 | 48 | 79 | 44 | 57 | 1 | 0.19 | -1.00 | 0.19 | 7.42 | 110 | 8.20 | 90 | 90 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | DUBUQUE SIOUX CITY | 66 70 | 44 41 | 83 89 | 39 32 | 55 55 | 2 1 | 1.20 0.08 | 0.21 -0.71 | 1.09 0.07 | 6.78 4.63 | 101 88 | 7.14 5.04 | 74 74 | 90 91 | 56 38 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | WATERLOO | 67 | 46 | 80 | 38 | 57 | 2 | 0.15 | -0.88 | 0.10 | 8.22 | 127 | 8.85 | 101 | 85 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| ID | BOISE | 71 | 46 | 82 | 40 | 58 | 4 | 0.51 | 0.22 | 0.39 | 1.72 | 63 | 5.84 | 114 | 81 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | LEWISTON POCATELLO | 73 68 | 48 36 | 86 81 | 43 31 | 60 52 | 5 3 | 0.22 0.08 | -0.10 -0.21 | 0.15 0.08 | 2.23 1.88 | 77 74 | 5.10 4.58 | 101 99 | 80 79 | 34 27 | 0 | 0 2 | 2 | 0 |
| IL | CHICAGO/O HARE | 68 | 47 | 84 | 39 | 57 | 2 | 0.19 | -0.84 | 0.19 | 6.38 | 96 | 9.30 | 87 | 81 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | MOLINE | 70 | 46 | 87 | 39 | 58 | 1 | 1.08 | 0.03 | 0.83 | 6.15 | 89 | 8.33 | 80 | 89 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | PEORIA ROCKFORD | 70 68 | 50 44 | 85 85 | 42 34 | 60 56 | 2 2 | 0.72 0.92 | -0.33 0.00 | 0.57 0.60 | 8.06 6.49 | 113 99 | 9.60 7.80 | 85 80 | 85 83 | 50 48 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | SPRINGFIELD | 71 | 51 | 85 85 | 34 46 | 61 | 1 | 1.17 | 0.00 | 0.60 | 7.74 | 108 | 7.80 8.50 | 76 | 83 89 | 48 56 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| IN | EVANSVILLE | 76 | 56 | 86 | 49 | 66 | 4 | 0.69 | -0.68 | 0.30 | 14.20 | 137 | 19.91 | 117 | 90 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | FORT WAYNE | 72 | 46 | 84 | 39 | 59 | 3 | 0.72 | -0.18 | 0.61 | 6.14 | 88 | 9.17 | 79 | 88 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | INDIANAPOLIS SOUTH BEND | 73 71 | 53 43 | 81 82 | 44 33 | 63 57 | 4 4 | 0.60 0.56 | -0.50 -0.39 | 0.34 0.56 | 11.41 8.90 | 134 142 | 14.41 11.61 | 102 103 | 86 83 | 49 44 | 0 | 0 | 3 1 | 0 |
| KS | CONCORDIA | 71 | 49 | 86 | 39 | 60 | 2 | 0.44 | -0.32 | 0.39 | 2.15 | 48 | 3.13 | 55 | 94 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | DODGE CITY | 73 | 47 | 83 | 38 | 60 | 1 | 0.29 | -0.26 | 0.24 | 2.67 | 74 | 3.67 | 76 | 91 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | GOODLAND TOPEKA | 72 71 | 40 51 | 84 81 | 30 39 | 56 61 | 3 1 | 0.02 0.89 | -0.43 -0.22 | 0.02 0.50 | 2.87 4.45 | 103 68 | 3.28 6.69 | 98 76 | 93 94 | 26 56 | 0 | 1 0 | 1 5 | 0 |
| | | | · · | · | | _ ັ ' | , | 3.00 | J | 3.50 | | - 50 | 3.00 | | ~ T | | ľ | | | <u> </u> |

Based on 1991-2020 normals

*** Not Available

Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin
Weather Data for the Week Ending May 3, 2025

| | | | | | Cati | <u>. </u> | Jata | 101 111 | | , K LII | ing IV | iay o, | 2020 | | RELA | ATIVE | NUN | /BER | OF D | AYS |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|--|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | STATES | ٦ | ГЕМР | PERA | TUR | E ° | F | | | PREC | CIPITA | ATION | I | | | IDITY CENT | TEM | IP. °F | PRE | ECIP |
| | AND | | | | | | 7k | | 74 | 2 | + | 7 | | 7 | | | Ē | ž | | |
| 5 | STATIONS | AVERAGE MAXIMUM | AVERAGE MINIMUM | EXTREME HIGH | EXTREME LOW | AVERAGE | DEPARTURE FROM NORMAL | WEEKLY TOTAL, IN. | DEPARTURE FROM NORMAL | GREATEST IN 24-HOUR, IN. | TOTAL, IN., SINCE MAR 1 | PCT. NORMAL SINCE MAR 1 | TOTAL, IN., SINCE JAN 1 | PCT. NORMAL SINCE JAN 1 | AVERAGE MAXIMUM | AVERAGE MINIMUM | 90 AND ABOVE | 32 AND BELOW | .01 INCH OR MORE | .50 INCH OR MORE |
| KY | WICHITA LEXINGTON | 73 76 | 53 56 | 82 82 | 42 44 | 63 66 | 3 5 | 0.48 2.60 | -0.51 1.45 | 0.35 1.72 | 4.38 17.64 | 74 187 | 5.88 27.31 | 74 165 | 95 91 | 52 57 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 2 |
| IXI | LOUISVILLE | 79 | 60 | 86 | 49 | 69 | 5 | 0.89 | -0.37 | 0.44 | 15.32 | 154 | 25.99 | 155 | 78 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | PADUCAH BATON ROUGE | 76 87 | 58 65 | 87 89 | 52 62 | 67 76 | 3 5 | 0.74 0.41 | -0.56 -0.74 | 0.44 0.35 | 11.77 12.11 | 113 121 | 22.41 19.82 | 123 95 | 97 97 | 55 50 | 0 | 0 | 4 3 | 0 |
| LA | LAKE CHARLES | 85 | 65 | 86 | 62 | 75 | 3 | 2.40 | 1.27 | 1.61 | 5.53 | 64 | 15.32 | 95 86 | 99 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| | NEW ORLEANS | 84 | 70 | 86 | 67 | 77 | 4 | 0.26 | -1.02 | 0.16 | 11.17 | 110 | 21.39 | 110 | 97 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| MA | SHREVEPORT BOSTON | 87 71 | 67 50 | 88 84 | 63 46 | 77 60 | 7 7 | 0.46 | -0.31 | 0.28 | *** 8.62 | 106 | 14.28 | *** 97 | 87 74 | 49 36 | 0 | 0 | *** | *** |
| IVIA | WORCESTER | 71 | 47 | 82 | 41 | 59 | 7 | 0.44 | -0.42 | 0.28 | 9.48 | 100 | 15.74 | 102 | 77 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| MD | BALTIMORE | 82 | 53 | 88 | 42 | 67 | 7 | 0.23 | -0.60 | 0.23 | 6.48 | 83 | 10.57 | 76 | 79 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| ME | CARIBOU PORTLAND | 59 62 | 36 42 | 75 73 | 28 36 | 48 52 | 2 2 | 0.87 1.07 | 0.15 0.09 | 0.53 0.66 | 8.46 10.18 | 139 114 | 13.83 15.39 | 120 96 | 88 88 | 45 50 | 0 | 2 | 4 3 | 1 1 |
| MI | ALPENA | 58 | 36 | 80 | 30 | 47 | 0 | 0.69 | 0.02 | 0.67 | 7.23 | 151 | 10.72 | 132 | 82 | 49 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | GRAND RAPIDS | 68 | 42 | 78 | 37 | 55 | 2 | 0.56 | -0.39 | 0.56 | 7.65 | 113 | 10.70 | 93 | 82 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | HOUGHTON LAKE LANSING | 62 68 | 35 41 | 75 81 | 25 34 | 48 55 | 0 2 | 0.79 0.70 | 0.08 -0.13 | 0.79 0.70 | 9.49 5.93 | 183 103 | 16.36 7.92 | 197 83 | 88 82 | 47 42 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| | MUSKEGON | 64 | 40 | 78 | 33 | 52 | -1 | 0.70 | -0.13 | 0.70 | 6.72 | 108 | 10.62 | 98 | 87 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | TRAVERSE CITY | 60 | 36 | 81 | 30 | 48 | -1 | 0.88 | 0.24 | 0.78 | 7.81 | 169 | 10.14 | 138 | 87 | 52 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| MN | DULUTH INT L FALLS | 55 57 | 35 34 | 66 75 | 29 27 | 45 46 | -1 1 | 1.65 1.42 | 0.97 0.93 | 0.71 1.18 | 4.96 6.96 | 115 242 | 7.19 9.04 | 115 207 | 91 90 | 52 51 | 0 | 3 2 | 5 3 | 2 |
| | MINNEAPOLIS | 64 | 45 | 73 | 40 | 54 | 1 | 0.64 | -0.12 | 0.59 | 5.71 | 116 | 6.32 | 94 | 85 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| | ROCHESTER | 62 | 43 | 74 | 34 | 53 | 2 | 0.91 | 0.04 | 0.75 | 7.61 | 128 | 8.27 | 104 | 88 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| МО | ST. CLOUD COLUMBIA | 64 70 | 40 52 | 73 83 | 31 45 | 52 61 | 2 | 0.56 1.19 | -0.16 -0.06 | 0.42 0.55 | 4.63 7.42 | 103 88 | 5.80 9.44 | 98 74 | 88 97 | 43 63 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| IVIO | KANSAS CITY | 68 | 51 | 78 | 41 | 60 | 1 | 1.33 | 0.13 | 0.67 | 6.26 | 90 | 8.77 | 91 | 95 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| | SAINT LOUIS | 72 | 57 | 88 | 53 | 65 | 2 | 2.90 | 1.72 | 0.93 | 15.34 | 176 | 19.47 | 143 | 84 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| MS | SPRINGFIELD JACKSON | 71 85 | 55 65 | 83 91 | 44 57 | 63 75 | 2 7 | 2.25 0.95 | 0.88 -0.13 | 1.30 0.65 | 14.43 10.80 | 163 90 | 16.81 22.87 | 122 101 | 97 98 | 62 51 | 0 | 0 | 4 3 | 2 |
| IVIS | MERIDIAN | 84 | 64 | 88 | 63 | 74 | 5 | 0.00 | -1.17 | 0.00 | 8.95 | 76 | 17.06 | 75 | 100 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | TUPELO | 82 | 62 | 88 | 54 | 72 | 5 | 1.66 | 0.37 | 1.24 | 17.00 | 148 | 27.04 | 125 | 95 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| MT | BILLINGS BUTTE | 65 63 | 43 31 | 80 75 | 37 25 | 54 47 | 5 5 | 1.63 0.11 | 1.22 -0.20 | 0.61 0.11 | 5.03 1.81 | 180 86 | 8.00 3.26 | 204 110 | 84 82 | 34 25 | 0 | 0 5 | 4 1 | 2 |
| | CUT BANK | 66 | 36 | 80 | 31 | 51 | 7 | 0.11 | -0.20 | 0.11 | 1.12 | 79 | 1.43 | 77 | 79 | 25 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| | GLASGOW | 70 | 39 | 84 | 34 | 54 | 5 | 0.01 | -0.32 | 0.01 | 0.43 | 26 | 1.76 | 73 | 79 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | GREAT FALLS HAVRE | 68 70 | 37 36 | 82 84 | 34 33 | 52 53 | 6 5 | 0.24 0.16 | -0.15 -0.12 | 0.24 0.12 | 1.68 1.10 | 65 67 | 4.63 2.80 | 125 114 | 79 93 | 29 28 | 0 | 0 | 1 2 | 0 |
| | MISSOULA | 70 | 39 | 82 | 35 | 55 | 6 | 0.60 | 0.31 | 0.12 | 2.36 | 98 | 5.00 | 117 | 88 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| NC | ASHEVILLE | 75 | 54 | 80 | 49 | 65 | 4 | 0.75 | -0.24 | 0.64 | 7.49 | 89 | 12.68 | 79 | 92 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| | CHARLOTTE GREENSBORO | 81 79 | 60 58 | 87 86 | 56 46 | 71 69 | 6 5 | 1.07 0.90 | 0.24 0.08 | 1.07 0.79 | 8.35 6.37 | 102 81 | 13.16 12.54 | 89 89 | 80 86 | 40 43 | 0 | 0 | 1 2 | 1 |
| | HATTERAS | 75 | 61 | 78 | 47 | 68 | 2 | 0.01 | -0.95 | 0.01 | 4.45 | 50 | 12.12 | 67 | 88 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | RALEIGH | 85 | 60 | 90 | 45 | 72 | 7 | 0.52 | -0.24 | 0.28 | 6.41 | 80 | 11.13 | 78 | 80 | 34 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| ND | WILMINGTON BISMARCK | 80 64 | 58 37 | 85 76 | 47 23 | 69 51 | 1 2 | 0.00 1.18 | -0.80 0.76 | 0.00 0.92 | 5.53 2.40 | 74 101 | 9.45 3.36 | 64 99 | 93 92 | 40 39 | 0 | 0 2 | 0 4 | 0 |
| ND | DICKINSON | 64 | 33 | 77 | 22 | 49 | 2 | 0.13 | -0.31 | 0.10 | 1.88 | 88 | 2.14 | 79 | 93 | 33 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| | FARGO | 61 | 38 | 75 | 27 | 50 | 0 | 1.54 | 1.01 | 1.09 | 3.14 | 103 | 4.04 | 91 | 92 | 53 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| 1 | GRAND FORKS JAMESTOWN | 62 61 | 36 37 | 80 75 | 24 25 | 49 49 | 2 1 | 1.57 1.20 | 1.15 0.68 | 1.08 1.20 | 2.98 1.56 | 128 71 | 3.67 1.76 | 110 61 | 88 93 | 48 48 | 0 | 2 | 4 1 | 1 |
| NE | GRAND ISLAND | 71 | 44 | 86 | 36 | 58 | 2 | 0.43 | -0.33 | 0.39 | 1.69 | 39 | 2.91 | 52 | 93 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | LINCOLN | 71 | 45 | 91 | 35 | 58 | 1 | 0.86 | -0.04 | 0.43 | 3.20 | 68 | 3.68 | 58 | 90 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | NORFOLK NORTH PLATTE | 69 71 | 42 37 | 86 80 | 30 27 | 56 54 | 2 2 | 0.01 0.41 | -0.76 -0.21 | 0.01 0.27 | 3.44 2.96 | 76 83 | 5.11 5.01 | 86 111 | 94 96 | 37 33 | 0 | 1 2 | 1 3 | 0 |
| | OMAHA | 69 | 47 | 89 | 38 | 58 | 0 | 0.59 | -0.36 | 0.25 | 6.25 | 116 | 6.91 | 97 | 92 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | SCOTTSBLUFF | 73 | 38 | 82 | 31 | 56 | 4 | 0.07 | -0.44 | 0.03 | 1.65 | 52 105 | 2.96 | 72 100 | 83 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| NH | VALENTINE CONCORD | 68 72 | 33 43 | 75 84 | 31 35 | 51 57 | -2 6 | 0.00 0.73 | -0.19 -0.07 | 0.00 0.56 | 3.37 7.66 | 105 108 | 4.13 12.35 | 100 98 | 98 88 | 30 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 4 | 0 1 |
| NJ | ATLANTIC_CITY | 77 | 49 | 85 | 41 | 63 | 6 | 0.00 | -0.72 | 0.00 | 9.20 | 112 | 12.97 | 87 | 82 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| . | NEWARK | 78 | 54 | 88 | 49 | 66 | 7 | 0.28 | -0.61 | 0.28 | 8.10 | 97 | 11.43 | 77 | 69 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NM NV | ALBUQUERQUE ELY | 73 61 | 48 31 | 83 71 | 44 27 | 61 46 | 0 | 0.02 0.37 | -0.07 0.13 | 0.02 0.21 | 0.41 2.31 | 40 107 | 0.58 2.75 | 32 73 | 48 84 | 15 23 | 0 | 0 5 | 1 | 0 |
| I | LAS VEGAS | 81 | 62 | 89 | 50 | 72 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 15 | 0.65 | 32 | 36 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | RENO | 68 | 44 | 77 70 | 37 | 56 | 1 | 0.30 | 0.19 | 0.30 | 1.35 | 104 | 3.42 | 95 70 | 76 04 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NY | WINNEMUCCA ALBANY | 65 72 | 36 46 | 78 84 | 31 34 | 51 59 | -1 5 | 0.48 0.79 | 0.22 0.03 | 0.26 0.35 | 1.21 7.67 | 60 117 | 2.58 11.32 | 70 99 | 94 86 | 33 38 | 0 | 2 | 3 4 | 0 |
| l | BINGHAMTON | 67 | 45 | 81 | 37 | 56 | 5 | 1.62 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 7.96 | 113 | 13.59 | 112 | 88 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| | BUFFALO | 66 | 42 | 79 | 37 | 54 | 2 | 1.57 | 0.81 | 0.52 | 7.05 | 107 | 12.54 | 101 | 87 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| | ROCHESTER SYRACUSE | 67 68 | 42 44 | 84 87 | 33 38 | 54 56 | 1 | 1.22 1.23 | 0.54 0.43 | 0.63 0.78 | 7.97 7.71 | 138 112 | 12.91 15.04 | 123 126 | 85 87 | 43 42 | 0 | 0 | 4 5 | 1 |
| ОН | AKRON-CANTON | 71 | 45 | 81 | 34 | 58 | 2 | 1.95 | 1.08 | 0.78 | 10.64 | 142 | 16.30 | 127 | 87 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| | CINCINNATI | 73 68 | 54 | 80 | 43 | 64 56 | 4 | 2.23 | 1.10 | 0.99 | 14.56 | 158 | 21.87 | 139 | 91 | 57 48 | 0 | 0 | 5 4 | 2 |
| 1 | CLEVELAND COLUMBUS | 68 75 | 44 53 | 81 81 | 35 38 | 56 64 | 0 5 | 2.18 2.93 | 1.33 2.04 | 0.82 1.38 | 10.56 9.44 | 147 120 | 16.27 14.56 | 128 109 | 90 90 | 48 53 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| | DAYTON | 73 | 52 | 79 | 42 | 62 | 3 | 1.62 | 0.56 | 0.65 | 11.79 | 140 | 16.28 | 117 | 83 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| | MANSFIELD | 69 | 45 | 79 | 33 | 57 | 2 | 1.42 | 0.46 | 0.86 | 10.63 | 132 | 15.21 | 110 | 86 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |

Based on 1991-2020 normals

*** Not Available

Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin
Weather Data for the Week Ending May 3, 2025

| | | | | • | cutii | <u> </u> | Julu | for the | | IN LIIC | anig it | iuy o, | | | DEI / | ATIVE | NUN | /IBER | OF D | AYS |
|----------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | | 7 | ГЕМЕ | PERA | TUR | E ° | F | | | PREC | CIPITA | ATION | l | | HUM | IDITY | | IP. °F | | ECIP |
| | STATES | | Г | ı | | | | | 1 | 1 | ı | | ı | | PER | CENT | | | | |
| S | AND STATIONS | AVERAGE MAXIMUM | AVERAGE MINIMUM | EXTREME HIGH | EXTREME LOW | AVERAGE | DEPARTURE FROM NORMAL | WEEKLY TOTAL, IN. | DEPARTURE FROM NORMAL | GREATEST IN 24-HOUR, IN. | TOTAL, IN., SINCE MAR 1 | PCT. NORMAL SINCE MAR 1 | TOTAL, IN., SINCE JAN 1 | PCT. NORMAL SINCE JAN 1 | AVERAGE MAXIMUM | AVERAGE MINIMUM | 90 AND ABOVE | 32 AND BELOW | .01 INCH OR MORE | .50 INCH OR MORE |
| | TOLEDO YOUNGSTOWN | 70 71 | 44 43 | 82 82 | 37 34 | 57 57 | 1 | 0.96 1.61 | 0.10 0.78 | 0.45 0.89 | 8.31 9.54 | 129 130 | 11.57 15.16 | 104 117 | 84 91 | 41 42 | 0 | 0 | 3 4 | 0 2 |
| ОК | OKLAHOMA CITY | 74 | 56 | 79 | 47 | 65 | 2 | 3.99 | 2.89 | 2.05 | 16.19 | 244 | 17.26 | 184 | 96 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| 0.0 | TULSA | 75 | 57 | 79 | 47 | 66 | 1 | 3.95 | 2.67 | 2.33 | 14.83 | 185 | 17.05 | 151 | 96 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| OR | ASTORIA BURNS | 57 67 | 46 36 | 68 76 | 39 28 | 51 51 | 1 3 | 0.43 0.01 | -0.59 -0.20 | 0.26 0.01 | 10.10 1.60 | 71 79 | 23.35 5.86 | 73 142 | 94 85 | 63 29 | 0 | 0 2 | 5 1 | 0 |
| | EUGENE | 66 | 41 | 76 | 33 | 54 | 1 | 0.13 | -0.44 | 0.07 | 9.35 | 114 | 18.67 | 98 | 95 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | MEDFORD | 72 | 44 | 85 | 38 | 58 | 2 | 0.00 | -0.28 | 0.00 | 3.78 | 109 | 10.35 | 127 | 79 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | PENDLETON PORTLAND | 71 70 | 45 48 | 84 84 | 40 42 | 58 59 | 4 | 0.27 0.17 | 0.00 -0.35 | 0.18 0.17 | 1.93 6.65 | 72 93 | 5.05 14.62 | 93 92 | 74 80 | 30 35 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | SALEM | 68 | 46 | 80 | 37 | 56 | 2 | 0.17 | -0.35 | 0.17 | 7.96 | 103 | 17.83 | 92 97 | 85 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| PA | ALLENTOWN | 77 | 46 | 83 | 40 | 61 | 4 | 1.33 | 0.50 | 0.92 | 7.93 | 103 | 11.44 | 83 | 82 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | ERIE | 66 | 43 | 83 | 38 | 55 | 2 | 1.42 | 0.65 | 0.75 | 7.41 | 107 | 13.95 | 108 | 87 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| | MIDDLETOWN PHILADELPHIA | 79 80 | 53 54 | 84 86 | 43 47 | 66 67 | 7 8 | 1.05 0.04 | 0.19 -0.71 | 0.54 0.04 | 6.61 8.83 | 86 114 | 10.00 12.04 | 75 88 | 85 74 | 29 26 | 0 | 0 | 5 1 | 1 0 |
| | PITTSBURGH | 75 | 50 | 85 | 37 | 62 | 5 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.62 | 8.52 | 125 | 14.59 | 117 | 86 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| | WILKES-BARRE | 73 | 48 | 83 | 38 | 61 | 5 | 0.39 | -0.37 | 0.15 | 6.41 | 101 | 9.00 | 81 | 82 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Di | WILLIAMSPORT | 76 71 | 48 | 84 | 38 | 62 | 6 5 | 0.90 | 0.02 | 0.31 | 6.98 | 98 | 10.01 | 80 | 86 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| RI SC | PROVIDENCE CHARLESTON | 71 83 | 48 60 | 79 86 | 44 57 | 60 71 | 2 | 0.27 0.00 | -0.59 -0.68 | 0.27 0.00 | 8.44 3.21 | 88 46 | 13.82 5.75 | 81 43 | 80 97 | 33 47 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | COLUMBIA | 84 | 59 | 88 | 51 | 72 | 4 | 1.83 | 1.15 | 1.40 | 8.54 | 127 | 12.27 | 90 | 90 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | FLORENCE | 85 | 59 | 90 | 53 | 72 | 4 | 0.13 | -0.56 | 0.13 | 6.66 | 102 | 10.34 | 83 | 92 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| SD | GREENVILLE ABERDEEN | 79 66 | 57 36 | 86 78 | 53 25 | 68 51 | 3 1 | 0.82 1.56 | -0.11 0.86 | 0.60 1.33 | 9.96 3.85 | 111 122 | 16.26 4.90 | 96 113 | 87 93 | 46 39 | 0 | 0 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 30 | HURON | 69 | 41 | 76 | 28 | 55 | 3 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.55 | 3.72 | 93 | 4.90 | 79 | 93 | 41 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| | RAPID CITY | 67 | 41 | 79 | 36 | 54 | 6 | 2.31 | 1.69 | 1.40 | 6.68 | 204 | 8.86 | 217 | 84 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| | SIOUX FALLS | 69 | 43 | 80 | 35 | 56 | 3 | 0.49 | -0.27 | 0.48 | 4.45 | 90 | 5.00 | 78 | 88 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| TN | BRISTOL CHATTANOOGA | 78 78 | 54 58 | 82 86 | 47 52 | 66 68 | 5 2 | 0.53 3.77 | -0.32 2.65 | 0.35 1.83 | 7.00 14.95 | 86 139 | 14.07 23.00 | 90 111 | 92 97 | 45 57 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 2 |
| | KNOXVILLE | 78 | 57 | 84 | 51 | 68 | 4 | 2.55 | 1.50 | 1.22 | 13.08 | 130 | 21.07 | 107 | 91 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| | MEMPHIS | 79 | 62 | 87 | 53 | 70 | 3 | 0.00 | -1.40 | 0.00 | 15.96 | 130 | 23.07 | 110 | 96 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TV | NASHVILLE ABILENE | 80 82 | 60 60 | 87 88 | 51 46 | 70 71 | 5 2 | 1.52 1.37 | 0.26 0.76 | 0.88 0.82 | 12.91 7.18 | 131 185 | 22.37 8.08 | 122 | 84 90 | 51 46 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 2 |
| TX | AMARILLO | 71 | 49 | 78 | 40 | 60 | -1 | 0.26 | -0.15 | 0.82 | 6.10 | 210 | 6.78 | 129 164 | 95 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | AUSTIN | 87 | 68 | 94 | 58 | 77 | 5 | 0.26 | -0.56 | 0.26 | 5.07 | 88 | 8.80 | 85 | 88 | 48 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | BEAUMONT | 83 | 69 | 86 | 62 | 76 | 3 | 1.17 | 0.18 | 1.16 | 5.89 | 73 | 15.22 | 92 | 96 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | BROWNSVILLE CORPUS CHRISTI | 89 85 | 76 73 | 91 87 | 72 66 | 82 80 | 3 4 | 2.47 1.11 | 2.12 0.50 | 2.47 1.00 | 9.98 4.74 | 324 102 | 11.51 6.72 | 221 92 | 87 93 | 54 60 | 5 | 0 | 1 2 | 1 1 |
| | DEL RIO | 93 | 69 | 98 | 64 | 81 | 5 | 0.00 | -0.45 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 23 | 1.01 | 24 | 81 | 28 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | EL PASO | 85 | 57 | 94 | 54 | 71 | 1 | 0.00 | -0.06 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 146 | 0.74 | 60 | 29 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | FORT WORTH | 77 | 63 | 83 | 53 | 70 | 1 | 3.21 | 2.26 | 2.57 | 8.20 | 117 | 15.50 | 126 | 90 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| | GALVESTON HOUSTON | 84 86 | 74 69 | 85 89 | 71 63 | 79 78 | 5 4 | 0.83 1.35 | 0.30 0.35 | 0.83 1.13 | 4.08 5.82 | 76 74 | 9.97 14.65 | 84 100 | 94 90 | 69 51 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 1 |
| | LUBBOCK | 79 | 54 | 92 | 43 | 67 | 2 | 0.85 | 0.43 | 0.64 | 2.48 | 94 | 2.69 | 68 | 89 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | MIDLAND | 87 | 61 | 93 | 47 | 74 | 4 | 0.00 | -0.19 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 31 | 0.58 | 21 | 72 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | SAN ANGELO SAN ANTONIO | 87 87 | 60 70 | 95 90 | 45 63 | 74 78 | 3 6 | 0.01 0.08 | -0.44 -0.68 | 0.01 0.08 | 2.81 4.54 | 88 89 | 3.80 6.47 | 72 73 | 81 89 | 31 51 | 4 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | VICTORIA | 86 | 69 | 87 | 58 | 77 | 4 | 0.08 | -0.57 | 0.08 | 5.66 | 87 | 9.12 | 73 82 | 97 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | WACO | 82 | 63 | 85 | 51 | 72 | 3 | 2.31 | 1.38 | 1.20 | 9.15 | 129 | 12.94 | 105 | 95 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 117 | WICHITA FALLS SALT LAKE CITY | 76 60 | 57 46 | 84 | 48 | 67 | 0 2 | 4.85 | 4.13 | 2.04 | 13.82 | 286 | 14.72 | 198 | 96 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| UT VA | LYNCHBURG | 69 82 | 46 53 | 83 88 | 42 41 | 58 67 | 7 | 0.21 0.68 | -0.26 -0.15 | 0.17 0.68 | 3.00 4.42 | 73 58 | 4.09 13.46 | 59 96 | 68 88 | 19 36 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| I | NORFOLK | 83 | 60 | 90 | 50 | 71 | 7 | 0.00 | -0.82 | 0.00 | 4.86 | 65 | 12.19 | 88 | 80 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | RICHMOND | 83 | 58 | 89 | 47 | 70 | 8 | 0.00 | -0.83 | 0.00 | 8.19 | 108 | 16.61 | 124 | 79 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | ROANOKE WASH/DULLES | 81 80 | 55 53 | 87 85 | 41 38 | 68 67 | 6 7 | 0.33 0.35 | -0.52 -0.59 | 0.32 0.27 | 3.66 4.05 | 49 54 | 12.48 8.76 | 92 67 | 84 85 | 35 38 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| VT | BURLINGTON | 68 | 44 | 83 | 34 | 56 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.15 | 0.27 | 7.93 | 140 | 11.80 | 123 | 87 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| WA | OLYMPIA | 63 | 39 | 78 | 30 | 51 | 0 | 0.10 | -0.53 | 0.05 | 8.62 | 89 | 16.48 | 73 | 92 | 45 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| | QUILLAYUTE | 56 | 42 | 69 | 35 | 49 | 0 | 0.90 | -0.43 | 0.33 | 19.72 | 96 | 29.69 | 64 | 98 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | SEATTLE-TACOMA SPOKANE | 62 69 | 46 45 | 76 80 | 43 39 | 54 57 | 0 6 | 0.19 0.22 | -0.35 -0.03 | 0.16 0.22 | 7.93 2.93 | 104 91 | 13.73 6.76 | 80 102 | 89 81 | 48 29 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | YAKIMA | 74 | 45 | 83 | 34 | 60 | 6 | 0.00 | -0.13 | 0.00 | 1.61 | 127 | 3.67 | 112 | 68 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WI | EAU CLAIRE | 63 | 40 | 73 | 32 | 52 | 0 | 0.96 | 0.17 | 0.47 | 7.54 | 139 | 8.29 | 110 | 87 | 45 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| | GREEN BAY LA CROSSE | 59 65 | 42 48 | 76 78 | 35 40 | 50 56 | 0 1 | 1.38 0.93 | 0.67 0.03 | 0.89 0.49 | 6.63 9.21 | 126 149 | 8.11 10.15 | 103 117 | 87 81 | 54 43 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 0 |
| | MADISON | 65 | 46 | 82 | 35 | 54 | 1 | 2.43 | 1.53 | 1.59 | 8.77 | 136 | 9.84 | 104 | 89 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| | MILWAUKEE | 59 | 41 | 80 | 36 | 50 | -2 | 1.04 | 0.16 | 0.96 | 8.07 | 126 | 9.77 | 98 | 87 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| WV | BECKLEY | 76 | 52 | 82 | 38 | 64 | 6 | 0.78 | -0.16 | 0.48 | 6.62 | 82 | 19.81 | 138 | 81 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | CHARLESTON ELKINS | 80 77 | 53 47 | 85 84 | 38 31 | 67 62 | 5 6 | 1.59 1.39 | 0.62 0.33 | 0.64 0.68 | 10.28 8.65 | 126 101 | 22.01 17.95 | 148 118 | 94 98 | 38 39 | 0 | 0 | 5 5 | 1 1 |
| | HUNTINGTON | 80 | 56 | 87 | 41 | 68 | 6 | 1.35 | 0.33 | 0.59 | 8.48 | 99 | 17.95 | 127 | 96 87 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| WY | CASPER | 61 | 31 | 74 | 29 | 46 | 1 | 0.75 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 3.03 | 124 | 3.83 | 109 | 94 | 31 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
| | CHEYENNE | 64 | 33 | 74 74 | 28 | 49 | 3 | 0.08 | -0.44 | 0.07 | 1.46 | 49 170 | 2.55 | 66 | 83 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| | LANDER SHERIDAN | 61 64 | 35 31 | 74 78 | 29 26 | 48 47 | 1 0 | 0.76 1.63 | 0.17 1.09 | 0.42 1.15 | 6.16 5.18 | 170 165 | 7.47 7.46 | 154 169 | 84 93 | 35 42 | 0 | 2 5 | 2 | 0 |
| | Paged on 1001 2020 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | - |

Based on 1991-2020 normals

National Agricultural Summary

April 28 - May 4, 2021

Weekly National Agricultural Summary provided by USDA/NASS

HIGHLIGHTS

During the week, little to no precipitation fell across the Southwest and most of the Pacific Coast. In contrast, parts of the southern Plains saw more than four times their normal weekly precipitation, while precipitation was near normal across the eastern half of the country. Meanwhile, temperatures were above normal across most of the country, by as much as 8°F in the middle Atlantic States. Only parts of the Southwest and the Great Lakes had temperatures significantly below normal.

Corn: By May 4, producers had planted 40 percent of the nation's corn crop, 5 percentage points ahead of last year and 1 point ahead of the 5-year average. Texas was the furthest advanced in planting progress with 78 percent, 3 percentage points ahead of last year and 4 points ahead of average. Eleven percent of the nation's corn acreage had emerged by May 4, the same as the previous year but 2 percentage points ahead of average.

Soybeans: Thirty percent of the nation's soybean acreage was planted by May 4, six percentage points ahead of last year and 7 points ahead of the 5-year average. Progress was furthest advanced in Louisiana with 80 percent planted, 22 percentage points ahead of last year and 26 points ahead of average. Seven percent of the crop had emerged, 1 percentage point behind last year but 2 points ahead of average.

Winter Wheat: By May 4, thirty-nine percent of the nation's winter wheat crop was headed, 2 percentage point behind last year but 6 points ahead of the 5-year average. On May 4, fifty-one percent of the 2025 winter wheat crop was reported in good to excellent condition, 2 percentage points above the previous week and 1 point above last year. In Kansas, the largest winter wheat-producing state, 47 percent of the winter wheat crop was rated in good to excellent condition.

Cotton: Nationwide, 21 percent of the cotton crop had been planted by May 4, two percentage points behind the previous year but 1 point ahead of the 5-year average. California and Arizona had the largest percentages of acreage planted, with 65 and 62 percent, respectively.

Sorghum: Twenty-three percent of the nation's sorghum acreage was planted by May 4, one percentage point ahead of both last year and the 5-year average. Texas had planted 70 percent of its sorghum acreage by May 4, the same as last year but 1 percentage point ahead of average.

Rice: By May 4, producers had seeded 73 percent of the 2025 rice acreage, 4 percentage points behind the previous year but 9 points ahead of the 5-year average. Louisiana

and Texas had the largest percentages of acreage planted, with 95 and 93 percent, respectively. By May 4, fifty-four percent of the nation's rice acreage had emerged, 4 percentage points behind last year but 12 points ahead of average.

Small Grains: Nationally, oat producers had seeded 71 percent of this year's acreage by May 4, two percentage points ahead of last year and 7 points ahead of the 5-year average. Forty-eight percent of the nation's oat acreage had emerged by May 4, the same as the previous year but 5 percentage points ahead of average.

Fifty percent of the nation's barley crop was planted by May 4, five percentage points ahead of last year and 6 points ahead of the 5-year average. Planting progress was furthest advanced in Idaho and Washington, with 85 and 76 percent, respectively. Eighteen percent of the nation's barley crop had emerged by May 4, five percentage points ahead of the previous year and 4 points ahead of average.

By May 4, forty-four percent of the spring wheat crop was seeded, 1 percentage point behind last year but 10 points ahead of the 5-year average. Planting progress was furthest advanced in South Dakota, with 94 percent of the acres planted. By May 4, thirteen percent of the nation's spring wheat crop had emerged, 2 percentage points ahead of the previous year and 4 points ahead of average.

Other Crops: Nationally, peanut producers had planted 18 percent of the 2025 peanut acreage by May 4, two percentage points behind the previous year but 2 points ahead of the 5-year average. Producers in Florida had planted 33 percent of the 2025 intended acreage by the week's end, 3 percentage points behind last year but 2 points ahead of average.

By May 4, eighty-three percent of the sugarbeet crop was planted, 5 percentage points ahead of last year and 29 points ahead of the 5-year average. Planting was nearly complete in Idaho, at 99 percent, 21 percentage points ahead of last year and 13 points ahead of average.

Crop Progress and Condition Week Ending May 4, 2025

Accessible Data Available from USDA/NASS

| Corn Percent Planted | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|-------|-------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Prev | Prev | May 4 | 5-Yr | | | | | | |
| | Year | Week | 2025 | Avg | | | | | | |
| СО | 11 | 18 | 37 | 22 | | | | | | |
| IL | 31 | 16 | 32 | 44 | | | | | | |
| IN | 18 | 10 | 26 | 25 | | | | | | |
| IA | 46 | 34 | 49 | 53 | | | | | | |
| KS | 49 | 39 | 50 | 43 | | | | | | |
| KY | 44 | 25 | 40 | 52 | | | | | | |
| МІ | 14 | 6 | 23 | 14 | | | | | | |
| MN | 40 | 26 | 44 | 43 | | | | | | |
| МО | 66 | 47 | 54 | 57 | | | | | | |
| NE | 30 | 21 | 50 | 44 | | | | | | |
| NC | 84 | 60 | 73 | 82 | | | | | | |
| ND | 10 | 7 | 17 | 7 | | | | | | |
| ОН | 23 | 8 | 22 | 15 | | | | | | |
| PA | 20 | 2 | 15 | 13 | | | | | | |
| SD | 17 | 23 | 39 | 23 | | | | | | |
| TN | 63 | 41 | 61 | 62 | | | | | | |
| TX | 75 | 74 | 78 | 74 | | | | | | |
| WI | 20 | 4 | 16 | 21 | | | | | | |
| 18 Sts 35 24 40 39 | | | | | | | | | | |
| These 18 States planted 92% | | | | | | | | | | |
| of last year's o | orn acı | eage. | | | | | | | | |

| Soybeans Percent Emerged | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|--------|-------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Prev | Prev | May 4 | 5-Yr | | | | | | |
| | Year | Week | 2025 | Avg | | | | | | |
| AR | 45 | 24 | 38 | 25 | | | | | | |
| IL | 11 | 4 | 10 | 7 | | | | | | |
| IN | 5 | NA | 2 | 3 | | | | | | |
| IA | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 | | | | | | |
| KS | 4 | NA | 3 | 2 | | | | | | |
| KY | 6 | NA | 5 | 7 | | | | | | |
| LA | 43 | 38 | 57 | 37 | | | | | | |
| MI | 3 | NA | 0 | 1 | | | | | | |
| MN | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | |
| MS | 43 | 28 | 48 | 34 | | | | | | |
| МО | 16 | 4 | 9 | 7 | | | | | | |
| NE | 1 | NA | 2 | 1 | | | | | | |
| NC | 8 | 2 | 14 | 5 | | | | | | |
| ND | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| ОН | 6 | NA | 1 | 2 | | | | | | |
| SD | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| TN | 10 | NA | 9 | 4 | | | | | | |
| WI | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | |
| 18 Sts 8 NA 7 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| These 18 States planted 96% | | | | | | | | | | |
| of last year's | soybear | acreag | e. | | | | | | | |

| Corn Percent Emerged | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Prev | Prev | May 4 | 5-Yr | | | | | |
| | Year | Week | 2025 | Avg | | | | | |
| СО | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | | |
| IL | 12 | 2 | 8 | 11 | | | | | |
| IN | 5 | 0 | 6 | 5 | | | | | |
| IA | 6 | 2 | 10 | 6 | | | | | |
| KS | 27 | 11 | 24 | 18 | | | | | |
| KY | 24 | 5 | 19 | 25 | | | | | |
| MI | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| MN | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 | | | | | |
| МО | 46 | 15 | 31 | 27 | | | | | |
| NE | 6 | 1 | 8 | 6 | | | | | |
| NC | 63 | 39 | 57 | 62 | | | | | |
| ND | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | | | | |
| ОН | 7 | 0 | 4 | 3 | | | | | |
| PA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| SD | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | | | | |
| TN | 30 | 15 | 30 | 30 | | | | | |
| TX | 66 | 67 | 70 | 62 | | | | | |
| WI | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | | |
| 18 Sts 11 5 11 9 | | | | | | | | | |
| These 18 States planted 92% | | | | | | | | | |
| of last year's corn acreage. | | | | | | | | | |

| | Soybeans Percent Planted | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------------------|------|-------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Prev | Prev | May 4 | 5-Yr | | | | | | | |
| | Year | Week | 2025 | Avg | | | | | | | |
| AR | 64 | 45 | 58 | 42 | | | | | | | |
| IL | 30 | 22 | 33 | 34 | | | | | | | |
| IN | 18 | 10 | 25 | 20 | | | | | | | |
| IA | 29 | 25 | 38 | 34 | | | | | | | |
| KS | 21 | 13 | 23 | 17 | | | | | | | |
| KY | 31 | 16 | 28 | 27 | | | | | | | |
| LA | 58 | 70 | 80 | 54 | | | | | | | |
| MI | 12 | 8 | 20 | 15 | | | | | | | |
| MN | 17 | 13 | 22 | 20 | | | | | | | |
| MS | 65 | 54 | 64 | 54 | | | | | | | |
| МО | 29 | 25 | 28 | 20 | | | | | | | |
| NE | 17 | 13 | 34 | 26 | | | | | | | |
| NC | 24 | 17 | 25 | 18 | | | | | | | |
| ND | 3 | 2 | 10 | 2 | | | | | | | |
| ОН | 18 | 10 | 23 | 13 | | | | | | | |
| SD | 9 | 6 | 25 | 9 | | | | | | | |
| TN | 37 | 25 | 35 | 23 | | | | | | | |
| WI | 20 | 6 | 17 | 14 | | | | | | | |
| 18 Sts | 24 | 18 | 30 | 23 | | | | | | | |
| These | These 18 States planted 96% | | | | | | | | | | |

| OH | 18 | 10 | 23 | 13 | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-----|----|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| SD | 9 | 6 | 25 | 9 | | | | | | | |
| TN | 37 | 25 | 35 | 23 | | | | | | | |
| WI | 20 | 6 | 17 | 14 | | | | | | | |
| 18 Sts | 24 | 18 | 30 | 23 | | | | | | | |
| These 18 States | planted | 96% | | | | | | | | | |
| of last year's soybean acreage. | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Cot | Cotton Percent Planted | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|------|-------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Prev | Prev | May 4 | 5-Yr | | | | | | | |
| | Year | Week | 2025 | Avg | | | | | | | |
| AL | 19 | 12 | 19 | 21 | | | | | | | |
| AZ | 75 | 43 | 62 | 69 | | | | | | | |
| AR | 28 | 6 | 13 | 19 | | | | | | | |
| CA | 61 | 50 | 65 | 74 | | | | | | | |
| GA | 19 | 6 | 13 | 15 | | | | | | | |
| KS | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | | | | | | | |
| LA | 28 | 8 | 18 | 33 | | | | | | | |
| MS | 29 | 4 | 18 | 19 | | | | | | | |
| MO | 31 | 5 | 17 | 15 | | | | | | | |
| NC | 15 | 6 | 15 | 13 | | | | | | | |
| OK | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| SC | 22 | 5 | 13 | 16 | | | | | | | |
| TN | 14 | 6 | 13 | 8 | | | | | | | |
| TX | 23 | 21 | 25 | 22 | | | | | | | |
| VA | 39 | 15 | 29 | 26 | | | | | | | |
| 15 Sts | 23 | 15 | 21 | 20 | | | | | | | |
| These 15 States planted 99% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| of last year's cotton acreage. | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | <u>Peanuts Per</u> | cent F | lanted | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Prev | Prev | May 4 | 5-Yr | | | | | |
| | Year | Week | 2025 | Avg | | | | | |
| AL | 11 | 5 | 13 | 16 | | | | | |
| FL | 36 | 24 | 33 | 31 | | | | | |
| GA | 21 | 7 | 19 | 15 | | | | | |
| NC | 19 | 9 | 21 | 11 | | | | | |
| ок | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | | | | | |
| sc | 26 | 5 | 17 | 21 | | | | | |
| TX | 5 | 0 | 3 | 5 | | | | | |
| VA | 35 | 5 | 25 | 22 | | | | | |
| 8 Sts | 20 | 8 | 18 | 16 | | | | | |
| These | 8 States planted | d 95% | | | | | | | |
| of last year's peanut acreage. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Sugarbe | ets P | ercent | Plante | b | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Prev | Prev | May 4 | 5-Yr | | | | | |
| | Year | Week | 2025 | Avg | | | | | |
| ID | 78 | 93 | 99 | 86 | | | | | |
| МІ | 71 | 70 | 93 | 70 | | | | | |
| MN | 83 | 44 | 77 | 45 | | | | | |
| ND | 74 | 31 | 74 | 35 | | | | | |
| 4 Sts | 78 | 54 | 83 | 54 | | | | | |
| These 4 States planted 85% | | | | | | | | | |
| of last year's sugarbeet acreage. | | | | | | | | | |

Crop Progress and Condition Week Ending May 4, 2025

| | Prev | rev Prev N | | 5-Yr | | |
|-----------------------------|------|------------|------|------|--|--|
| | Year | Week | 2025 | Avg | | |
| AR | 79 | 48 | 77 | 72 | | |
| CA | 79 | 80 | 85 | 79 | | |
| СО | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | |
| ID | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | |
| IL | 58 | 16 | 23 | 31 | | |
| IN | 21 | 4 | 12 | 10 | | |
| KS | 51 | 19 | 45 | 26 | | |
| MI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| MO | 72 | 26 | 48 | 41 | | |
| MT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| NE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| NC | 79 | 55 | 73 | 77 | | |
| ОН | 4 | 0 | 7 | 2 | | |
| ок | 64 | 44 | 60 | 63 | | |
| OR | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | | |
| SD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| TX | 73 | 72 | 78 | 73 | | |
| WA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | | |
| 18 Sts | 41 | 27 | 39 | 33 | | |
| These 18 States planted 90% | | | | | | |

| Oats Percent Planted | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------|-----------|------|------|--|--|
| | Prev | Prev Prev | | 5-Yr | | |
| | Year | Week | 2025 | Avg | | |
| IA | 95 | 81 | 91 | 89 | | |
| MN | 56 | 33 | 51 | 47 | | |
| NE | 89 | 80 | 88 | 89 | | |
| ND | 23 | 19 | 34 | 15 | | |
| ОН | 75 | 51 | 74 | 70 | | |
| PA | 58 | 54 | 70 | 58 | | |
| SD | 73 | 72 | 87 | 65 | | |
| TX | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | | |
| WI | 52 | 26 | 36 | 47 | | |
| 9 Sts | 69 | 61 | 71 | 64 | | |
| Those 9 States planted 75% | | | | | | |

These 9 States planted 75% of last year's oat acreage.

| Barley Percent Planted | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|-----------------|------|-----|--|--|
| | Prev | Prev Prev May 4 | | | | |
| | Year | Week | 2025 | Avg | | |
| ID | 73 | 67 | 85 | 71 | | |
| MN | 36 | 9 | 22 | 28 | | |
| MT | 43 | 38 | 47 | 41 | | |
| ND | 22 | 10 | 24 | 17 | | |
| WA | 80 | 68 | 76 | 78 | | |
| 5 Sts | 45 | 37 | 50 | 44 | | |
| These 5 States planted 81% | | | | | | |
| of last year's barley acreage. | | | | | | |

| Winter Wheat Condition by | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|
| Percent | | | | | |
| | VP | Р | F | G | EX |
| AR | 0 | 7 | 48 | 41 | 4 |
| CA | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 70 |
| СО | 4 | 13 | 30 | 48 | 5 |
| ID | 0 | 2 | 33 | 63 | 2 |
| IL | 1 | 4 | 34 | 50 | 11 |
| IN | 2 | 4 | 25 | 55 | 14 |
| KS | 5 | 15 | 33 | 40 | 7 |
| MI | 0 | 5 | 38 | 44 | 13 |
| MO | 0 | 4 | 20 | 67 | 9 |
| MT | 2 | 3 | 16 | 69 | 10 |
| NE | 21 | 16 | 30 | 29 | 4 |
| NC | 1 | 4 | 21 | 62 | 12 |
| ОН | 2 | 4 | 33 | 50 | 11 |
| ок | 4 | 13 | 35 | 45 | 3 |
| OR | 4 | 11 | 19 | 49 | 17 |
| SD | 10 | 24 | 41 | 25 | 0 |
| TX | 12 | 18 | 38 | 27 | 5 |
| WA | 4 | 8 | 10 | 69 | 9 |
| 18 Sts | 6 | 12 | 31 | 44 | 7 |
| Prev Wk | 5 | 14 | 32 | 40 | 9 |
| Prev Yr | 5 | 11 | 34 | 44 | 6 |

| Oats Percent Emerged | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------|-----------|------|------|--|--|
| | Prev | Prev Prev | | 5-Yr | | |
| | Year | Week | 2025 | Avg | | |
| IA | 66 | 41 | 58 | 50 | | |
| MN | 24 | 6 | 15 | 21 | | |
| NE | 67 | 47 | 67 | 62 | | |
| ND | 3 | 2 | 8 | 2 | | |
| ОН | 30 | 12 | 40 | 37 | | |
| PA | 40 | 20 | 38 | 35 | | |
| SD | 36 | 21 | 42 | 27 | | |
| ΤX | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | | |
| WI | 23 | 3 | 10 | 19 | | |
| 9 Sts | 48 | 37 | 48 | 43 | | |

These 9 States planted 75% of last year's oat acreage.

| Barley Percent Emerged | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|-----------------|------|-----|--|--|
| | Prev | Prev Prev May 4 | | | | |
| | Year | Week | 2025 | Avg | | |
| ID | 38 | 26 | 48 | 35 | | |
| MN | 8 | 0 | 5 | 7 | | |
| MT | 5 | 5 | 10 | 7 | | |
| ND | 3 | 2 | 5 | 2 | | |
| WA | 45 | 20 | 45 | 41 | | |
| 5 Sts | 13 | 9 | 18 | 14 | | |
| These 5 States planted 81% | | | | | | |
| of last year's barley acreage. | | | | | | |

| Spring Wheat Percent Planted | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|--|--|--|
| | Prev | Prev | May 4 | 5-Yr | | | |
| | Year | Week | 2025 | Avg | | | |
| ID | 81 | 71 | 86 | 73 | | | |
| MN | 51 | 14 | 30 | 32 | | | |
| MT | 50 | 32 | 42 | 37 | | | |
| ND | 30 | 19 | 35 | 22 | | | |
| SD | 77 | 79 | 94 | 63 | | | |
| WA | 88 | 79 | 87 | 86 | | | |
| 6 Sts | 45 | 30 | 44 | 34 | | | |
| These 6 States planted 100% | | | | | | | |
| of last year's spring wheat acreage. | | | | | | | |

| Spring Wheat Percent Emerged | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|--|--|--|
| | Prev | Prev | May 4 | 5-Yr | | | |
| | Year | Week | 2025 | Avg | | | |
| ID | 48 | 28 | 50 | 35 | | | |
| MN | 16 | 0 | 5 | 10 | | | |
| MT | 3 | 0 | 5 | 6 | | | |
| ND | 4 | 2 | 8 | 3 | | | |
| SD | 28 | 25 | 45 | 24 | | | |
| WA | 52 | 32 | 55 | 57 | | | |
| 6 Sts | 11 | 5 | 13 | 9 | | | |
| Those 6 States planted 100% | | | | | | | |

These 6 States planted 100% of last year's spring wheat acreage.

| Sorghum Percent Planted | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|--|--|
| | Prev | Prev | May 4 | 5-Yr | | |
| | Year | Week | 2025 | Avg | | |
| со | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | | |
| KS | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | | |
| NE | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | | |
| ок | 13 | 17 | 19 | 9 | | |
| SD | 15 | 3 | 8 | 6 | | |
| TX | 70 | 67 | 70 | 69 | | |
| 6 Sts | 22 | 21 | 23 | 22 | | |
| These 6 States planted 100% | | | | | | |
| of last year's sorghum acreage. | | | | | | |

Crop Progress and Condition

Week Ending May 4, 2025

| | Pasture and Range Condition by Percent | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|----|-----|--------|------|-------------|-------|----|------|----|----|
| | | | | Week E | Endi | ng May 4, 2 | 025 | | | | |
| | VP | Р | F | G | EX | | VP | Р | F | G | EX |
| AL | 1 | 3 | 16 | 65 | 15 | NH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 |
| ΑZ | 40 | 46 | 12 | 2 | 0 | NJ | 9 | 20 | 42 | 29 | 0 |
| AR | 1 | 9 | 41 | 42 | 7 | NM | 26 | 22 | 29 | 14 | 9 |
| CA | 0 | 0 | 5 | 35 | 60 | NY | 0 | 3 | 30 | 57 | 10 |
| СО | 8 | 18 | 42 | 31 | 1 | NC | 1 | 7 | 35 | 53 | 4 |
| CT | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | ND | 10 | 20 | 36 | 33 | 1 |
| DE | 4 | 13 | 36 | 43 | 4 | ОН | 0 | 3 | 22 | 67 | 8 |
| FL | 6 | 41 | 31 | 13 | 9 | ок | 6 | 11 | 38 | 39 | 6 |
| GA | 3 | 9 | 34 | 45 | 9 | OR | 6 | 11 | 22 | 41 | 20 |
| ID | 2 | 9 | 24 | 40 | 25 | PA | 1 | 2 | 6 | 63 | 28 |
| IL | 2 | 5 | 27 | 45 | 21 | RI | 0 | 0 | 20 | 80 | 0 |
| IN | 2 | 3 | 24 | 60 | 11 | sc | 4 | 7 | 35 | 48 | 6 |
| IA | 1 | 6 | 33 | 48 | 12 | SD | 11 | 27 | 47 | 14 | 1 |
| KS | 4 | 13 | 28 | 46 | 9 | TN | 1 | 7 | 23 | 55 | 14 |
| KY | 1 | 7 | 22 | 59 | 11 | TX | 7 | 18 | 39 | 29 | 7 |
| LA | 1 | 4 | 29 | 56 | 10 | UT | 2 | 15 | 24 | 54 | 5 |
| ME | 0 | 0 | 53 | 47 | 0 | VT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 27 |
| MD | 10 | 35 | 18 | 30 | 7 | VA | 6 | 12 | 38 | 42 | 2 |
| MA | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | WA | 1 | 2 | 28 | 69 | 0 |
| MI | 0 | 9 | 21 | 51 | 19 | wv | 2 | 9 | 34 | 52 | 3 |
| MN | 2 | 5 | 43 | 43 | 7 | WI | 4 | 14 | 35 | 40 | 7 |
| MS | 2 | 6 | 32 | 52 | 8 | WY | 21 | 17 | 35 | 25 | 2 |
| МО | 0 | 1 | 15 | 77 | 7 | 48 Sts | 13 | 20 | 32 | 28 | 7 |
| MT | 23 | 39 | 25 | 13 | 0 | | | | | | |
| NE | 15 | 15 | 45 | 24 | 1 | Prev Wk | NA NA | N/ | A NA | | NA |
| NV | 25 | 55 | 15 | 5 | 0 | Prev Yr | 10 | 15 | 29 | 38 | 8 |

| Rice Percent Planted | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-------|------|--|--|
| | Prev Prev | | May 4 | 5-Yr | | |
| | Year | Week | 2025 | Avg | | |
| AR | 89 | 68 | 77 | 66 | | |
| CA | 19 | 20 | 35 | 27 | | |
| LA | 95 | 92 | 95 | 89 | | |
| MS | 60 | 62 | 74 | 57 | | |
| МО | 76 | 44 | 59 | 56 | | |
| TX | 89 | 89 | 93 | 89 | | |
| 6 Sts | 77 | 64 | 73 | 64 | | |
| These 6 States planted 100% | | | | | | |
| of last year's rice acreage. | | | | | | |

| Rice | Rice Percent Emerged | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|------|------|--|--|--|--|
| | Prev | Prev Prev | | 5-Yr | | | | |
| | Year | Week | 2025 | Avg | | | | |
| AR | 69 | 40 | 55 | 40 | | | | |
| CA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | | | | |
| LA | 86 | 86 | 90 | 82 | | | | |
| MS | 40 | 31 | 55 | 35 | | | | |
| МО | 39 | 11 | 40 | 33 | | | | |
| TX | 77 | 77 | 85 | 77 | | | | |
| 6 Sts | 58 | 42 | 54 | 42 | | | | |
| These 6 States planted 100% | | | | | | | | |
| of last year's rice acreage. | | | | | | | | |

VP - Very Poor;

P - Poor;

F - Fair;

G - Good;


EX - Excellent


NA - Not Available;

*Revised

Crop Progress and Condition


Week Ending May 4, 2025






Crop Progress and Condition

Week Ending May 4, 2025





International Weather and Crop Summary

April 27 – May 3, 2025
International Weather and Crop Highlights and Summaries provided by USDA/WAOB

HIGHLIGHTS

EUROPE: Sunny and warm weather in central and northern Europe juxtaposed with additional beneficial rain on the Iberian Peninsula.

WESTERN FSU: Much cooler temperatures slowed the recent rapid pace of winter crop development, while showers in southern Russia contrasted with dry weather in Ukraine and Moldova.

MIDDLE EAST: Widespread moderate to heavy showers from Turkey into northwestern Iran favored reproductive filling winter grains, while dry and hot weather lowered wheat and barley prospects in eastern Iran.

NORTHWESTERN AFRICA: Sunny and warm weather promoted winter grain maturation and drydown following early-week showers in eastern growing areas.

EAST ASIA: Hot, dry weather in northern China contrasted with favorable showers in the south.

SOUTHEAST ASIA: Pre-monsoon showers returned to Indochina, further improving moisture conditions ahead of the main cropping season.

AUSTRALIA: Dry and cooler weather promoted fieldwork across much of Australia.


ARGENTINA: Scattered showers interrupted harvesting of cotton in the far north and soybean and corn in parts of the south.

BRAZIL: Showers, albeit patchy, sustained favorable soil moisture for second-crop corn.

MEXICO: Warm, mostly dry weather across the southern plateau corn belt continued to limit early-season planting efforts, while drought-related impacts in northwestern Mexico included limited irrigation reserves for cotton and other summer crops.



EUROPE
Total Precipitation(mm)
April 27 - May 3, 2025




EUROPE

Mostly dry and warm weather over central and northern Europe contrasted with additional showers on the Iberian Peninsula. Following the preceding week's much-needed showers over much of central and northern Europe, sunny skies and above-normal temperatures (3-6°C above normal) encouraged the development of vegetative (Germany, Poland, and the Baltic States) to reproductive (England and France) winter wheat, barley, and rapeseed. However, significant long-term moisture deficits persisted in England, northern France*, Denmark, and Germany, where season-to-date rainfall (since March 1) has totaled less than 50 percent of normal. Similarly, mostly dry conditions over the eastern third of the continent encouraged winter crop development as well as corn, sunflower, and soybean sowing. Winter crops need rain over northeastern Europe but were developing favorably

across most of the Danube River Valley save for the very dry Black Sea Coast. Dry and warm weather (4-5°C above normal) in northern Italy promoted fieldwork and winter grain development, though heavy showers returned to Italy at the end of the monitoring period. Meanwhile, additional moderate to heavy showers and thunderstorms (10-65 mm) over Spain and Portugal sustained good to excellent yield prospects for reproductive to filling winter grains. Since September 1, rainfall over Spain's primary growing regions has tallied 200 to 260 percent of normal, the wettest of the past 30 years in Andalucía and Castilla La Mancha and second wettest in Castilla y León.

*Surface-based weather station data from France were either missing or suspect; radar and satellite data were used to augment the analysis.

WESTERN FSU Total Precipitation(mm) April 27 - May 3, 2025



Data availability may be affected by the current geopolitical situation in Ukraine

CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER, NOAA Computer generated contours Based on preliminary data




WESTERN FSU

Cooler weather settled over the region, with additional showers in southern Russia contrasting with increasingly dry conditions in Ukraine and Moldova. Following the preceding week's anomalous warmth, temperatures up to 6°C below normal from eastern Ukraine into western and central Russia slowed the recent rapid growth of vegetative winter crops. Even with the cooler weather, winter grains and oilseeds were still developing one to two weeks ahead of average.

Light to moderate showers in southern Russia (2-30 mm) maintained good to excellent conditions for winter wheat and recently planted summer crops. Showers were also plentiful (10-60 mm) across Russia's northern growing areas, favoring recently planted to emerging spring grains. Conversely, dry weather prevailed across Moldova and Ukraine, further reducing soil moisture for vegetative winter wheat, heading winter barley, and budding to flowering rapeseed.

MIDDLE EAST Total Precipitation(mm) April 27 - May 3, 2025



CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER, NOAA Computer generated contours Based on preliminary data



MIDDLE EAST


Widespread moderate to heavy showers expanded eastward across the Middle East, though dry and hot weather lingered in eastern Iran. A slow-moving upperair low over the eastern Mediterranean Sea generated showers and thunderstorms — some with large hail and heavy downpours — from Turkey (5-35 mm, locally as much as 90 mm on the southwestern coast) into Iraq (5-15 mm) and northwestern Iran (10-30 mm). The rain

further improved prospects for reproductive to filling winter grains in western and central portions of the region and boosted irrigation reserves for Turkey's summer crops. Conversely, mostly dry and very hot weather in eastern Iran (35-43°C) stressed filling winter barley and wheat; yield prospects have slipped in eastern Iran's Khorasan Province due to protracted dryness and incursions of extreme heat.

NORTHWESTERN AFRICA

Total Precipitation(mm)

April 27 - May 3, 2025



CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER, NOAA Computer generated contours Based on preliminary data




NORTHWESTERN AFRICA

Dry weather expanded across the region following early-week showers in eastern croplands. A departing Mediterranean disturbance generated 10 to 65 mm of rain early in the period over eastern Algeria and northern Tunisia, providing an additional boost to already favorable yield prospects for filling winter grains in these eastern growing areas. Elsewhere, sunny skies and near-to above-normal temperatures (up to 5°C above normal in western Algeria) accelerated winter grain maturation, drydown, and early harvesting.

Severe drought during the first half of the growing season in Morocco and western Algeria irrevocably lowered yield prospects for wheat and barley, though crops recovered to some extent following the onset of late-season rain in February and March.

This will be the last weekly summary for Northwestern Africa. Coverage will resume in November 2025 to coincide with winter grain planting.

EASTERN ASIA Total Precipitation(mm) April 27 - May 3, 2025




EASTERN ASIA

Mostly dry weather and summer-like temperatures (upper 30s degrees C) prevailed on the North China Plain, advancing wheat development rapidly reproduction and necessitating supplemental irrigation to maintain yield prospects. Spring moisture conditions up to this point in the season had been favorable, but short-term dryness has settled over the bulk of the wheat crop. Drier conditions were also prevalent in sections of the Yangtze Valley but were more favorable for rapeseed beginning to mature. In contrast, previously dry conditions in parts of southern China gave way to increased rainfall, with recent

totals topping 10 mm across a large swath and higher values (in excess of 50 mm) embedded within. The improved moisture conditions benefited early-crop rice and irrigation reserves. Meanwhile, seasonably warmer weather expanded across northeastern China, supporting corn and soybean sowing, as showers (10-50 mm or more) in the eastern prefectures of Heilongjiang, Jilin, and Liaoning aided germination and establishment. Elsewhere in China, above-average temperatures (4-6°C above average) in the absence of stressful heat across Xinjiang promoted cotton establishment and development.

SOUTHEAST ASIA Total Precipitation(mm) April 27 - May 3, 2025




SOUTHEAST ASIA

Shifting tropical winds brought increased premonsoon rainfall to Thailand and the surrounding areas. An increasingly southerly fetch produced over 25 mm (locally over 100 mm) of rain over a wide area, improving soil moisture and increasing irrigation supplies ahead of the main cropping season for Indochina; southwesterly winds will eventually settle in marking the start of the

monsoon season. Meanwhile, a band of heavy showers with embedded downpours (over 50 mm, locally topping 100 mm) stretched across oil palm areas of northern Indonesia and Malaysia into rice and corn areas of the central and southern Philippines. While the wet weather slowed or fully halted fieldwork in some locations, the moisture benefited other locales with in-season crops.

AUSTRALIA Total Precipitation(mm) April 27 - May 3, 2025



Gridded data from the Australian Bureau of Meteorology: www.bom.gov.au/ Creative Commons License found at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/legalcode CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER, NOAA Computer generated contours Based on preliminary data




AUSTRALIA

Drier and cooler weather overspread much of the country, though heavy showers doused coastal areas of southeastern Australia. Mostly dry and cool conditions expanded across southern and eastern Australia's primary growing areas, with temperatures averaging up to 2°C below normal in South Australia and environs. However, scattered light to moderate showers (2-30 mm) dotted eastern portions of New South Wales, improving soil moisture locally for

winter grain sowing. An easterly wind netted heavy showers (25-170 mm) east of the Great Dividing Range in coastal southeastern Queensland, though this rain fell well east of the state's winter grain belt. Despite the cooler temperatures, extreme drought persisted over South Australia and Victoria, where the latest satellite-derived Vegetation Health Index was the lowest and second lowest on record, respectively, for this time of year dating back to 1986.

ARGENTINA Total Precipitation(mm) April 27 - May 3, 2025




ARGENTINA

Light to moderate scattered showers slowed fieldwork in far northern farming areas around Chaco (amounts totaled 10-50 mm). Drier conditions persisted elsewhere, allowing harvesting to resume in areas fieldwork had been slowed previously due to rain. Warm temperatures continued with weekly temperatures averaging near to above normal. Daytime highs ranged in the

middle to upper 20s (degrees C) for most major farming areas. Nighttime lows stayed just above freezing in parts of the south and well above freezing in the central and northern regions. According to the government of Argentina, as of April 30, harvesting of corn was 31 percent complete, while cotton and soybean harvesting was 22 and 25 percent complete, respectively.

BRAZIL
Total Precipitation(mm)
April 27 - May 3, 2025




BRAZIL

Showers became a little more patchy compared to last week across the Center-West extending into parts of the south. Despite not being as widespread, many key corn-producing municipalities recorded at least 10 mm of rain, sustaining good yield potential for a crop progressing through various stages of reproduction; harvesting typically begins by the end of May in the main growing zone. Showers (10-50 mm or more) were also recorded in the surrounding

states, including Bahia and Minas Gerais as well as to the south in Rio Grande do Sul. The eastern rainfall supported immature cotton and other summer crops, while the southern rain further improved soil moisture ahead of wheat sowing. Temperatures were generally seasonable in the major crop areas, ranging from the lower 30s degrees C in the north to the upper 20s degrees C in the south.

MEXICO Total Precipitation(mm) April 27 - May 3, 2025



CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER, NOAA Computer generated contours Based on preliminary data



MEXICO

Mostly dry weather across the southern plateau corn belt, accompanied by temperatures generally averaging as much as 1 to 2°C above normal, maintained a slow pace of summer crop seeding while producers awaited more regular rainfall. Temperatures also averaged at least 1 to 2°C above

normal as far north as the Rio Bravo Valley, although locally heavy showers dotted northeastern Mexico (Coahuila, Nuevo Leon, and Tamaulipas). Elsewhere, seasonably dry weather prevailed in northwestern Mexico, where acreage for a variety of crops has been limited by drought-depleted irrigation reserves.

Average Soil Temperature (Deg. F) April 27 - May 03, 2025 53505152 46⁴⁸4446 4955 54 < 35 61 54 58 35 40 61 4956 55 62 54 45 6367 57⁶³ 50 55 65 60 65 70 75 > 80 40 F Wheat can develop Based on temperatures taken 50 F Corn can develop in the top 4" of bare and covered soil. 60 F Cotton can develop

Data provided by the Climate Prediction Center, High Plains Regional Climate Center, Illinois State Water Survey, Iowa State University, Oklahoma Mesonet, Purdue University, University of Missouri, Michigan Automated Weather Network, West Texas Mesonet, South Dakota State Univ. Mesonet, Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center, North Carolina ECONet, North Dakota NDAWN, and USDA/NRCS.



The Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin (ISSN 0043-1974) is jointly prepared by the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). Publication began in 1872 as the Weekly Weather Chronicle. It is issued under general authority of the Act of January 12, 1895 (44-USC 213), 53rd Congress, 3rd Session. The contents may be redistributed freely with proper credit.

Correspondence to the meteorologists should be directed to: Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin, NOAA/USDA, Joint Agricultural Weather Facility, USDA South Building, Room 4443B, Washington, DC 20250.

Internet URL: www.usda.gov/oce/weather-drought-monitor
E-mail address: brad.rippey@usda.gov

An archive of past Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletins can be found at https://usda.library.cornell.edu/, keyword search "Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin".

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE World Agricultural Outlook Board

National Agricultural Statistics Service

Agricultural Statistician and State Summaries Editor........... Irwin Anolik

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Weather Service/Climate Prediction Center

Meteorologists...... Brad Pugh, Adam Allgood, Ryan Bolt, and Rich Tinker

USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer. To file a complaint of discrimination, write: USDA, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, Office of Adjudication, 1400 Independence Ave., SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (866) 632-9992 (Toll-Free Customer Service), (800) 877-8339 (Local or Federal relay), (866) 377-8642 (Relay voice users).