
# WEEKEY MATHER AND CROP BULLETIN

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Weather Service U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE National Agricultural Statistics Service and World Agricultural Outlook Board




### **HIGHLIGHTS**June 15 – 21, 2025


Highlights provided by USDA/WAOB

A ridge of high pressure expanding eastward from the western U.S. delivered increasingly hot weather to parts of the Plains and Midwest, while gradually cutting off a previously wet pattern east of the Rockies. Still, before the transition occurred, weekly rainfall totaled at least 1 to 3 inches across parts of the central and eastern U.S., generally benefiting summer crops. However, some of the rain was produced by severe thunderstorms, which featured large hail, high winds, and isolated tornadoes. Some of the most significant severe weather occurred (Continued on page 3)

### Contents

Highlights & Total Precipitation Map
U.S. Seasonal Drought Outlook4
Palmer Drought & Crop Moisture Maps5
Growing Degree Day Maps6
National Weather Data for Selected Cities8
National Agricultural Summary 11
Crop Progress and Condition Tables 12
International Weather and Crop Summary






(Continued from front cover)

across the north-central U.S. on the night of June 20-21, when straight-line winds as high as 80 to 100 mph tore across the entire width of North Dakota. Meanwhile, most of the West experienced dry weather, although late-week developments included much-needed precipitation in the Pacific Northwest and northern Rockies, as well as the establishment of a northward transport of tropical moisture into the southern Rockies and environs. Weekly temperatures broadly averaged at least 5°F above normal from the Desert Southwest and the Four Corners region northeastward into the upper Great Lakes States. In fact, near- or abovenormal temperatures dominated country, except in portions of the Pacific Coast States. As the week progressed, triple-digit temperatures northeastward across parts of the Plains, with readings topping the 100-degree mark as far north as South Dakota.

Early-week heat was focused across the **Southwest**, where record-setting highs for


June 15 soared to 115°F in Imperial, CA, and 111°F in Safford, AZ. Imperial achieved another daily-record high of 115°F on June 16, while El Paso, TX, opened the week with a pair of daily-record highs (109 and 108°F, respectively, on June 15 and 16). With a daily-record high of 101°F on June 16, Albuquerque, NM, reported its first tripledigit reading since June 26, 2024. In contrast, lingering cloudiness and showers in the middle Atlantic States held June 15 high temperatures below the 70-degree mark in Delaware locations such as Wilmington (65°F) and Georgetown (68°F). During the second half of the week, record-setting heat shifted northeastward. By June 19, triple-digit, daily-record highs included 104°F in Salt Lake City, UT, and 102°F in Grand Junction, CO. Heat emerged across the Plains on June 20, when daily-record highs in Nebraska rose to 106°F in Imperial, 105°F in McCook, and 103°F in Chadron. Elsewhere, triple-digit, daily-record highs for June 20 included 106°F in Rapid City, SD, and 103°F in Pueblo, CO. Imperial noted 106°F again on June 21, tying a daily record that had been set in 1936. As heat overspread the western Corn Belt, daily-record highs for the 21st reached 104°F in Mitchell, SD, and 96°F in Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN. With a June 21 low of 80°F, Minneapolis-St. Paul also noted its earliest-ever minimum temperature of 80°F or higher. Meanwhile in Iowa, June 21 minima of 77°F in Mason City and Waterloo set or tied records for the month of June.


As the week began, showers and thunderstorms peppered the **central** and eastern U.S. June 15 featured daily-record totals in Mount Ida, AR (2.61 inches); Wichita Falls, TX (2.44 inches); and Miles City, MT (1.32 inches). A day later, record-setting totals for June 16 included 3.22 inches in Elizabeth City, NC, and 2.36 inches in Hibbing, MN. Early June 17, powerful thunderstorms sweeping across the **central Plains** dumped 4.19 inches of rain on Wichita, KS, and produced a peak northerly wind gust to 101 mph. That marked the wettest June day in Wichita since 1965, when 4.65 inches fell on the 4th. Elsewhere in Kansas, a gust to 89 mph was clocked





on June 17 in Hutchinson. Hit-or-miss thunderstorms continued for several days, with daily-record amounts for June 18 reaching 3.15 inches in Rochester, NY, and 1.86 inches in Chicago, IL. In Florida, Saint Petersburg collected a record-setting sum (3.91 inches) for June 19 and measured a weekly total of 6.54 inches. Late in the week, showers and thunderstorms raced eastward across the northern U.S. The June 20-21 high-wind event produced peak gusts of 84 mph in Buffalo, SD, and 73 mph in Fargo, ND. Reports from North Dakota's Agricultural Weather Network (NDAWN) indicated gusts above 90 mph in parts of Grant, Emmons, Burleigh, Kidder, Stutsman, Barnes, and Cass Counties. One NDAWN site, near Linton, in Emmons County, measured 101 mph, while the airport site in Bemidji, MN, recorded 106 mph. Farther west, beneficial Northwestern showers resulted in a daily-record sum (0.89 inch on June 21) in Portland, OR.


In Alaska, a warm spell—with weekly temperatures averaging 5 to 10°F above normal across much of the mainland—contributed to a significant increase in early-season wildfire activity, with the Bear Creek Fire near Healy destroying at least a dozen structures and charring more than 26,000 acres of vegetation. Elsewhere in the state, more than three dozen active wildfires have collectively burned more than 100,000 acres. In Fairbanks, high temperatures topped the 80-degree mark each day from June 14-20, peaking at 85°F on the last 2 days of the streak. In contrast, some chilly weather lingered across southern Alaska, where daily-record lows included 33°F (on June 19) in King Salmon; 37°F (on June 18) in Kodiak; and 38°F (on June 17) in Juneau. Farther south, Hawaii experienced another week with mostly dry weather, except in typically wetter windward locations. At the state's major airport observation sites, June 1-21 rainfall ranged from a trace in Kahului, Maui, to 3.67 inches (74 percent of normal) in Hilo, on the Big Island. Trade winds were strong at times, with Kahului clocking a peak gust to 47 mph on June 15.




















### Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin National Weather Data for Selected Cities

Weather Data for the Week Ending June 21, 2025
Accessible Data Available from the Climate Prediction Center

				ACC	essin	ie D	ala A	allable	HOIII	tile Cili	nate P	euicii	on Cen	lei	REL	ATIVE	NUN	/IBER	OF D	AYS
		1	ГЕМБ	PERA	TUR	E °	F			PREC	CIPITA	ATION	I		HUM	IDITY		IP. °F		CIP
	STATES														PER	CENT		1		
5	AND STATIONS	AVERAGE MAXIMUM	AVERAGE MINIMUM	EXTREME HIGH	EXTREME LOW	AVERAGE	DEPARTURE FROM NORMAL	WEEKLY TOTAL, IN.	DEPARTURE FROM NORMAL	GREATEST IN 24-HOUR, IN.	TOTAL, IN., SINCE JUN 1	PCT. NORMAL SINCE JUN 1	TOTAL, IN., SINCE JAN 1	PCT. NORMAL SINCE JAN 1	AVERAGE MAXIMUM	AVERAGE MINIMUM	90 AND ABOVE	32 AND BELOW	.01 INCH OR MORE	.50 INCH OR MORE
AK	ANCHORAGE	63	48	72	44	55	-1	0.00	-0.24	0.00	0.99	150	7.41	183	92	59	0	0	0	0
	BARROW FAIRBANKS	53 83	38 57	61 85	36 52	45 70	0 8	0.00	-0.10 -0.37	0.00	0.33 0.27	120 29	0.50 4.47	39 134	84 82	66 28	0	0	0	0
	JUNEAU	66	47	75	39	57	2	0.94	0.03	0.46	3.85	149	32.47	137	95	55	0	0	5	0
	KODIAK NOME	59 62	45 46	67 71	37 38	52 54	0 4	0.20 0.26	-0.97 0.02	0.10 0.12	4.36 2.71	114 432	45.26 8.75	128 178	94 94	60 64	0	0	4 3	0
AL	BIRMINGHAM	87	69	92	65	78	-1	3.48	2.35	1.44	6.64	202	37.48	129	96	59	1	0	6	2
	HUNTSVILLE	87	69	95	66	78	-1	2.92	1.99	1.35	5.28	193	36.79	132	96	18	2	0	6	3
	MOBILE	89	72	94	70	81	0	3.41	1.87	1.59	8.31	182	39.29	125	97	61	4	0	5	3
AR	MONTGOMERY FORT SMITH	90 91	69 72	94 96	68 68	80 81	-1 2	1.72 1.27	0.78 0.23	0.86 0.93	4.91 5.39	179 167	28.97 30.40	114 130	99 96	57 54	4	0	4	1
AIX	LITTLE ROCK	91	72	94	68	82	3	0.45	-0.33	0.45	3.58	139	30.74	119	99	56	5	0	1	0
AZ	FLAGSTAFF	87	48	90	45	67	6	0.00	-0.06	0.00	0.38	223	6.37	80	29	7	1	0	0	0
	PHOENIX	112	83	117	81	98	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48	900	1.81	61	20	5	7	0	0	0
	PRESCOTT TUCSON	93 109	62 76	98 113	56 74	78 92	5 5	0.00	-0.08 -0.05	0.00	1.67 0.35	900 352	6.30 0.93	138 33	24 26	6 6	6 7	0	0	0
CA	BAKERSFIELD	93	65	102	59	79	0	0.00	-0.01	0.00	0.01	27	2.96	67	44	14	5	0	0	0
	EUREKA	60	46	64	42	53	-3	0.02	-0.12	0.02	0.04	6	22.27	93	94	73	0	0	1	0
	FRESNO LOS ANGELES	93 73	63 62	99 77	56 60	78 68	0 1	0.00	-0.06 -0.01	0.00	0.00 0.01	0 18	6.29 5.31	81 62	52 86	12 57	5	0	0	0
	REDDING	92	62	104	58	77	-1	0.00	-0.15	0.00	0.00	0	18.20	86	51	12	5	0	0	0
	SACRAMENTO	87	56	98	53	71	-1	0.00	-0.05	0.00	0.00	0	7.05	58	54	37	3	0	0	0
	SAN DIEGO	72 65	63 52	76 68	62	67	0 -4	0.00	-0.01	0.00	0.01	30 0	4.74	71 61	86 87	63	0	0	0	0
	SAN FRANCISCO STOCKTON	65 89	52 55	100	51 52	59 72	-4 -3	0.00	-0.03 -0.02	0.00	0.00	0	7.74 6.74	76	69	54 19	4	0	0	0
СО	ALAMOSA	88	43	90	39	65	4	0.00	-0.09	0.00	0.13	51	4.43	174	75	10	1	0	0	0
	CO SPRINGS	86	53	93	48	69	1	1.35	0.84	0.88	4.30	268	12.07	187	79	22	4	0	2	1
	DENVER INTL GRAND JUNCTION	89 97	56 65	99 102	50 62	73 81	3 7	0.30	-0.12 -0.09	0.30 0.00	2.36 0.89	168 300	9.64 2.69	139 66	67 21	22 6	5 7	0	1	0
	PUEBLO	95	57	103	50	76	4	0.00	-0.09	0.00	0.09	84	4.94	90	74	17	5	0	1	0
CT	BRIDGEPORT	76	64	87	60	70	-1	0.28	-0.60	0.11	0.73	25	15.93	76	91	63	0	0	4	0
DC	HARTFORD	79	61	91	53	70 75	1 -2	1.00	-0.01	0.89	2.27	73	23.59	112	93	56	1	0	3 5	1
DC DE	WASHINGTON WILMINGTON	82 80	67 65	91 93	63 60	73	-2 -1	4.22 0.98	3.23 -0.13	1.94 0.77	5.19 1.77	180 53	25.78 22.17	135 108	94 93	65 64	1 2	0	5 4	3
FL	DAYTONA BEACH	90	74	92	72	82	2	1.07	-0.66	0.80	2.87	60	15.44	79	93	56	6	0	2	1
	JACKSONVILLE	93	73	94	71	83	2	0.93	-1.00	0.45	3.57	68	22.04	104	95	52	7	0	3	0
	KEY WEST MIAMI	89 90	82 78	89 90	81 75	85 84	1 1	0.03 1.08	-0.97 -1.51	0.03 0.58	3.44 10.04	112 135	14.46 22.16	110 94	81 86	68 58	0 7	0	1	0
	ORLANDO	93	75	95	73	84	3	1.18	-0.80	1.15	1.99	35	18.63	94	96	50	7	0	2	1
	PENSACOLA	91	75	94	71	83	0	0.53	-1.26	0.39	4.12	81	30.48	103	95	57	4	0	3	0
	TALLAHASSEE	91	73	97	71	82	1	3.62	1.62	2.33	8.70	160	30.11	114	92	54	4	0	5	3
	TAMPA WEST PALM BEACH	91 91	77 78	92 92	74 74	84 84	1 3	2.06 0.83	0.16 -1.26	0.67 0.47	5.26 2.15	109 35	17.50 13.85	98 57	92 82	59 54	7 6	0	7 4	2
GA	ATHENS	89	69	92	67	79	1	0.23	-0.99	0.22	2.71	80	24.99	107	99	54	3	0	2	0
	ATLANTA	89	71	92	68	80	2	0.44	-0.65	0.31	3.91	129	27.97	115	90	49	4	0	3	0
	AUGUSTA COLUMBUS	92 90	70 72	93 92	69 70	81 81	1 0	0.04 1.67	-1.11 0.72	0.02 0.94	3.44 3.64	101 128	23.23 31.33	110 132	97 95	49 54	6 4	0	2	0 2
	MACON	90	69	92	66	80	-1	0.37	-0.72	0.94	5.41	183	26.15	118	100	56	5	0	3	0
	SAVANNAH	92	72	93	61	82	1	0.57	-1.13	0.47	4.21	89	22.22	104	95	49	7	0	3	0
HI	HILO	83	69	87	68	76	1	1.76	-0.01	0.52	3.87	78 60	28.90	55	91	57	0	0	6	2
	HONOLULU KAHULUI	87 87	75 72	88 89	73 65	81 80	0	0.00	-0.11 -0.03	0.00	0.21 0.00	60 0	9.49 6.24	117 67	77 77	47 48	0	0	0	0
	LIHUE	84	74	85	72	79	1	0.32	-0.13	0.14	0.81	66	10.37	59	85	61	0	0	4	0
IA	BURLINGTON	84	66	90	61	75 70	2	1.17	0.06	0.79	1.51	43	11.22	66	97	61	1	0	3	1
	CEDAR RAPIDS DES MOINES	85 87	66 67	93 97	60 60	76 77	5 4	0.84 1.12	-0.49 -0.13	0.43 0.33	1.15 1.52	29 40	10.18 15.01	64 85	92 92	56 53	1 2	0	4 6	0
	DUBUQUE	83	64	91	57	74	4	1.12	0.14	0.33	1.86	50	11.69	68	92 95	59	1	0	6	1
	SIOUX CITY	90	64	98	53	77	6	0.10	-0.90	0.04	2.74	88	9.90	73	90	43	5	0	3	0
15	WATERLOO	84	66	94	59	75 70	3	3.35	1.98	2.28	5.62	139	16.89	100	95	55	1	0	5	2
ID	BOISE LEWISTON	85 80	55 56	93 90	43 51	70 68	1 1	0.13 0.00	-0.03 -0.28	0.13 0.00	0.39 0.03	65 3	6.76 5.85	96 77	57 55	17 25	3	0	1 0	0
	POCATELLO	84	47	92	43	65	3	0.00	-0.20	0.00	0.17	22	6.88	103	70	17	1	0	0	0
IL	CHICAGO/O_HARE	87	66	94	57	77	5	2.18	1.22	1.86	2.92	99	13.48	76	85	49	2	0	2	1
	MOLINE PEORIA	86 85	67 68	93 93	61 64	77 76	4	1.41 1.63	0.26 0.81	0.81 1.20	2.98 4.19	85 157	16.16 16.72	89 92	94 96	52 59	2	0	3	2
	ROCKFORD	86	65	93	58	76 76	5 5	1.93	0.68	1.20	3.22	84	12.14	92 69	86	47	2	0	3	1
	SPRINGFIELD	85	67	93	63	76	1	0.26	-0.81	0.26	3.81	114	14.98	80	98	61	1	0	1	0
IN	EVANSVILLE	88	70	94	67	79	3	0.89	-0.13	0.58	6.52	211	32.71	133	91	55	2	0	3	1
	FORT WAYNE INDIANAPOLIS	83 84	64 67	91 91	61 64	74 76	2 2	1.28 1.48	0.27 0.33	1.03 0.59	3.59 5.41	111 157	16.24 24.01	85 110	94 92	60 58	1	0	3	1
	SOUTH BEND	85	64	93	58	75	5	0.63	-0.33	0.61	2.84	97	15.91	88	93	51	2	0	2	1
KS	CONCORDIA	90	68	98	62	79	4	0.72	-0.11	0.72	2.13	79	7.03	57	88	41	5	0	1	1
	DODGE CITY GOODLAND	90 93	65 61	95 103	57 52	77 77	1 5	0.00 0.35	-0.78 -0.31	0.00 0.35	2.68 1.91	116 89	9.84 7.26	99 89	87 85	45 25	4	0	0	0
	TOPEKA	89	68	97	52 59	77 78	2	0.35	-0.31	0.35	3.47	96	14.04	89 82	92	25 49	3	0	1	0
																			_	

Based on 1991-2020 normals

\*\*\* Not Available

				***	atric	, D	ata it	Ji tile	VVCCI	Lilui	ng su	IIE Z I	, 2025	)	RELA	ATIVE	NUN	/IBER	OF D	AYS
		1	ГЕМЕ	PERA	TUR	E °	F			PREC	CIPITA	ATION	l		HUM	IDITY		IP. °F		CIP
	STATES		ı												PER	CENT				H
S	AND STATIONS	AVERAGE MAXIMUM	AVERAGE MINIMUM	EXTREME HIGH	EXTREME LOW	AVERAGE	DEPARTURE FROM NORMAL	WEEKLY TOTAL, IN.	DEPARTURE FROM NORMAL	GREATEST IN 24-HOUR, IN.	TOTAL, IN., SINCE JUN 1	PCT. NORMAL SINCE JUN 1	TOTAL, IN., SINCE JAN 1	PCT. NORMAL SINCE JAN 1	AVERAGE MAXIMUM	AVERAGE MINIMUM	90 AND ABOVE	32 AND BELOW	.01 INCH OR MORE	.50 INCH OR MORE
KY	WICHITA LEXINGTON	88 84	67 66	94 89	62 62	78 75	0 1	2.19 1.88	1.06 0.73	2.19 1.32	9.27 4.57	262 129	23.19 37.34	143 149	93 92	49 62	3	0	1 3	1
	LOUISVILLE	88	71	94	68	80	2	0.71	-0.28	0.37	2.39	79	32.43	133	85	51	2	0	4	0
LA	PADUCAH BATON ROUGE	87 91	70 73	92 95	65 71	79 82	1 1	2.77 3.02	1.74 1.39	1.57 1.50	6.71 5.17	212 113	34.87 34.15	136 113	97 98	61 58	2 5	0	4 5	2 2
	LAKE CHARLES	89	74	92	72	82	-1	0.30	-1.28	0.30	2.91	63	26.79	98	98	65	4	0	1	0
	NEW ORLEANS SHREVEPORT	91 93	77 77	95 95	75 73	84 85	1 4	2.29	0.44	1.16	7.91	148	36.57	122	96 87	64 52	5 7	0	5 ***	2
MA	BOSTON	76	62	92	55	69	0	0.34	-0.60	0.34	1.91	66	23.30	113	92	61	1	0	1	0
MD	WORCESTER	75	59	87	52	67	1	0.44	-0.55	0.36	1.10	36	25.70	118	92	58	0	0	2	0
MD ME	BALTIMORE CARIBOU	80 75	65 53	91 78	62 40	73 64	-2 2	1.99 1.00	1.06 0.06	0.84 0.52	2.70 1.64	95 63	20.19 20.56	100 119	95 95	66 53	2	0	5 3	2
	PORTLAND	72	55	81	44	64	-2	0.16	-0.82	0.12	1.04	34	22.48	101	98	64	0	0	2	0
MI	ALPENA GRAND RAPIDS	80 84	52 63	91 93	46 56	66 74	2 4	0.98 1.19	0.36 0.29	0.55 1.07	1.66 1.73	87 62	14.11 15.64	112 87	97 91	51 50	2	0	3	1
	HOUGHTON LAKE	79	55	89	50	67	3	1.58	0.82	1.35	1.78	77	21.89	162	96	54	0	0	3	1
	LANSING	83	62	91	54 57	72 72	3	1.56	0.65	0.97	2.47	93 61	14.29	92 80	88	53 56	1	0	3 4	1
Ī	MUSKEGON TRAVERSE CITY	81 81	63 59	90 91	57 55	72 70	4 3	0.77 2.62	0.05 2.06	0.43 1.57	1.32 4.09	61 219	14.19 16.68	89 142	89 94	56 53	1	0	3	0 2
MN	DULUTH	74	52	86	45	63	1	0.82	-0.22	0.43	1.74	60	9.66	79	97	58	0	0	2	0
	INT_L FALLS MINNEAPOLIS	77 85	51 66	83 96	42 59	64 75	3 5	1.27 0.18	0.36 -0.93	0.58 0.16	2.39 2.31	93 74	16.36 11.50	167 85	99 86	49 49	0	0	4 2	1
	ROCHESTER	82	64	90	59	73	4	1.46	0.17	0.65	2.90	75	13.11	83	93	58	1	0	4	1
	ST. CLOUD	83	60	96	55	72	5	1.04	0.15	1.04	3.54	135	12.21	102	92	54	1	0	1	1
МО	COLUMBIA KANSAS CITY	85 86	67 67	91 93	64 58	76 77	1 2	1.22 0.43	0.25 -0.80	1.22 0.42	7.07 3.85	239 104	19.12 15.93	96 88	96 92	58 55	2	0	1 2	0
	SAINT LOUIS	89	71	96	68	80	3	0.56	-0.51	0.41	2.53	79	25.09	119	85	50	3	0	3	0
MC	SPRINGFIELD JACKSON	85 91	68 72	91 95	64 71	76 81	1 1	0.13 1.89	-0.91 0.86	0.07 0.67	5.97 5.04	188 162	30.10 39.21	137 132	94 97	56 64	2	0	2 5	0
MS	MERIDIAN	90	70	95	69	80	-1	4.13	3.04	1.98	6.24	194	32.05	108	98	64	3	0	7	3
	TUPELO	88	70	94	66	79	-1	1.89	0.72	1.32	8.14	232	40.68	136	96	62	2	0	3	1
МТ	BILLINGS BUTTE	80 73	55 42	88 81	51 38	68 58	2 2	0.58 0.44	0.07 -0.12	0.37 0.31	1.29 0.90	77 46	12.26 7.69	158 113	87 81	32 23	0	0	4	0
	CUT BANK	73	45	82	37	59	1	1.44	0.78	0.75	2.17	105	4.71	86	79	23	0	0	2	2
	GLASGOW GREAT FALLS	80 76	55 47	87 85	52 43	67 62	2 2	1.22 0.52	0.57 -0.09	0.75 0.47	1.64 0.97	78 46	4.56 8.73	69 108	90 86	29 30	0	0	4	1 0
	HAVRE	78	50	85	46	64	2	0.32	0.13	0.47	1.97	107	6.68	111	94	31	0	0	3	1
	MISSOULA	78	47	87	45	62	2	0.61	0.12	0.35	0.95	58	7.17	95	80	26	0	0	3	0
NC	ASHEVILLE CHARLOTTE	85 90	66 72	86 92	63 69	75 81	3	1.23 0.60	0.04 -0.36	0.75 0.39	4.39 3.71	134 127	23.65 21.30	103 102	95 88	53 49	0 4	0	2 2	1
	GREENSBORO	88	69	90	65	78	2	1.56	0.57	0.99	3.57	122	23.22	115	92	51	1	0	4	1
	HATTERAS	83 92	73 73	87 94	70 67	78 82	0 5	1.95 2.45	0.95	1.07	3.91 3.87	125 142	26.50 21.10	105	96	70	0 5	0	4	2
	RALEIGH WILMINGTON	90	75 75	93	71	83	4	0.56	1.53 -0.78	1.13 0.31	4.54	117	19.51	105 85	88 93	46 59	4	0	3	2
ND	BISMARCK	84	56	89	51	70	4	1.02	0.20	0.58	1.45	62	10.13	126	97	42	0	0	3	1
	DICKINSON FARGO	80 81	54 58	86 86	48 54	67 70	2	3.12 2.58	2.39 1.56	1.55 1.14	3.76 3.08	174 105	12.06 9.74	167 95	96 93	43 53	0	0	3 5	3
	GRAND FORKS	84	56	88	51	70	5	2.04	1.13	0.73	2.48	97	7.92	93	91	44	0	0	5	2
NE	JAMESTOWN GRAND ISLAND	81 90	58 67	88 98	52 61	70 79	4 5	1.02 1.96	0.26 1.12	0.87 1.46	1.37 3.76	59 126	3.89 9.90	47 76	97 87	57 41	0 5	0	3	1
INC	LINCOLN	92	68	102	60	80	6	0.72	-0.30	0.37	2.26	70	9.90	64	85	41	5	0	3	0
	NORFOLK NORTH PLATTE	91	66 62	100	55 54	78 76	7	0.60	-0.39	0.46	2.48 2.30	79	9.81	77 06	88	40 40	5	0	2	0
	OMAHA	91 92	62 69	101 102	54 61	76 81	6 6	1.44 0.33	0.65 -0.66	1.05 0.33	1.66	88 51	9.63 10.41	96 71	83 86	40 39	4 5	0	3 1	1 0
	SCOTTSBLUFF	89	55	101	47	72	2	1.17	0.59	1.17	2.84	149	10.89	127	84	26	4	0	1	1
NH	VALENTINE CONCORD	91 79	62 58	101 92	58 51	76 68	6 2	0.99 0.42	0.07 -0.49	0.78 0.29	3.04 2.71	107 100	11.72 23.46	114 127	90 96	31 53	4	0	2	1 0
NJ	ATLANTIC_CITY	80	66	93	61	73	1	0.42	-0.43	0.25	0.61	24	21.37	105	90	62	2	0	2	0
NIR4	NEWARK	80	66 71	93 99	59 67	73	0	0.93	-0.06	0.46	2.62	83	19.28	89	84	57	2	0	5	0
NM NV	ALBUQUERQUE ELY	97 87	71 45	99 92	67 40	84 66	7 4	0.00	-0.13 -0.11	0.00	1.31 0.02	406 4	3.08 3.78	122 73	41 39	7 6	7	0	0	0
	LAS VEGAS	105	82	110	76	94	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	2.06	99	12	4	7	0	0	0
	RENO WINNEMUCCA	85 86	55 44	96 97	47 35	70 65	0 -1	0.00	-0.10 -0.10	0.00	0.60 0.00	181 0	4.76 2.73	107 53	40 49	10 10	3 2	0	0	0
NY	ALBANY	78	60	89	55	69	0	0.00	-0.10	0.31	5.10	177	24.16	139	95	61	0	0	3	0
	BINGHAMTON	74	59	82	57 57	67	1	0.54	-0.63	0.24	2.59	77	22.04	117	94	66	0	0	4	0
	BUFFALO ROCHESTER	79 80	63 61	83 86	57 56	71 71	3 2	0.20 4.06	-0.56 3.26	0.20 3.50	1.62 5.61	66 239	17.03 23.47	95 152	91 92	59 56	0	0	1 4	0
	SYRACUSE	80	62	86	59	71	3	0.26	-0.56	0.13	2.65	106	24.19	138	92	56	0	0	3	0
ОН	AKRON-CANTON	80 83	63 68	86 88	57 65	72 76	1 2	0.97	-0.06 0.57	0.50 0.92	2.76 2.86	89 84	22.83 29.41	116 126	96 94	64 62	0 0	0	3	1
	CINCINNATI CLEVELAND	80	62	88	58	76 71	0	1.72 2.35	1.46	1.12	5.10	191	26.39	140	94	65	0	0	4	2
Ī	COLUMBUS	84	65	89	61	75	2	1.38	0.35	0.63	4.59	153	23.60	118	94	55	0	0	4	1
	DAYTON MANSFIELD	84 80	67 62	90 87	64 59	76 71	2 1	1.88 0.80	0.91 -0.33	0.77 0.37	5.13 4.95	176 145	25.48 24.97	122 119	89 95	58 62	1 0	0	4 3	0
		- 55	Ŭ-	ŭ.				3.00	3.00	3.51						<u> ~~</u>	Ľ	Ľ.	Ľ.	

\*\*\* Not Available Based on 1991-2020 normals

Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin
Weather Data for the Week Ending June 21, 2025

			Weather Data 1					- 1110	*****	Liidi	ng ou	110 21	, 2020	'	RELA	ATIVE	NUN	/BER	OF D	AYS
	STATES	7	ΓEMF	PERA	TUR	E °	F			PREC	CIPITA	ATION				IDITY CENT	TEM	P. °F	PRE	ECIP
	AND						E AL		E AL	≥ ×	1	17	1	14.			/E	Ŋ		
5	STATIONS	AVERAGE MAXIMUM	AVERAGE	EXTREME HIGH	EXTREME LOW	AVERAGE	DEPARTURE FROM NORMAL	WEEKLY TOTAL, IN.	DEPARTURE FROM NORMAL	GREATEST IN 24-HOUR, IN.	TOTAL, IN., SINCE JUN 1	PCT. NORMAL SINCE JUN 1	TOTAL, IN., SINCE JAN 1	PCT. NORMAL SINCE JAN 1	AVERAGE MAXIMUM	AVERAGE	90 AND ABOVE	32 AND BELOW	.01 INCH OR MORE	.50 INCH OR MORE
	TOLEDO	84	62	92	57	73	1	1.87	1.08	1.25	3.76	153	19.09	112	93	55	1	0	3	1
ок	YOUNGSTOWN OKLAHOMA CITY	80 89	62 69	87 92	58 64	71 79	3 1	2.47 1.96	1.57 0.94	1.02 1.63	5.41 7.37	199 223	25.12 30.61	132 175	98 95	63 53	0 5	0	4 2	2
OR	TULSA ASTORIA	89	70	95 65	64	80	0 -3	2.65	1.61	1.35	9.22	275	35.17	177	93	55	4 0	0	3	2
OR	BURNS	62 75	48 43	87	44 31	55 59	-3 -1	1.19 0.00	0.66 -0.16	0.90 0.00	1.20 0.19	66 33	26.97 6.72	73 115	94 68	62 20	0	0	0	1 0
	EUGENE	72	46	83	39	59	-2	0.54	0.28	0.50	0.54	53	20.33	91	92	40	0	0	2	1
	MEDFORD	78	53	88	50	65	-2	0.51	0.37	0.31	0.51	93	11.54	116	70	24	0	0	2	0
	PENDLETON PORTLAND	79 72	53 53	86 80	50 49	66 62	1 -2	0.00 1.58	-0.24 1.21	0.00 0.87	0.00 1.75	0 135	5.82 19.08	76 98	56 84	21 42	0	0	0 2	0 2
	SALEM	71	49	82	45	60	-3	0.85	0.59	0.53	0.85	82	19.61	91	87	40	0	0	2	1
PA	ALLENTOWN ERIE	78 79	63 63	89 87	58 59	70 71	-1 2	1.88 1.25	0.84 0.39	0.61 0.96	3.40 3.77	111 146	24.59 21.03	122 113	92 90	67 64	0	0	5 2	1 1
	MIDDLETOWN	78	64	89	59	71	-2	1.16	0.39	0.90	3.48	125	24.23	124	90	66	0	0	5	0
	PHILADELPHIA	81	66	93	59	73	-1	1.49	0.56	0.86	2.40	82	19.62	100	94	64	2	0	5	1
	PITTSBURGH WILKES-BARRE	81 76	64 62	87 87	59 58	72 69	2 -1	1.84 1.16	0.89 0.24	0.69 0.41	4.04 4.57	142 170	22.83 21.33	122 128	94 97	61 65	0	0	5 5	2
	WILLIAMSPORT	78	62	89	60	70	0	1.16	0.24	0.41	3.74	140	20.29	109	96	65	0	0	4	1
RI	PROVIDENCE	78	61	89	55	69	0	0.34	-0.56	0.32	1.48	51	23.26	102	94	57	0	0	2	0
SC	CHARLESTON COLUMBIA	93 92	74 72	95 94	71 70	84 82	4 3	0.02 0.00	-1.49 -1.21	0.01 0.00	3.71 3.92	86 110	17.01 24.39	82 120	93 92	49 48	7 6	0	2	0
	FLORENCE	92	73	93	70	83	3	0.00	-1.06	0.00	3.64	115	19.46	102	96	50	7	0	0	0
0.0	GREENVILLE	89	69	92	67	79	2	0.37	-0.52	0.28	2.43	88	24.62	105	87	49	3	0	2	0
SD	ABERDEEN HURON	86 91	60 64	95 103	56 59	73 77	5 8	0.44 1.24	-0.46 0.31	0.31 1.15	2.77 2.04	108 73	11.56 9.20	117 84	95 90	46 38	3	0	3 2	0
	RAPID CITY	92	56	106	49	74	9	0.28	-0.35	0.15	0.89	41	11.84	125	84	24	4	0	3	0
TN:	SIOUX FALLS	89	64	99	56	77	6	0.15	-0.81	0.12	1.23	40	8.53	65	87	41	4	0	3	0
TN	BRISTOL CHATTANOOGA	84 88	65 69	88 91	61 67	75 79	2 1	2.35 1.06	1.41 0.05	0.76 0.55	3.62 5.46	133 193	22.66 37.27	104 138	99 94	56 52	0	0	5 3	3
	KNOXVILLE	87	70	90	65	78	2	0.74	-0.24	0.49	2.91	102	30.62	117	91	51	1	0	3	0
	MEMPHIS NASHVILLE	89 89	72 71	92 97	68 69	81 80	0 2	0.00 1.28	-0.94 0.24	0.00 0.94	0.00 4.04	0 133	23.07 33.07	81 128	94 88	60 53	2	0	0 4	0
TX	ABILENE	93	72	95	65	83	1	0.31	-0.46	0.34	3.01	116	13.30	113	84	42	7	0	1	0
	AMARILLO	93	66	96	62	80	3	0.00	-0.62	0.00	1.87	92	12.07	146	85	31	5	0	0	0
	AUSTIN BEAUMONT	97 90	75 75	99 93	70 71	86 83	2 0	0.02 2.69	-0.84 1.04	0.02 1.96	1.04 7.38	37 160	16.39 30.02	93 118	91 98	42 63	7 6	0	1	0 2
	BROWNSVILLE	93	79	97	77	86	0	0.00	-0.72	0.00	0.00	0	14.47	159	88	54	6	0	0	0
	CORPUS CHRISTI	93	74	95	70	83	0	1.64	0.80	1.06	4.51	188	12.89	100	96	57	6	0	3	2
	DEL RIO EL PASO	98 106	77 78	101 109	74 75	88 92	2 7	0.00	-0.50 -0.19	0.00	1.12 0.18	64 43	3.23 0.93	37 44	81 35	32 9	7 7	0	0	0
	FORT WORTH	93	75	95	70	84	2	0.16	-0.70	0.16	2.15	80	22.01	114	83	46	6	0	1	0
	GALVESTON	90	82	91	75	86	2	0.02	-1.02	0.02	3.34	116	14.94	85	90	73	5	0	1	0
	HOUSTON LUBBOCK	95 96	77 73	96 105	73 69	86 84	3 5	1.73 0.00	0.30 -0.56	1.63 0.00	5.08 6.21	118 338	24.52 11.02	104 133	89 75	48 33	7 5	0	3	1 0
	MIDLAND	99	76	104	74	87	4	0.00	-0.44	0.00	0.35	27	1.67	30	71	27	7	0	0	0
	SAN ANGELO SAN ANTONIO	93 92	73 74	95 94	71 70	83 83	0	0.00 0.60	-0.51 -0.18	0.00 0.48	2.81 7.69	157 339	12.35 20.70	124 137	84 91	39 49	6 7	0	0	0
	VICTORIA	93	76	94	72	84	1	1.67	0.68	1.46	8.31	288	22.70	121	97	56	7	0	3	1
	WACO	93	74	94	67	83	1	0.31	-0.46	0.31	5.68	226	21.96	116	93	52	7	0	1	0
UT	WICHITA FALLS SALT LAKE CITY	92 92	72 64	94 104	66 53	82 78	1 5	2.90 0.00	2.12 -0.20	2.90 0.00	5.33 0.00	208 0	24.81 5.30	184 57	93 38	50 11	6 5	0	1 0	1 0
VA	LYNCHBURG	84	65	89	58	74	2	0.98	0.07	0.74	1.61	60	22.26	110	99	61	0	0	4	1
	NORFOLK RICHMOND	85 87	72 70	93 93	70 65	79 78	1 2	1.37 0.67	0.35 -0.45	0.65 0.30	4.54 2.09	146 65	22.49 25.87	111 127	96 94	61 58	2	0	4	2
1	ROANOKE	87 85	67	93 89	60	78 76	1	0.67	-0.45 -0.97	0.30	0.48	14	25.87	97	93	58 55	0	0	1	0
	WASH/DULLES	82	66	91	63	74	1	2.43	1.46	1.52	5.71	188	20.50	101	97	66	2	0	4	2
VT WA	BURLINGTON OLYMPIA	81 69	60 44	90 75	54 37	71 56	3 -3	0.43 0.41	-0.58 0.07	0.24 0.24	1.19 0.42	40 36	18.89 17.91	118 69	85 97	45 42	1	0	2	0
***	QUILLAYUTE	61	44	64	35	52	-3 -3	0.41	-0.06	0.24	0.42	32	34.46	66	98	61	0	0	4	0
	SEATTLE-TACOMA	68	51	73	50	60	-3	0.47	0.13	0.47	0.47	42	15.09	76	84	43	0	0	1	0
	SPOKANE YAKIMA	75 80	52 50	84 89	44 47	63 65	1 -1	0.00 0.01	-0.27 -0.10	0.00 0.01	0.00 0.01	0 2	8.13 4.89	90 112	60 65	22 23	0	0	0	0
WI	EAU CLAIRE	83	62	94	56	73	5	0.01	-1.09	0.01	2.90	84	14.01	96	92	53	1	0	1	0
	GREEN BAY	81	63	92	55	72	5	1.15	0.21	0.53	2.44	83	12.41	89	89	57	1	0	3	2
	LA CROSSE MADISON	85 82	66 65	97 92	60 57	75 74	3 5	0.76 1.52	-0.43 0.28	0.54 0.62	3.07 3.16	84 85	15.35 15.10	94 89	91 92	53 57	2	0	4 5	1 1
	MILWAUKEE	82	64	94	54	73	4	1.43	0.38	1.24	2.61	84	15.69	97	89	54	2	0	3	1
WV	BECKLEY	81	62	85	55	71	2	1.63	0.63	0.56	2.63	88	27.83	129	92	58	0	0	5	2
	CHARLESTON ELKINS	85 82	66 62	90 88	60 54	75 72	2	2.48 2.39	1.39 1.36	0.78 0.81	5.72 5.09	172 171	32.22 29.41	142 128	96 100	58 61	1	0	5 5	3 2
I	HUNTINGTON	86	67	90	62	77	3	0.65	-0.34	0.44	3.30	112	26.63	121	89	54	1	0	4	0
WY	CASPER CHEYENNE	90 84	48 54	95 91	44 45	69 69	5 5	0.02 1.49	-0.27 1.02	0.02 1.01	0.65 4.05	65 251	5.96 8.61	91 112	89 71	16 23	4 2	0	1 2	0
	LANDER	87	55	95	48	71	8	0.00	-0.20	0.00	0.98	109	10.54	129	54	13	3	0	0	0
	SHERIDAN	80	50	90	44	65	3	0.53	0.09	0.45	0.79	51	12.90	153	94	39	1	0	3	0

Based on 1991-2020 normals

\*\*\* Not Available

### **National Agricultural Summary**

### June 16 - 22, 2025

Weekly National Agricultural Summary provided by USDA/NASS

#### **HIGHLIGHTS**

Parts of the lower Mississippi Valley and Tennessee Valley experienced heavy rain, reducing the number of suitable days for fieldwork. Rainfall was also observed across

portions of the Corn Belt, improving soil moisture. Meanwhile, dry conditions continued across parts of the Pacific Northwest and Southwest throughout the week.

**Corn:** By June 22, ninety-seven percent of this year's corn crop had emerged, 1 percentage point ahead of last year but 1 point behind the 5-year average. Four percent of the corn crop had reached the silking stage by June 22, equal to last year but 1 percentage point ahead of average. On June 22, seventy percent of the nation's corn was rated in good to excellent condition, 2 percentage points below last week. In Iowa, the largest corn-producing state, 83 percent of the corn was rated in good to excellent condition.

**Soybeans:** Ninety-six percent of the nation's soybean acreage had been planted by June 22, equal to last year but 1 percentage point behind the 5-year average. Nationally, 90 percent of the soybean crop had emerged by June 22, one percentage point ahead of last year but equal to the average. Eight percent of the soybean crop was blooming by the week's end, 1 percentage point ahead of both last year and the average. On June 22, sixty-six percent of the nation's soybean crop was rated in good to excellent condition, equal to last week.

Winter Wheat: By June 22, ninety-six percent of the nation's winter wheat crop was headed, 1 percentage point behind last year but equal to the 5-year average. Nineteen percent of the winter wheat acreage had been harvested by week's end, 19 percentage points behind last year and 9 points behind average. On June 22, forty-nine percent of the 2025 winter wheat crop was reported in good to excellent condition, 3 percentage points below the previous week. In Kansas, the largest winter wheat-producing state, 45 percent of the winter wheat was rated in good to excellent condition.

**Cotton:** By June 22, producers had planted 92 percent of the nation's cotton crop, 1 percentage point behind last year and 3 points behind the 5-year average. Twenty-six percent of the cotton crop had reached the squaring stage by June 22, three percentage points behind last year but equal to the average. By June 22, five percent of the nation's cotton was setting bolls, 3 percentage points behind last year and 1 point behind average. On June 22, forty-seven percent of the 2025 cotton acreage was rated in good to excellent condition, 1 percentage point below last week.

**Sorghum:** Nationally, 84 percent of the sorghum crop was planted by June 22, five percentage points behind last year and

3 points behind the 5-year average. By week's end, 14 percent of the sorghum was headed, 3 percentage points behind both last year and the average. On June 22, sixty-one percent of the nation's sorghum was rated in good to excellent condition.

**Rice:** Thirteen percent of the nation's rice was headed by week's end, 1 percentage point ahead of last year and 5 points ahead of the 5-year average. On June 22, seventy-eight percent of the rice acreage was rated in good to excellent condition, 4 percentage points above the previous week.

**Other Small Grains:** Nationally, 60 percent of the nation's oat crop had headed, 1 percentage point ahead of last year but equal to the 5-year average. On June 22, fifty-seven percent of the oat crop was rated in good to excellent condition, 1 percentage point above the previous week.

By June 22, ninety-four percent of the nation's barley crop had emerged, equal to last year but 3 percentage points behind the 5-year average. Seventeen percent of the barley had reached the heading stage by week's end, 6 percentage points ahead of last year but equal to the average. On June 22, forty-two percent of the nation's barley acreage was rated in good to excellent condition, 3 percentage points below last week.

By June 22, ninety-three percent of the spring wheat crop had emerged, 6 percentage points behind last year and 4 points behind the 5-year average. Seventeen percent of the spring wheat was headed, 1 percentage point ahead of last year but 1 point behind average. On June 22, fifty-four percent of the spring wheat acreage was rated in good to excellent condition, 3 percentage points below last week.

**Other Crops:** Twenty-six percent of the nation's peanut crop had reached the pegging stage by June 22, two percentage points behind last year but 1 point ahead of the 5-year average. On June 22, seventy-two percent of the peanut acreage was rated in good to excellent condition, 4 percentage points above last week.

By June 22, producers had planted 91 percent of this year's sunflower crop, 1 percentage point behind last year but equal to the 5-year average. Producers in North and South Dakota had planted 95 and 90 percent of the crop, respectively.

Accessible Data Available from USDA/NASS

Corn Percent Emerged									
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr					
	Year	Week	2025	Avg					
СО	93	88	95	96					
IL	96	93	95	97					
IN	97	89	94	98					
IA	97	97	99	99					
KS	97	88	95	96					
KY	92	84	89	96					
MI	96	92	96	96					
MN	96	98	100	99					
МО	99	97	98	98					
NE	100	97	100	99					
NC	100	100	100	100					
ND	95	89	94	91					
ОН	97	86	92	96					
PA	92	72	84	90					
SD	97	98	100	98					
TN	97	92	96	99					
TX	99	96	98	98					
WI	88	91	96	95					
18 Sts	96	94	97	98					
These 18 States planted 92% of last year's corn acreage.									

Winter	Wheat F	Percen	t Heade	ed				
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr				
	Year	Week	2025	Avg				
AR	100	100	100	100				
CA	100	100	100	100				
СО	95	90	98	96				
ID	77	70	93	77				
L	100	99	100	100				
IN	100	94	95	100				
KS	100	98	100	100				
MI	97	88	92	92				
МО	100	99	100	100				
MT	69	38	48	59				
NE	100	94	99	97				
NC	100	100	100	100				
ОН	100	99	100	99				
OK	100	100	100	100				
OR	99	95	99	99				
SD	92	78	95	91				
TX	100	100	100	100				
WA	94	95	99	92				
18 Sts	97	93	96	96				
These 18 St	ates plante	ed 90%						
of last year's winter wheat acreage.								

	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr
	Year	Week	2025	Avg
СО	0	NA	0	0
IL	1	NA	1	0
IN	1	NA	0	1
IA	0	NA	2	0
KS	10	1	5	5
KY	8	NA	9	5
MI	0	NA	0	0
MN	0	NA	0	0
MO	11	NA	5	4
NE	1	NA	1	0
NC	40	28	48	31
ND	0	NA	0	C
ОН	0	NA	0	C
PA	0	NA	0	C
SD	0	NA	0	C
TN	31	9	29	16
TX	66	64	67	62
WI	0	NA	0	C
18 Sts	4	NA	4	3
These 18 St	tates plante	ed 92%		

Winter Wheat Percent Harvested									
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr					
	Year	Week	2025	Avg					
AR	81	48	70	76					
CA	24	30	35	39					
СО	1	0	0	2					
ID	0	0	0	0					
IL	69	1	17	40					
IN	35	0	2	20					
KS	49	3	20	31					
МІ	0	1	1	0					
МО	71	13	29	51					
MT	0	0	0	0					
NE	2	0	0	1					
NC	70	38	60	60					
ОН	15	0	2	4					
ок	93	30	35	73					
OR	0	0	0	0					
SD	0	0	0	0					
TX	72	56	70	73					
WA	0	0	0	0					
18 Sts	38	10	19	28					
These 18 Stat	tes harve	sted 91°	%						
of last year's winter wheat acreage.									

	Cor			by	Corn Condition by									
		Perc	ent											
	VP	Р	F	G	EX									
СО	7	10	14	57	12									
IL	3	5	18	57	17									
IN	3	7	27	54	9									
IA	1	2	14	62	21									
KS	1	5	29	53	12									
KY	1	3	28	61	7									
MI	1	8	34	53	4									
MN	1	4	21	55	19									
МО	1	5	21	62	11									
NE	1	3	26	59	11									
NC	1	2	12	55	30									
ND	1	6	38	52	3									
ОН	1	4	35	49	11									
PA	1	6	13	58	22									
SD	2	5	30	55	8									
TN	4	7	22	49	18									
TX	3	7	38	44	8									
WI	1	3	20	62	14									
18 Sts	2	4	24	56	14									
Prev Wk	1	4	23	59	13									
Prev Yr	2	5	24	55	14									

'	Winter Wheat Condition by											
		Per	cent									
	VP	Р	F	G	EX							
AR	2	4	58	33	3							
CA	0	0	5	25	70							
СО	10	13	22	46	9							
ID	0	8	28	63	1							
IL	10	6	23	48	13							
IN	2	4	26	57	11							
KS	9	14	32	40	5							
MI	0	2	26	56	16							
МО	0	5	29	54	12							
MT	5	22	35	38	0							
NE	10	20	30	39	1							
NC	3	8	42	43	4							
ОН	1	4	30	50	15							
OK	3	10	35	49	3							
OR	5	13	27	46	9							
SD	5	20	43	28	4							
ΤX	9	18	40	28	5							
WA	3	12	23	53	9							
18 Sts	6	13	32	43	6							
Prev V	Vk 6	13	29	45	7							
Prev \	∕r 5	10	33	42	10							

Soybeans Percent Planted									
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr					
	Year	Week	2025	Avg					
AR	98	93	96	96					
IL	96	93	95	97					
IN	98	93	95	99					
IA	99	99	100	99					
KS	91	82	86	91					
KY	85	75	82	89					
LA	98	100	100	99					
MI	97	95	98	99					
MN	97	100	100	99					
MS	100	93	96	98					
MO	93	86	89	92					
NE	100	97	100	100					
NC	87	83	88	86					
ND	96	96	100	97					
ОН	97	92	96	98					
SD	98	99	100	99					
TN	89	78	84	88					
WI	96	98	99	99					
18 Sts	96	93	96	97					
These 18 States planted 96%									
of last yea	r's soybear	acreag	e.						

Soybean Condition by									
		Perc	ent						
	VP	Р	F	G	EX				
AR	2	6	28	48	16				
IL	4	7	28	46	15				
IN	3	6	28	55	8				
IA	1	3	19	61	16				
KS	1	5	30	55	9				
KY	1	3	28	64	4				
LA	0	1	17	80	2				
МІ	0	10	45	44	1				
MN	1	3	20	64	12				
MS	0	2	16	51	31				
МО	1	4	26	63	6				
NE	1	4	30	58	7				
NC	1	2	21	69	7				
ND	3	7	33	54	3				
ОН	2	4	37	50	7				
SD	3	6	29	55	7				
TN	5	8	22	52	13				
WI	1	4	22	59	14				
18 Sts	2	5	27	56	10				
Prev Wk	2	5	27	56	10				
Prev Yr	2	6	25	56	11				

Soybeans Percent Emerged					
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr	
	Year	Week	2025	Avg	
AR	95	86	91	91	
IL	89	87	90	93	
IN	94	85	90	94	
IA	94	93	96	96	
KS	81	69	76	81	
KY	73	62	69	76	
LA	94	97	99	96	
MI	90	82	91	93	
MN	88	94	97	94	
MS	97	88	92	96	
MO	84	75	82	81	
NE	94	92	96	96	
NC	79	77	83	79	
ND	86	71	86	84	
ОН	93	79	87	90	
SD	90	90	97	93	
TN	77	66	74	77	
WI	89	85	94	93	
18 Sts	89	84	90	90	
These 18 St	ates plante	ed 96%			
of last year's soybean acreage.					

Pear	Peanuts Percent Pegging						
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr			
	Year	Week	2025	Avg			
AL	27	6	11	15			
FL	29	10	32	28			
GA	35	22	38	35			
NC	18	4	11	11			
ок	0	0	0	3			
sc	34	7	22	28			
TX	7	1	7	3			
VA	19	0	5	12			
8 Sts	28	13	26	25			
These 8 Sta	These 8 States planted 95%						
of last year's peanut acreage.							

	_					
Rice Percent Headed						
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr		
	Year	Week	2025	Avg		
AR	2	1	2	1		
CA	4	0	5	6		
LA	39	27	50	30		
MS	4	1	5	6		
MO	0	0	0	0		
TX	51	18	35	29		
6 Sts	12	6	13	8		
These 6 States planted 100%						
of last year's rice acreage.						

Soybeans Percent Blooming					
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr	
	Year	Week	2025	Avg	
AR	54	42	58	44	
IL	3	NA	2	2	
IN	3	NA	2	2	
IA	6	6	13	6	
KS	1	NA	1	2	
KY	4	NA	1	4	
LA	47	57	75	60	
МІ	2	NA	2	1	
MN	7	NA	5	4	
MS	49	39	55	49	
MO	3	NA	3	2	
NE	4	NA	1	8	
NC	10	2	6	5	
ND	0	NA	0	0	
ОН	1	NA	1	1	
SD	1	NA	0	3	
TN	26	6	16	11	
WI	3	1	3	2	
18 Sts	7	NA	8	7	
These 18 States planted 96%					
of last year's	soybear	acreag	е		

Peanut Condition by							
	Percent						
	VP P F G EX						
AL	0	1	6	82	11		
FL	0	0	25	75	0		
GA	1	5	25	59	10		
NC	2	3	9	68	18		
ок	2	9	21	65	3		
SC	0	3	23	70	4		
TX	1	7	39	50	3		
VA	0	2	10	83	5		
8 Sts	1	4	23	64	8		
Prev Wk	0	4	28	61	7		
Prev Yr	2	7	32	56	3		

Rice Condition by					
		Perc	ent		
	VP	Р	F	G	EX
AR	0	3	26	53	18
CA	0	0	5	40	55
LA	2	2	21	65	10
MS	0	0	24	58	18
MO	0	3	17	69	11
TX	0	0	13	81	6
6 Sts	0	2	20	57	21
Prev Wk	0	2	24	55	19
Prev Yr	1	1	15	67	16

Sorghum Percent Planted						
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr		
	Year	Week	2025	Avg		
со	78	65	85	82		
KS	84	57	79	82		
NE	97	69	93	98		
ок	89	47	52	72		
SD	99	88	98	96		
TX	97	93	97	97		
6 Sts	89	69	84	87		
These 6 States planted 100%						
of last year's s	of last year's sorghum acreage.					

Spring Wheat Percent Emerged						
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr		
	Year	Week	2025	Avg		
ID	99	100	100	99		
MN	100	100	100	99		
MT	96	70	79	98		
ND	97	92	97	96		
SD	100	100	100	100		
WA	100	100	100	100		
6 Sts	99	89	93	97		
These 6 States planted 100%						

of last year's spring wheat acreage.

Barley Percent Emerged						
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr		
	Year	Week	2025	Avg		
ID	100	100	100	100		
MN	98	94	97	97		
MT	89	81	89	95		
ND	95	90	96	96		
WA	100	100	100	99		
5 Sts	94	89	94	97		
These 5 States planted 81%						
of last year's barley acreage.						

Oats Percent Headed						
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr		
	Year	Week	2025	Avg		
IA	84	69	81	79		
MN	38	10	20	41		
NE	82	57	77	82		
ND	11	6	11	9		
ОН	41	56	83	65		
PA	34	36	50	41		
SD	55	45	70	62		
TX	100	100	100	100		
WI	53	24	47	49		
9 Sts	59	49	60	60		
These 9 States planted 75%						
of last year's oat acreage.						

Sorghum Percent Headed						
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr		
	Year	Week	2025	Avg		
СО	1	NA	0	0		
KS	1	NA	0	2		
NE	3	NA	0	2		
ок	0	NA	0	0		
SD	8	NA	2	5		
TX	59	44	50	55		
6 Sts	17	NA	14	17		
These 6 States planted 100%						
of last year's sorghum acreage.						

Spring Wheat Percent Headed						
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr		
	Year	Week	2025	Avg		
ID	16	12	35	22		
MN	22	0	7	26		
MT	14	0	14	11		
ND	9	1	10	12		
SD	42	26	53	50		
WA	44	39	65	49		
6 Sts	16	4	17	18		
These 6 States planted 100%						
of last year's spring wheat acreage.						

Barley Percent Headed								
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr				
	Year	Week	2025	Avg				
ID	26	17	45	32				
MN	17	1	6	26				
МТ	3	0	5	9				
ND	6	1	8	10				
WA	42	37	63	54				
5 Sts	11	5	17	17				
These 5 States planted 81%								
of last year's barley acreage.								

Oat Condition by									
Percent									
VP P F G									
IA	0	2	13	67	18				
MN	1	3	12	73	11				
NE	3	5	60	31	1				
ND	1	3	33	58	5				
ОН	0	0	21	70	9				
PA	0	0	5	87	8				
SD	1	8	33	52	6				
TX	25	26	29	15	5				
WI	1	1	11	70	17				
9 Sts	7	9	27	49	8				
Prev Wk	6	10	28	47	9				
Prev Yr	6	5	22	57	10				

Sorghum Condition by								
Percent								
	VP P F G EX							
СО	1	5	44	45	5			
KS	1	3	37	48	11			
NE	1	1	17	78	3			
ок	2	4	26	65	3			
SD	0	2	48	46	4			
TX	3	8	25	50	14			
6 Sts	2	4	33	51	10			
Prev Wk	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
Prev Yr	2	4	33	54	7			

Spring Wheat Condition by Percent									
	VP P F G EX								
ID	1	7	32	58	2				
MN	0	2	9	85	4				
MT	10	32	48	10	0				
ND	1	6	25	60	8				
SD	1	3	44	46	6				
WA	3	9	32	50	6				
6 Sts	3	12	31	49	5				
Prev Wk	. 0	9	34	53	4				
Prev Yr	1	3	25	64	7				

Barley Condition by								
		Perc	ent					
	VP	VP P F G EX						
ID	1	3	23	72	1			
MN	0	1	9	86	4			
MT	1	28	66	5	0			
ND	1	3	29	63	4			
WA	1	8	32	55	4			
5 Sts	1	14	43	40	2			
Prev Wk	1	12	42	43	2			
Prev Yr	1	2	29	65	3			

Sunflowers Percent Planted								
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr				
	Year	Week	2025	Avg				
СО	78	68	80	81				
KS	80	56	73	77				
ND	95	89	95	92				
SD	90	70	90	91				
4 Sts	92	78	91	91				
These 4 States planted 87% of last year's sunflower acreage.								

Cotton Percent Planted							
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr			
	Year	Week	2025	Avg			
AL	98	91	95	98			
AZ	100	100	100	100			
AR	100	97	100	100			
CA	100	100	100	100			
GA	97	92	95	97			
KS	96	92	95	96			
LA	100	98	100	99			
MS	99	71	78	98			
МО	100	98	100	98			
NC	98	91	95	97			
OK	88	65	82	86			
sc	96	99	100	97			
TN	99	87	91	98			
TX	91	83	91	94			
VA	100	94	97	98			
15 Sts	93	85	92	95			
These 15 States planted 99%							
of last year's cotton acreage.							

Cotton Percent Squaring						
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr		
	Year	Week	2025	Avg		
AL	39	18	29	32		
ΑZ	64	47	66	65		
AR	51	15	21	40		
CA	24	15	35	29		
GA	38	24	37	37		
KS	27	2	5	21		
LA	46	27	51	50		
MS	24	7	12	19		
МО	23	17	26	30		
NC	26	19	33	21		
OK	4	0	5	4		
sc	20	11	21	20		
TN	38	10	19	28		
TX	27	22	26	25		
VA	36	17	28	31		
15 Sts	29	19	26	26		
These 15 States planted 99%						
of last year's cotton acreage.						

Cotton Percent Setting Bolls								
	Prev	Prev	Jun 22	5-Yr				
	Year	Week	2025	Avg				
AL	4	1	3	1				
ΑZ	28	8	12	17				
AR	2	0	0	1				
CA	0	0	10	1				
GA	5	1	4	3				
KS	0	0	0	0				
LA	0	0	0	4				
MS	1	0	1	1				
МО	0	0	0	1				
NC	0	0	0	0				
ок	0	0	0	0				
sc	0	0	0	0				
TN	2	0	1	2				
TX	11	5	8	9				
VA	3	0	0	3				
15 Sts	8	3	5	6				
These 15 States planted 99%								
of last	of last year's cotton acreage.							

Pasture and Range Condition by Percent Week Ending Jun 22, 2025											
	VP	Р	F	G	EX		VP	Р	F	G	EX
AL	0	1	14	64	21	NH	0	0	0	69	31
ΑZ	49	32	15	3	1	NJ	0	0	8	92	0
AR	2	8	34	43	13	NM	9	20	30	9	32
CA	0	0	30	60	10	NY	0	1	10	66	23
СО	3	15	30	42	10	NC	0	1	19	78	2
СТ	0	0	55	45	0	ND	2	9	37	49	3
DE	0	1	51	48	0	ОН	0	0	20	74	6
FL	0	5	36	41	18	ОК	1	4	18	62	15
GA	1	6	29	51	13	OR	10	17	25	31	17
ID	2	4	26	48	20	PA	0	4	9	70	17
IL	1	9	26	40	24	RI	0	0	0	100	0
IN	1	4	26	59	10	sc	0	3	35	52	10
IA	1	4	23	58	14	SD	4	23	37	31	5
KS	3	8	28	50	11	TN	1	4	19	58	18
KY	1	4	14	66	15	TX	18	13	20	32	17
LA	1	3	29	57	10	UT	5	21	25	44	5
ME	0	0	13	65	22	VT	0	0	0	50	50
MD	2	8	31	45	14	VA	1	8	24	59	8
MA	0	0	50	50	0	WA	4	6	41	46	3
МІ	1	11	30	47	11	wv	4	8	43	45	0
MN	2	7	28	52	11	WI	1	5	21	55	18
MS	2	5	30	50	13	WY	8	24	45	21	2
МО	0	1	12	76	11	48 Sts	12	17	28	31	12
МТ	20	33	33	10	4						
NE	8	23	42	27	0	Prev Wk	11	17	26	33	13
NV	45	50	5	0	0	Prev Yr	10	15	28	39	8


Cotton Condition by								
Percent								
	VP	Р	F	G	EX			
AL	0	5	21	69	5			
ΑZ	0	0	1	85	14			
AR	0	2	34	42	22			
CA	0	0	0	5	95			
GA	1	5	27	61	6			
KS	4	26	38	30	2			
LA	0	0	11	88	1			
MS	3	7	23	58	9			
МО	0	14	31	55	0			
NC	7	15	32	42	4			
ок	0	3	30	65	2			
SC	0	6	25	58	11			
TN	20	11	27	39	3			
TX	9	19	37	30	5			
VA	0	2	12	77	9			
15 Sts	6	14	33	41	6			
Prev Wk	6	13	33	43	5			
Prev Yr	5	9	30	51	5			


VP - Very Poor; P - Poor; F - Fair; G - Good; EX - Excellent

> NA - Not Available \* Revised

### **Crop Progress and Condition**


Week Ending June 22, 2025






### **Crop Progress and Condition**

Week Ending June 22, 2025





### **International Weather and Crop Summary**

June 15 – 21, 2025
International Weather and Crop Highlights and Summaries provided by USDA/WAOB

#### **HIGHLIGHTS**

**EUROPE:** Increasingly hot and dry weather expanded eastward across the continent, though scattered showers preceded the heat in central Europe.

**WESTERN FSU:** Below-normal temperatures overspread the region, with widespread rain in Russia and eastern Ukraine contrasting with dry conditions over western croplands.

**EASTERN FSU:** Widespread showers and above-normal temperatures across northern Kazakhstan and central Russia favored vegetative spring grains, while seasonably sunny and hot conditions favored cotton development in Uzbekistan.

**MIDDLE EAST:** Mostly dry and hot weather in Turkey signaled an early end to the water year and promoted winter grain drydown and harvesting.

**SOUTH ASIA:** Monsoon showers continued with the Southwest Monsoon's northward advance.

**EAST ASIA:** Southern and northeastern China saw widespread showers that sustained moisture levels for summer crops, while parts of the North China Plain endured heat and dryness.

**SOUTHEAST ASIA:** Favorable showers in the Philippines and Malaysia contrasted with patchy rainfall in Thailand and Cambodia.

**AUSTRALIA:** Mostly dry weather prevailed across the continent save for showers in southwestern croplands.


**MEXICO:** Erick became the earliest major hurricane on record to make landfall anywhere in Mexico, striking the Pacific Coast on June 19 with sustained winds near 110 knots near the border of Oaxaca and Guerrero.

**CANADIAN PRARIES:** Wet weather across the western half of the Prairies contrasted with warm, mostly dry weather in Manitoba and southeastern Saskatchewan.

**SOUTHEASTERN CANADA:** Warm weather promoted a rapid crop development pace for winter wheat and spring-sown crops, although rain in southwestern Ontario slowed fieldwork.



**EUROPE** Total Precipitation(mm) June 15 - 21, 2025






### **EUROPE**

Increasingly dry and hot weather expanded eastward across the continent, though showers preceded the heat in central Europe. A broad area of high pressure was responsible for extreme heat in Spain (35-38°C in the north, 38-42°C in the south), France (34-38°C), and northern Italy (33accelerating summer crops toward reproduction and likely stressing flowering cotton in Andalucia (southern Spain) where numerous maxima reached the lower 40s (degrees C) and 7day average temperatures topped 30°C (the threshold for cotton stress). Abnormal warmth (up to 5°C above normal) expanded into England and Germany, accelerating winter crop drydown and harvesting as well as spring grain and summer crop development. The anomalous warmth spread over the eastern third of the continent late in the monitoring period, though a chilly start to the week netted near-normal 7-day average temperatures from Poland into the Balkans. The leading edge of the heat was preceded by highly variable showers and thunderstorms (1-65 mm) across central Europe, maintaining moisture supplies locally for filling winter crops and vegetative summer crops. However, primary crop areas from Hungary into the lower Danube River Valley turned dry, though daytime highs in the lower 30s remained below the threshold for stress to summer crops.

\*Surface-based weather station data from France and Hungary were either missing or suspect; radar and satellite data were used to augment the analysis.

# WESTERN FSU Total Precipitation(mm) June 15 - 21, 2025



Data availability may be affected by the current geopolitical situation in Ukraine

CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER, NOAA Computer generated contours Based on preliminary data




#### **WESTERN FSU**

A strong cold front brought much cooler temperatures to the region and triggered widespread showers and thunderstorms across Russia and eastern Ukraine. Temperatures during the monitoring period averaged near normal in Belarus, Moldova, and western Ukraine but up to 3°C below normal over western Russia. The front's slow movement netted widespread moderate to heavy showers and thunderstorms (10-75 mm, locally more) from eastern

Ukraine into western Russia, improving prospects for filling winter wheat, reproductive spring grains, as well as vegetative corn, sunflowers, and soybeans; most crops were developing on par with or slightly slower than normal due to the recent cool spell. Conversely, mostly dry weather prevailed over Moldova and western Ukraine, though these western growing areas benefited from near- to above-normal rainfall over the preceding 60 days.

**EASTERN FSU** Total Precipitation(mm) June 15 - 21, 2025



Based on preliminary data




### **EASTERN FSU**

Continued wet but very warm weather in the north contrasted with seasonably hot and mostly dry Widespread showers and conditions in the south. thunderstorms (10-50 mm, locally more) persisted across the spring grain belt of central Russia and northern Kazakhstan, maintaining favorable moisture supplies for vegetative wheat and barley. Despite the clouds and rain, temperatures averaged up to 7°C above normal from northeastern Kazakhstan into Russia's Siberia District, though the extreme heat (34-37°C) did

not adversely yield potential due to good soil moisture and the early stage of crop development. Farther south across the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS), seasonably sunny skies and above-normal temperatures (2-4°C above normal) accelerated the development of squaring to flowering cotton. However, unusual showers (10-40 mm) in Kyrkyzstan eased irrigation requirements temporarily. Cotton was developing on par with normal across most of the CIS but up to 5 days ahead of normal in southern Kazakhstan.

# MIDDLE EAST Total Precipitation(mm) June 15 - 21, 2025



Weather station data for Syria, Iraq, and Iran were not available for this week's anlysis.

CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER, NOAA Computer generated contours Based on preliminary data




#### **MIDDLE EAST**

Dry and hot weather prevailed across the region. Sunny skies and near-normal temperatures in Turkey accelerated winter grain drydown and harvesting as well as the development of vegetative corn, sunflowers, and cotton. However, extreme heat (38-40°C) crept into southwestern and southeastern Turkey, though summer crops were not yet in the temperature-sensitive reproductive stages of development. More notably, the last significant rain

in Turkey was in late May, likely indicating the 2024-25 Water Year has ended about a month early. Seasonably dry and hot weather prevailed across the remainder of the region\*, facilitating wheat harvesting and other seasonal fieldwork.

\*Surface-based weather station data from Syria, Iraq, and Iran were not available; satellite data were used to augment the analysis.

SOUTH ASIA
Total Precipitation(mm)
June 15 - 21, 2025




### **SOUTH ASIA**

Following a roughly two-week pause in its northward progression, the Southwest Monsoon resumed its northward advance and now nearly covers the entire region. This resulted in heavy to extremely heavy rainfall (up to 500 mm) across nearly the entire region, with the exception of southeast portions of India where little to no rain fell. In key rice production areas, rainfall varied, providing 25 to 200 mm of moisture,

which can be beneficial for rice growth, though some localized areas received much higher amounts, reaching up to 400 mm. Thanks to the widespread showers, temperatures across most of the region were slightly cooler than previous weeks, averaging in the lower to upper 30s (degrees C). Above-normal temperatures and drier conditions continued in Pakistan, with daytime highs ranging from the lower to upper 40s.

EASTERN ASIA Total Precipitation(mm) June 15 - 21, 2025




#### **EASTERN ASIA**

While parts of the North China Plain experienced heat and dryness, widespread showers in southern and northeastern China provided a significant boost to moisture levels for rice and other summer crops south of the Yangtze River. Some locales received over 200 mm of rain. In the northeast, 10 to 100 mm of rainfall maintained good moisture conditions for vegetative corn and soybeans. Elsewhere in the region, the

Korean Peninsula endured extremely heavy rainfall, with localized amounts surpassing 200 mm, while Japan saw moderate showers (10-65 mm). Most of the region experienced near- to above-normal temperatures, with daytime highs averaging in the middle to upper 20s (degrees C) in the northeast and west, while other areas saw temperatures ranging from the lower to upper 30s.

SOUTHEAST ASIA Total Precipitation(mm) June 15 - 21, 2025




### **SOUTHEAST ASIA**

Monsoon showers persisted in Malaysia and the Philippines, boosting soil moisture for eastern Malaysian oil palm. Rainfall amounts primarily ranged from 25 to 100 mm, though localized higher amounts of up to 200 mm were observed. In contrast, monsoon rain was patchy in parts of

Thailand and neighboring areas, where less than 25 mm of rain likely impacted moisture levels in some locations. Near-normal temperatures prevailed across the region, with daytime highs in the middle to upper 30s (degrees C) and nighttime lows in the middle to upper 20s.

AUSTRALIA
Total Precipitation(mm)
June 15 - 21, 2025



Gridded data from the Australian Bureau of Meteorology: www.bom.gov.au/ Creative Commons License found at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/legalcode CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER, NOAA Computer generated contours Based on preliminary data


### NORR

### **AUSTRALIA**

Mostly dry weather prevailed across the continent save for showers in southwestern growing areas. High pressure provided sunny skies and near- to below-normal temperatures (up to 4°C below normal in eastern croplands) from South Australia eastward, promoting winter grain and oilseed development. However, the latest satellite-derived Vegetation Health Index (VHI) continued to depict severe drought across South Australia (lowest VHI on record

for this time of year) and Victoria (second lowest), while the VHI in New South Wales transitioned from very poor in the south to excellent near the Queensland border. On the back side of the high, showers and thunderstorms accompanied a cold front in Western Australia, with the heaviest rain (30-95 mm) falling west of primary crop areas; however, 10 to 40 mm of rainfall moistened soils in the winter crop areas north of Perth adjacent to the coast.

MEXICO
Total Precipitation(mm)
June 15 - 21, 2025



CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER, NOAA Computer generated contours Based on preliminary data




### **MEXICO**

Hurricane Erick battered portions of the Pacific Coast of Mexico with high winds, heavy rain, and a substantial storm surge. Erick, the earliest major hurricane to strike any part of Mexico, made landfall near the border of Oaxaca and Guerrero on June 19 with maximum sustained winds near 110 knots, down from an earlier peak of 125 knots. Rainfall broadly totaled 50 to 100 mm or more along and near the Pacific Coast from southern Sinaloa to Chiapas, with several locations receiving more than 200 mm.

Soaking showers (25-100 mm, locally more) fell from the southern plateau corn belt into southeastern Mexico, while flooding rainfall (200-400 mm) struck some sugarcane-producing areas in Veracruz and portions of neighboring states. Rain helped to suppress temperatures, which averaged as much as 1 to 2°C below normal in many of the wettest spots. In contrast, hot, mostly dry weather persisted in drought-affected northwestern Mexico, where temperatures averaged 2 to 4°C above normal.

# CANADIAN PRAIRIES Total Precipitation(mm) June 15 - 21, 2025



CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER, NOAA Computer generated contours
Based on preliminary data




### **CANADIAN PRAIRIES**

Rainfall totaled 10 to 80 mm in key summer cropproduction areas of Alberta and much of Saskatchewan, slowing fieldwork but easing drought concerns. As the week began (and prior to the rainfall), cropland topsoil moisture in Saskatchewan was rated 59 percent very short to short, according to a provincial report. Meanwhile, warm, mostly dry weather favored a rapid pace of crop development in much of Manitoba and the southeastern corner of Saskatchewan. In some areas, however, declining topsoil moisture availability increased stress on pastures and summer crops. Average weekly temperatures ranged from nearly normal in wetter areas of the western Prairies to as much as 3°C above normal in southern Manitoba.

### SOUTHEASTERN CANADA

Total Precipitation(mm)

June 15 - 21, 2025




CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER, NOAA Computer generated contours Based on preliminary data



### **SOUTHEASTERN CANADA**

Rainfall totals of 10 to 50 mm in southwestern Ontario limited fieldwork but generally benefited spring-sown crops. Meanwhile, rainfall along and near the U.S.-Canadian border from Lake Ontario eastward totaled mostly 10 mm or less, except for a few locations in eastern Ontario. Higher totals, locally 25 mm or more, were noted in some northern

production areas across Ontario and southern Quebec. Regardless, most pastures and summer crops in southeastern Canada had plenty of soil moisture availability. Throughout the region, warmth promoted a rapid pace of crop development, as temperatures averaged 1 to 2°C above normal and peaked in the upper 20s to near 30°C.



The Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin (ISSN 0043-1974) is jointly prepared by the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). Publication began in 1872 as the Weekly Weather Chronicle. It is issued under general authority of the Act of January 12, 1895 (44-USC 213), 53rd Congress, 3rd Session. The contents may be redistributed freely with proper credit.

Correspondence to the meteorologists should be directed to: Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin, NOAA/USDA, Joint Agricultural Weather Facility, USDA South Building, Room 4443B, Washington, DC 20250

Internet URL: <a href="www.usda.gov/oce/weather-drought-monitor">www.usda.gov/oce/weather-drought-monitor</a>
E-mail address: <a href="mailto:brad.rippey@usda.gov">brad.rippey@usda.gov</a>

An archive of past Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletins can be found at <a href="https://usda.library.cornell.edu/">https://usda.library.cornell.edu/</a>, keyword search "Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin".

### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE World Agricultural Outlook Board

### **National Agricultural Statistics Service**

Agricultural Statistician and State Summaries Editor..... Noemi Guindin

#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Weather Service/Climate Prediction Center

USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer. To file a complaint of discrimination, write: USDA, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, Office of Adjudication, 1400 Independence Ave., SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (866) 632-9992 (Toll-Free Customer Service), (800) 877-8339 (Local or Federal relay), (866) 377-8642 (Relay voice users).