

### HIGHLIGHTS June 30 – July 6, 2024 Highlights provided by USDA/WAOB

requent showers from the **Rockies to the East Coast** maintained generally favorable moisture reserves with several exceptions—for vegetative to reproductive summer crops. Some of the heaviest rain, locally 2 to 4 inches or more, fell from the **central Plains into the middle Mississippi Valley**, as well as some of the still-waterlogged areas of the **upper Midwest**. Locally heavy showers also fell in other areas, including the **northern Plains** and an area stretching from the **central Gulf Coast into the Southeast**. However, rain largely bypassed drier

(*Continued on page 3*)

### Contents

E	Extreme Maximum & Minimum Temperature Maps	2
٦	Temperature Departure Map	3
	July 2 Drought Monitor & Pan Evaporation Map	4
F	Palmer Drought & Crop Moisture Maps	5
(	Growing Degree Day Maps	6
1	National Weather Data for Selected Cities	8
	June Weather Summary	11
	June Precipitation & Temperature Maps	15
	June Weather Data for Selected Cities	18
1	National Agricultural Summary	19
(	Crop Progress and Condition Tables	20
1	nternational Weather and Crop Summary	26
E	Bulletin Information & Days Suitable for Fieldwork	40



#### (Continued from front cover)

sections of the mid-South and mid-Atlantic, maintaining stress on pastures and rain-fed summer crops. Elsewhere, mostly dry weather west of the Rockies was accompanied by worsening heat. Although mid-summer dryness is typical in the Far West, record-shattering temperatures led to increased irrigation demands and a locally elevated wildfire threat. In California, six active wildfires in early July burned more than 1,000 acres apiece, with the Lake Fire in Santa Barbara County having charred more than 20,000 acres of vegetation. In Butte County, CA, more than two dozen structures were destroyed by the 3,789-acre Thompson Fire. As scorching heat settled across the Pacific Coast States and the Desert Southwest, weekly temperatures were catapulted more than 10°F above normal in parts of northern and central California. Significantly above-normal temperatures also dominated the southern and eastern U.S. In contrast, readings averaged at least 5°F below normal across parts of the northern and central Plains and the upper Midwest.

In California, a wave of all-time station records began on July 5, with high temperatures of 124°F in Palm Springs and 118°F in Redding. The record in **Redding** was topped on July 6, with a high of 119°F, while other all-time records set or tied on that date in California included 117°F in Ukiah and 115°F in Palmdale. By July 7, Lancaster, CA, tied an all-time station record with 115°F. Barstow-Daggett, CA, tied an all-time station record with highs of 118°F on July 7 and 8. Meanwhile, Las Vegas, NV, attained a 120-degree reading (on July 7) for the first time; the previous standard of 117°F had been set on multiple occasions, most recently on July 10, 2021. Several days earlier, heat had first ramped up in coastal California on July 2, when daily-record highs soared to 105°F in Santa Rosa and 102°F in San Jose. Ukiah noted highs of 110°F or greater each day from July 2-7, breaking monthly and annual records for 110-degree days (previously, 5 days in July 2006 and calendar-year 2006). Similarly, Lancaster attained highs of 110°F or greater each day from July 4-8; previously, the record had been 4 days with 110-degree heat in June 2021 and September 2022. Heat extended to the Deep South. where daily-record highs included 100°F (on July 2) in Lafavette, LA. and 98°F (on July 4) in Winter Haven, FL. In fact, it was the hottest Independence Day on record in many Western and Southern communities, including Medford, OR (105°F); San Angelo, TX (105°F); and Knoxville, TN (97°F). Medford eventually logged five consecutive daily-record highs (105, 109, 112, 108, and 108°F) from July 4-8. By July 5, unprecedented heat also appeared in scattered locations across the Southeast, where Raleigh-Durham, NC, hit 106°F (previously, 105°F on July 8, 2012, and several earlier dates in June, July, and August). On July 5-6, the week ended with consecutive daily-record highs in North Carolina locations such as Lumberton (103 and 102°F), Fayetteville (101 and 100°F), and Charlotte (101 and 99°F). The Western heat wave also continued, with temperatures peaking on July 6 in California Central Valley cities such as Hanford (113°F), Stockton (111°F), and Merced (111°F). Heat broke, however, across the Plains, where the July 5 maximum temperature of 77°F in Wichita Falls, TX, snapped a 10-day streak (June 25 – July 4) with triple-digit heat.

Runoff from earlier downpours reached the **Missouri and Mississippi Rivers**, with major flooding occurring along the **Iowa-Illinois border** of the latter waterway from **Dubuque downstream to Burlington**.



Near daybreak on the 30th, the Mississippi River at La Crosse, WI, rose 2.42 feet above flood stage, the highest June water level in that location on record. Previously, the record at La Crosse had been 2.10 feet above flood stage on June 26-27, 1993. As the week progressed, new downpours in Wisconsin led to the July 5 breaching of a dam along the Little Wolf River near Manawa. Farther east, early-week showers dotted the mid-Atlantic, providing limited drought relief. Recordsetting totals for June 30 included 2.29 inches in Elizabeth City, NC, and 1.86 inches in Wilmington, DE. In early July, a new round of thunderstorms arrived on the Plains, leading to daily-record totals for July 1 in Nebraska locations such as Grand Island (2.07 inches) and Norfolk (2.03 inches). Omaha, NE, also measured a daily-record sum exceeding the 2-inch mark, with 2.08 inches on July 2. In the wake of late-June flooding, Sioux City, IA, netted rainfall totaling 2.72 inches on July 1-2. Additional rounds of rain across the nation's mid-section led to daily-record amounts for July 4 in Vichy-Rolla, MO (2.39 inches), and Topeka, KS (1.92 inches). Topeka received 3.88 inches of rain during the first 4 days of July. Late in the week, heavy showers shifted southward, with daily-record amounts occurring on July 5 in Monroe, LA (3.68 inches), and Fort Myers, FL (2.78 inches). Well in advance of the approach of Hurricane Beryl-which made landfall on the middle Texas coast before daybreak on July 8 and will be covered in detail next week-heavy showers developed in parts of the south-central U.S. Austin, TX, collected a daily-record sum (2.43 inches) for July 6.

Somewhat cooler weather overspread **Alaska**, accompanied by scattered to widespread showers. However, some warmth lingered into early July, with **Cold Bay** reporting a daily-record high of 66°F on the 3rd. Meanwhile, significant storminess struck parts of **western and interior Alaska**, with **Nome's** rainfall total of 1.61 inches on July 3 narrowly missing its single-day record for the month (1.74 inches on July 23, 2017). During the first 6 days of July, rainfall reached 3.05 inches in **Nome**, 1.81 inches in **Kotzebue**, and 1.44 inches in **Fairbanks**. Farther south, however, July 1-6 rainfall totaled just 0.03 inch in **Anchorage**, 0.04 inch in **Kodiak**, and 0.21 inch in **Bethel**. In **Hawaii**, a drier-than-normal regime continued into early July. At the state's major airport observation sites, July 1-6 rainfall ranged from a trace in **Kahului, Maui**, to 0.59 inch (37 percent of normal) in **Hilo**, on the **Big Island**.















## Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin

## National Weather Data for Selected Cities

Weather Data for the Week Ending July 6, 2024 Data Provided by Climate Prediction Center

							RELATIV		ATIVE	TIVE NUMBER O		OF D	AYS							
		٦	FEMF	PERA	TUR	Ε°	F			PREC	CIPITA	TION	l		HUM	IDITY	TEM	Р°Е	PRF	CIP
	STATES														PER	CENT				.01
							۲.		. 7	7		-1		-			ш	ž		
	AND	UN CE	B ₹	₩.,	₩.	ЗE	URE RM#	≻≧	URE RM#	ST IV	IN. JN 1	RMAI JN 1	N. 1	RMAI 4N 1	BN	Ч	N OE	1073	문 문	문 문
S	TATIONS	ERA	ERA	ISH IGH	IRE OW	ERA	ART. NO	EEKI TAL,	ART. NO	ATES	'AL, E JI	NOF E JL	AL.	NOF E J	ERA	ERA	D AI	D BE	MON	MON
		AVI MA	AVI	EX	EX	AVI	JEP, ROM	WE TOT	ZOM,	SRE) 24-H	TOT	CT.	TOT	CT.	AVI MA	AVI	) AN	AN.	.01 0R	.5C OR
							T E		Ē	0.4	-	H.		H.			6	3		
AK	ANCHORAGE	64	55	69	51	59	0	0.37	0.05	0.27	1.22	94	6.14	130	78	57	0	0	3	0
		43	36	54 95	32	40 62	0	0.17	0.02	0.13	0.26	44	0.38	24	92	78 51	0	1	3	0
	JUNEAU	59	52	67	50	55	-1	1.49	0.45	0.36	4.76	100	30.34	117	98	75	0	0	6	0
	KODIAK	58	48	66	40	53	-2	0.26	-0.72	0.19	2.18	36	36.22	96	95	71	0	0	3	0
	NOME	56	47	71	42	51	-1	0.50	0.13	0.34	1.15	87	7.25	128	93	74	0	0	5	0
AL	BIRMINGHAM	94	75	97	70	85	4	1.98	0.73	1.30	3.39	57	26.05	82	87	47	7	0	3	2
		95	74	100	67 75	85	4	0.16	-1.00	0.16	2.60	51	30.54	100	88	46	6	0	1	1
	MONTGOMERY	96	75	98	74	85	3	0.38	-0.79	0.30	3.01	59	36.33	130	95	52	7	0	2	0
AR	FORT SMITH	97	77	102	70	87	5	0.07	-0.87	0.07	3.28	61	24.90	97	86	41	7	0	1	0
	LITTLE ROCK	95	75	100	71	85	4	0.96	0.16	0.96	2.60	61	36.64	133	86	50	6	0	1	1
AZ	FLAGSTAFF	87	54	92	45	71	5	0.57	0.29	0.45	0.97	172	10.31	122	63	17	2	0	3	0
	PRESCOTT	96	91 65	101	60 60	81	5	0.03	-0.07	0.03	2.34	40 404	7.03	124	40 54	13	6	0	1	0
	TUCSON	106	80	111	78	93	4	0.00	-0.30	0.00	0.95	184	6.13	189	57	19	7	0	0	0
CA	BAKERSFIELD	105	78	112	73	91	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	5.40	121	44	16	7	0	0	0
	EUREKA	71	52	81	46	61	4	0.00	-0.06	0.00	1.22	163	29.86	122	96	63	0	0	0	0
	FKESNU	106	76 61	112 70	70 58	91 67	9 -2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	7	9.00	115 179	53 04	15 68	6	0	U O	U O
	REDDING	110	76	119	70	93	11	0.00	-0.04	0.00	0.33	43	21.12	99	38	8	7	0	õ	0
	SACRAMENTO	105	67	110	61	86	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	11.97	98	67	16	7	0	0	0
	SAN DIEGO	75	65	77	65	70	1	0.00	-0.01	0.00	0.00	0	10.89	162	87	67	0	0	0	0
	SAN FRANCISCO	79	56	88	54	68	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	14.31	112	87	43	0	0	0	0
0		106 82	69 70	111	67 40	87 66	10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 515	10.65	119	60	16 25	0	0	0	0
00	CO SPRINGS	86	49 56	90	40	71	0	0.37	-0.44	0.22	1.22	44	7.56	99	83	23	1	0	2	0
	DENVER INTL	88	59	93	52	74	0	0.00	-0.41	0.00	1.05	45	9.15	117	69	17	3	0	0	0
	GRAND JUNCTION	94	65	96	60	79	1	0.00	-0.11	0.00	2.35	469	4.96	116	42	12	7	0	0	0
ст	PUEBLO	92	62 67	98	54	77	1	0.36	0.01	0.35	2.87	182	8.41	136	74	22	5	0	2	0
CI	HARTFORD	82 87	65	84 91	58	75	3	0.56	-0.30	0.75	4.14	95 76	28.12	124	89 86	57 49	2	0	4	0
DC	WASHINGTON	92	72	98	65	82	2	0.53	-0.50	0.35	1.73	34	22.85	107	78	40	4	0	2	0
DE	WILMINGTON	87	67	94	59	77	0	1.94	0.98	1.94	7.05	128	28.87	126	88	53	2	0	1	1
FL	DAYTONA BEACH	92	75	94	73	84	2	0.00	-1.52	0.00	7.00	85	18.83	82	99	57	7	0	0	0
	JACKSONVILLE	94	76	95	74	85	3	0.13	-1.50	0.07	5.02	56	21.37	86	94	57	7	0	3	0
	MIAMI	89 90	79	91	75	85 84	1	4.19	3.37 -1.23	2.58	8 29	68	24.63	80	87 88	59	э 5	0	4	0
	ORLANDO	94	76	96	74	85	3	0.56	-1.13	0.37	7.13	75	15.31	64	95	53	7	0	3	0
	PENSACOLA	90	76	96	74	83	0	1.77	0.04	0.69	8.15	92	32.63	97	93	59	5	0	4	2
	TALLAHASSEE	95	78	99	75	86	4	4.32	2.69	1.41	7.46	81	37.96	126	92	54	7	0	6	4
		92	78	95	75	85	1	1.44	-0.43	0.66	4.41	49	15.65	71	94	59	6	0	5	2
GA	ATHENS	90 96	74	99	69	85	4	0.39	-0.56	0.19	2.19	38	30.98	120	94 90	41	6	0	1	0
-	ATLANTA	94	77	97	76	85	5	2.02	0.82	1.56	4.50	80	30.41	113	87	47	7	0	3	1
	AUGUSTA	94	72	98	69	83	1	5.15	4.15	3.09	8.00	142	22.87	98	98	45	6	0	3	2
	COLUMBUS	95	77	99	76	86	3	0.53	-0.43	0.50	3.43	70	32.85	142	90	49	6	0	2	0
	SAVANNAH	94 91	76	96	72	83	1	2.20	1.03	2.01	5.00	64	24.24	99	92	58	5	0	3	1
н	HILO	84	69	85	66	77	1	0.32	-1.55	0.16	3.09	34	49.88	88	95	59	0	0	6	0
	HONOLULU	87	74	88	72	80	-1	0.33	0.21	0.26	1.30	219	10.54	125	81	45	0	0	2	0
	KAHULUI	86	69 75	88	60 73	77	-3	0.00	-0.08	0.00	0.58	238	8.46	90	87	49	0	0	0	0
IA	BURLINGTON	81	64	89	73 56	79	-3	1.62	-0.34	1.36	4.89	40 84	23.24	125	82 94	55	0	0	2	1
	CEDAR RAPIDS	79	59	84	52	69	-4	0.87	-0.27	0.65	3.26	50	12.78	68	97	56	0	0	3	1
	DES MOINES	81	62	86	59	71	-4	2.31	1.33	1.84	7.08	116	22.25	111	89	48	0	0	3	1
	DUBUQUE	77	60	83	52	68	-3	1.66	0.61	0.98	4.72	77	17.34	88	94	46	0	0	5	2
		79	59 60	85	50	69 60	-5	1.05	0.20	0.94	4.03	79 77	18.30	118	96	54 51	0	0	2	1
ID	BOISE	89	59	98	54	74	-5	0.00	-0.03	0.07	0.44	54	10.02	138	52	15	2	0	0	0
	LEWISTON	89	61	97	59	75	3	0.00	-0.16	0.00	0.79	57	6.34	79	59	19	3	0	0	0
	POCATELLO	84	47	89	43	66	-2	0.01	-0.11	0.01	0.73	71	10.07	144	72	17	0	0	1	0
IL	CHICAGO/O_HARE	81	64	88	54	73	-2	0.49	-0.28	0.35	3.04	63	16.97	87	85	41	0	0	3	0
		82 83	62 65	87 89	51 53	72	-3 -2	0.93	0.01	0.85	4.40 4.19	74 94	20.03	89 100	93 89	52 47	0	0	3 4	1
	ROCKFORD	81	61	87	50	71	-3	1.01	0.11	0.56	5.29	88	20.54	104	93	50	õ	õ	3	1
	SPRINGFIELD	83	62	89	49	73	-4	0.81	-0.12	0.35	2.85	52	13.84	68	92	52	0	0	4	0
IN	EVANSVILLE	89	67	95	58	78	0	1.11	0.02	0.62	2.78	51	25.54	94	89	47	3	0	3	1
	FORT WAYNE	80	60	86	52	70	-4	0.47	-0.46	0.45	3.46	65	23.40	110	90	55	0	0	2	0
	SOUTH BEND	83 80	60 60	88 86	55 48	73 70	-3 -2	0.80	-0.30	0.69	3.02 4.42	51 93	23.62 21.46	97 108	87 86	49 50	0	0	∠ 2	1
KS	CONCORDIA	86	63	95	61	74	-4	1.56	0.63	0.72	7.59	164	18.91	129	92	43	2	0	- 3	1
	DODGE CITY	85	65	95	59	75	-4	5.34	4.61	4.13	11.62	296	14.96	130	94	52	1	0	4	3
	GOODLAND	87	60	102	55	74	-1	0.79	0.14	0.53	4.83	137	9.65	99	95	33	2	0	4	1
	IUPERA	00	0/	90	02	10	-2	1.94	0.98	1.59	1.09	123	13.37	09	91	53		U	S	

Based on 1991-2020 normals

\*\*\* Not Available

July 9, 2024

Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin Weather Data for the Week Ending July 6, 2024

							RELATIVE		NUN	IBER	OF D	AYS								
	STATES	1	FEMF	PERA	TUR	E°	F			PREC	CIPITA				HUM PER	IDITY CENT	ТЕМ	P. °F	PRE	ECIP
s	AND	AVERAGE MAXIMUM	AVERAGE MINIMUM	EXTREME HIGH	EXTREME LOW	AVERAGE	DEPARTURE FROM NORMAL	TOTAL, IN. WEEKLY	DEPARTURE FROM NORMAL	GREATEST IN 24-HOUR, IN.	TOTAL, IN., SINCE JUN 1	PCT. NORMAL SINCE JUN 1	TOTAL, IN., SINCE JAN 1	PCT. NORMAL SINCE JAN 1	AVERAGE MAXIMUM	AVERAGE MINIMUM	90 AND ABOVE	32 AND BELOW	.01 INCH OR MORE	.50 INCH OR MORE
KV	WICHITA	89 80	68 66	100	62 56	78	-2	1.19	0.22	0.70	6.43	111	15.94	86	93	50	2	0	3	1
Νĭ	LOUISVILLE	89 89	69	94 93	62	78 79	-1	2.97	2.03	2.27	4.03	52 79	24.20	88	80	47	4 3	0	4	1
	PADUCAH BATON POLICE	89 07	68 79	92 102	61 76	78	-1 5	1.19	0.16	0.47	3.87	71 76	28.05	100	91 90	51 52	3	0	4	0
LA	LAKE CHARLES	94	78	98	75	86	3	0.30	-1.12	0.24	9.57	123	38.56	126	91	56	7	0	3	0
	NEW ORLEANS	94	79	98	76	87	3	0.33	-1.35	0.28	4.98	55	36.01	106	94	62	7	0	2	0
ма	BOSTON	99 82	80 68	100 87	64	89 75	6 2	0.75	0.04	0.37	4.56	101	27.24	122	86 85	45 59	0	0	3	0
	WORCESTER	81	65	85	60	73	3	0.53	-0.31	0.26	3.57	72	33.58	141	86	57	0	0	3	0
MD ME	BALTIMORE	92 80	70 58	100 85	62 53	81 69	3	0.74	-0.17 1.39	0.74	2.09 4.50	44 94	20.59 16.28	93 83	86 94	40 54	4	0	1 5	1 1
	PORTLAND	81	62	85	58	72	3	0.00	-0.80	0.00	2.39	49	25.02	103	91	58	0	0	0	0
MI		77	56	84 82	47	66 67	-1	0.62	-0.05	0.47	5.13	154	18.13	127	94 01	50 51	0	0	4	0
	LANSING	78	57	84	47	67	-5 -4	0.81	0.09	0.13	6.20	142	17.93	90 105	91	52	0	0	2	0
	MUSKEGON	76	59	81	46	67	-4	0.36	-0.24	0.26	4.24	119	15.73	90	88	52	0	0	3	0
MN	DULUTH	76 75	57 53	84 80	45 44	66 64	-3 -1	1.22 0.28	-0.83	0.81	3.98 7.84	130 147	13.53 17.02	104 115	93 90	53 51	0	0	2 3	1 0
	INT_L FALLS	76	51	82	40	64	-1	1.67	0.61	1.07	5.60	118	13.65	114	95	48	0	0	3	2
	MINNEAPOLIS	77 76	60 56	86 84	53 50	69 66	-5 -5	1.49	0.45	0.76	7.05	129 149	19.12	121	90 94	47	0	0	5	1
	ST. CLOUD	79	57	85	46	68	-2	0.62	-0.26	0.33	5.09	113	17.85	129	91	46	0	0	4	0
МО	COLUMBIA	83	66	92	62	75	-3	4.20	3.19	2.99	8.53	167	25.09	113	89	54	1	0	3	2
	SAINT LOUIS	83 87	65 69	94 93	61	74 78	-3 -2	2.05	0.87	0.96	9.35 2.95	149 55	24.30 21.87	117 94	91 77	49 45	1 2	0	3 3	2
	SPRINGFIELD	88	69	95	61	78	0	1.18	0.26	1.14	5.31	101	23.84	99	91	52	3	0	2	1
MS		96 06	76 74	98	71 67	86 85	4	0.83	-0.27	0.42	4.14	77	43.48	136	94 05	50 52	7	0	3	0
	TUPELO	90 95	74	99	65	85	3	0.29	-0.35	0.79	3.19	53	31.72	97	88	49	7	0	2	1
MT	BILLINGS	80	53	87	51	66	-4	0.75	0.40	0.34	1.84	73	7.93	91	79	27	0	0	6	0
	BUTTE CUT BANK	72	41 49	80 78	37 46	57 61	-4 -1	0.30	-0.01	0.13	2.07	76 58	5.75 4.36	67	88 87	27 39	0	0	4	0
	GLASGOW	80	55	85	52	67	-1	0.60	0.08	0.34	1.68	51	6.85	88	86	40	0	0	5	0
		74 75	50	78	48	62 64	-2	1.72	1.35	0.63	4.08	135	11.03	122	88	41	0	0	7	1
	MISSOULA	80	51	87	49	65	-3	0.79	0.51	0.78	2.09	88	8.37	149	83	29	0	0	4	0
NC	ASHEVILLE	89	67	93	61	78	3	0.83	-0.29	0.75	3.17	55	26.20	102	93	47	3	0	2	1
	GREENSBORO	94 91	73 69	101 96	66 59	84 80	4	0.77	0.02	0.37	1.79 2.20	38 45	23.61 25.28	104 114	84 88	38 42	5 4	0	3	0
	HATTERAS	86	75	88	69	80	-1	1.00	-0.02	1.00	4.02	76	21.10	77	92	68	0	0	1	1
		97 90	70 73	106	59 69	83 82	3	0.79	-0.16	0.49	2.98	63 47	18.81 18.12	85 69	87 87	36 55	5	0	5	0
ND	BISMARCK	78	56	82	45	67	-2	0.26	-0.50	0.20	3.09	76	10.12	104	91	48	0	0	3	0
	DICKINSON	76	52	78	46	64 60	-3	0.65	-0.01	0.16	3.86	106	8.80	101	95	52	0	0	6	0
	GRAND FORKS	79 78	58 58	85 82	47 45	69 68	-2	0.48	-0.41	0.31	3.82	83	9.81	93	87	49 48	0	0	5 4	0
	JAMESTOWN	76	57	80	45	67	-2	0.09	-0.78	0.06	3.53	85	9.07	90	96	52	0	0	2	0
NE	GRAND ISLAND	82 83	58 61	92 86	53 54	70 72	-6 -6	2.01 5.12	1.21 4.27	1.50 4.27	5.08 7.72	108 148	19.56 16.95	133 112	95 91	53 50	1	0	5 5	1 1
	NORFOLK	80	59	85	52	69	-5	2.09	1.25	2.01	5.20	102	19.00	129	93	50	0	0	4	1
	NORTH PLATTE	79 80	56 63	86 85	51 60	68 71	-7	1.17 1.13	0.52	0.67	6.23 4 58	152 88	15.98 20.59	136 124	90 92	50 54	0	0	4	1
	SCOTTSBLUFF	88	58	93	50	73	-0	0.85	0.27	0.73	3.11	106	9.00	93	86	20	3	0	3	1
	VALENTINE	80	54	82	50	67	-7	0.32	-0.44	0.27	5.99	129	13.97	111	95	45	0	0	3	0
NH NJ	ATLANTIC_CITY	87 86	60 66	92 91	50 58	73 76	3 0	0.61	-0.15 -0.58	0.33	2.54 1.74	57 39	21.89 23.67	108	97 91	47 52	1 2	0	2	0
-	NEWARK	88	69	93	64	79	1	1.27	0.35	0.53	3.74	73	23.31	98	80	48	3	0	4	1
NM NV	ALBUQUERQUE FLY	93 89	66 44	97 93	62 39	79 67	0	0.48	0.22	0.44	3.45 0.69	436 106	4.85 5.53	161 102	80 48	20 8	7	0	2	0
	LAS VEGAS	111	86	115	84	98	6	0.00	-0.06	0.00	0.02	20	2.09	96	15	5	7	0	0	0
	RENO	97	64	105	62	80	6	0.00	-0.04	0.00	0.02	3	4.96	108	37	8	7	0	0	0
NY	ALBANY	95 86	47 65	93	40 54	71 75	-1	0.00	-0.04	0.00	3.30 3.78	025 77	21.96	207 112	44 88	а 46	э 1	0	4	0
	BINGHAMTON	81	61	87	52	71	3	0.48	-0.41	0.26	3.17	58	22.01	104	94	54	0	0	3	0
	BUFFALO	82 83	64 64	89 90	54 52	73 74	3	0.37	-0.33 -0.59	0.37	4.80 3.46	121 85	17.91 16.62	91 96	85 90	46 45	0	0	1	0
	SYRACUSE	86	65	91	53	76	5	0.41	-0.46	0.41	3.53	81	19.56	100	81	45	4	0	1	0
ОН	AKRON-CANTON	83	62	91 02	51	73	-1	1.49	0.50	0.78	3.06	58	18.96	86 97	89	51	1	0	3	2
	CLEVELAND	83	64	93 91	53	73	-1	0.92	-0.50	0.20	3.01	66	15.98	07 77	87	48 50	∠ 1	0	2	0
	COLUMBUS	86	64	94	53	75	0	1.07	0.01	0.70	4.27	81	23.04	103	93	48	1	0	2	1
	MANSFIELD	83 82	63 61	91 90	54 51	73 71	-3 -1	0.75 0.52	-0.16 -0.39	0.61 0.34	3.04 1.83	61 32	21.15 18.61	92 80	95 89	53 51	1	0	3	1 0
	TOLEDO	81	60	86	52	70	-5	0.42	-0.32	0.36	4.64	113	22.98	122	94	50	0	0	2	0

Based on 1991-2020 normals

\*\*\* Not Available

9

### Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin Weather Data for the Week Ending July 6, 2024

July 9, 2024

STATES         UTUNE         UTUNE <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th colspan="3"></th><th></th><th></th><th>RELA</th><th>ATIVE</th><th>NUN</th><th>IBER</th><th>OF D</th><th>AYS</th></t<>								RELA	ATIVE	NUN	IBER	OF D	AYS								
STATES         Image: state				FEMF	PERA	TUR	Ε°	F			PREC	CIPITA	TION			HUM	IDITY	тем	P. °F	PRE	CIP
AND STATIONS         Barrows Weiger         Barrows Weiger        Barrows Weiger        Barrows Wei		STATES		1	1	1										PER	CENT				_
STATIONS         Normal         Norma		AND						E AL		ЯГ	3 4	. =	75	. +	1			Æ	M		
NAME         NAME <th< td=""><td></td><td>TATIONS</td><td>AGE</td><td>AGE</td><td>H H</td><td>N ENE</td><td>AGE</td><td>TUR</td><td></td><td>TUR</td><td>R, II</td><td>IUN.</td><td>IUN IUN</td><td>, IN.</td><td>IAN JAN</td><td>AGE</td><td>AGE</td><td>1BO</td><td>3ELC</td><td>CH SRE</td><td>CH DRE</td></th<>		TATIONS	AGE	AGE	H H	N ENE	AGE	TUR		TUR	R, II	IUN.	IUN IUN	, IN.	IAN JAN	AGE	AGE	1BO	3ELC	CH SRE	CH DRE
velocie         velocie <t< td=""><td>3</td><td>TATIONS</td><td>VER. AXIN</td><td>VER</td><td>XTRI HIG</td><td>XTRI LOI</td><td>VER,</td><td>PAR M N(</td><td>VEEI</td><td>PAR M N(</td><td>EATE</td><td>DTAL ICE ,</td><td>'. NC</td><td>ICE .</td><td>'. NC ICE .</td><td>VER</td><td>VER</td><td>/ DN</td><td>ND E</td><td>21 IN R M(</td><td>50 IN R M(</td></t<>	3	TATIONS	VER. AXIN	VER	XTRI HIG	XTRI LOI	VER,	PAR M N(	VEEI	PAR M N(	EATE	DTAL ICE ,	'. NC	ICE .	'. NC ICE .	VER	VER	/ DN	ND E	21 IN R M(	50 IN R M(
VEMORETION         62         63         63         63         63         63         64         64         65         65         65         65         65         65         65         65         65         65         65         65         65         65         65         75         75         725<			ΑW	Ψ×	Û	Û	A	DE. FRO.	75	DE	GRH 24-1	7C SIA	PCT	TC SIA	PCT	ΨW	Ψ×	90 A	32 A	, O	~! O
ONC         OCMUMAGY         SS         V       V        V         V<		VOUNCETOWN	00	60	00	50	71	0	0.72	0.10	0.28	2.01	69	22.94	109	02	55	1	0	2	0
NEAP         PA         PA        PA        PA        PA </td <td>ок</td> <td>OKLAHOMA CITY</td> <td>02 95</td> <td>73</td> <td>101</td> <td>64</td> <td>84</td> <td>3</td> <td>0.72</td> <td>-0.19</td> <td>0.38</td> <td>4.61</td> <td>87</td> <td>16.52</td> <td>84</td> <td>93 85</td> <td>40</td> <td>6</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td>	ок	OKLAHOMA CITY	02 95	73	101	64	84	3	0.72	-0.19	0.38	4.61	87	16.52	84	93 85	40	6	0	2	0
B         Cond         Cond        Cond        Cond		TULSA	94	74	100	66	84	2	1.71	0.73	0.98	4.80	87	27.81	126	93	46	6	0	3	2
Perform         Perform <t< td=""><td>OR</td><td>ASTORIA</td><td>74</td><td>57</td><td>90</td><td>55</td><td>65</td><td>6</td><td>0.04</td><td>-0.22</td><td>0.04</td><td>2.67</td><td>105</td><td>41.29</td><td>109</td><td>92</td><td>54</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></t<>	OR	ASTORIA	74	57	90	55	65	6	0.04	-0.22	0.04	2.67	105	41.29	109	92	54	1	0	1	0
Field         Field <th< td=""><td></td><td>BURNS</td><td>89</td><td>46 54</td><td>100</td><td>40 50</td><td>67 72</td><td>2</td><td>0.00</td><td>-0.09</td><td>0.00</td><td>3.35</td><td>419 74</td><td>9.80 18.94</td><td>156 83</td><td>62 87</td><td>12 24</td><td>3</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></th<>		BURNS	89	46 54	100	40 50	67 72	2	0.00	-0.09	0.00	3.35	419 74	9.80 18.94	156 83	62 87	12 24	3	0	0	0
Percy         Percy <th< td=""><td></td><td>MEDFORD</td><td>99</td><td>58</td><td>111</td><td>52</td><td>72</td><td>6</td><td>0.00</td><td>-0.12</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.38</td><td>100</td><td>11.50</td><td>113</td><td>67</td><td>13</td><td>6</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></th<>		MEDFORD	99	58	111	52	72	6	0.00	-0.12	0.00	0.38	100	11.50	113	67	13	6	0	0	0
Pertunk         Solution         Solution        <		PENDLETON	90	59	97	54	74	5	0.00	-0.09	0.00	1.46	129	9.56	121	63	16	3	0	0	0
PA         Substr         O        O        O         O <td></td> <td>PORTLAND</td> <td>87</td> <td>60</td> <td>99</td> <td>55</td> <td>74</td> <td>6</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>-0.18</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>1.80</td> <td>100</td> <td>22.19</td> <td>111</td> <td>75</td> <td>28</td> <td>3</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>		PORTLAND	87	60	99	55	74	6	0.00	-0.18	0.00	1.80	100	22.19	111	75	28	3	0	0	0
Inter         Inter<         In	PΔ		91 87	58 65	103	52 56	74 76	8	0.00	-0.10	0.00	2.04	152	25.57 24.28	117 108	74 88	25 44	3	0	0	0
PHILODETONM         op         op<         op<        op< <td>FA</td> <td>ERIE</td> <td>81</td> <td>65</td> <td>91</td> <td>55</td> <td>73</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.34</td> <td>0.02</td> <td>0.28</td> <td>5.73</td> <td>132</td> <td>18.81</td> <td>92</td> <td>85</td> <td>51</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td>	FA	ERIE	81	65	91	55	73	1	0.34	0.02	0.28	5.73	132	18.81	92	85	51	1	0	2	0
PHILADELPHIA         eff         eff<         eff< <th< td=""><td></td><td>MIDDLETOWN</td><td>89</td><td>68</td><td>95</td><td>60</td><td>78</td><td>2</td><td>0.00</td><td>-0.95</td><td>0.00</td><td>5.05</td><td>105</td><td>25.51</td><td>118</td><td>86</td><td>43</td><td>4</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></th<>		MIDDLETOWN	89	68	95	60	78	2	0.00	-0.95	0.00	5.05	105	25.51	118	86	43	4	0	0	0
PH         PH         SM         SM<		PHILADELPHIA	89	69	97	63	79	1	0.02	-0.85	0.02	4.14	86	24.42	113	87	45	4	0	1	0
NELLANGENOME         07         04         03         05         75         05         05         05			86	65 62	91	54	76	3	0.74	-0.23	0.39	3.19	64	25.66	122	86	44	1	0	5	0
R1         PROVIDENCE         N1         CP         N1         N2         N1         N2         N2         N2         N3         N3       <		WILLIAMSPORT	87	64	90 93	53	74	2	0.98	-0.72	0.55	2.78	59	25.67	114	90 91	49 46	3	0	3	0
SC         CHARLESTON         69         76         66         73         85         33         235         180         75	RI	PROVIDENCE	81	65	83	58	73	0	2.12	1.48	1.30	5.72	131	37.27	152	97	64	0	0	4	1
CLUMBIA         96         74         100         66         85         3         197         0.08         1.67         2.02         49         2.08         0.0         0.0         0        0 </td <td>SC</td> <td>CHARLESTON</td> <td>93</td> <td>76</td> <td>96</td> <td>73</td> <td>85</td> <td>3</td> <td>2.35</td> <td>0.88</td> <td>2.35</td> <td>7.17</td> <td>96</td> <td>25.84</td> <td>107</td> <td>91</td> <td>54</td> <td>6</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td>	SC	CHARLESTON	93	76	96	73	85	3	2.35	0.88	2.35	7.17	96	25.84	107	91	54	6	0	1	1
HolesNut         97         7         101         65         84         2         0.16         1.06         0.16         1.27         22         22.0         83         97         4         7         0         0         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         0         1         0         0         0         1         0         0         0         1         0         0         0         1         0         0         0         1         0         0         0         0         1         0 </td <td></td> <td>COLUMBIA</td> <td>96</td> <td>74</td> <td>101</td> <td>69</td> <td>85</td> <td>3</td> <td>1.97</td> <td>0.89</td> <td>1.87</td> <td>2.92</td> <td>49</td> <td>23.05</td> <td>101</td> <td>94</td> <td>43</td> <td>7</td> <td>0</td> <td>3</td> <td>1</td>		COLUMBIA	96	74	101	69	85	3	1.97	0.89	1.87	2.92	49	23.05	101	94	43	7	0	3	1
SD         ABEROVENIN         77         68         69         69         69         69         60       <			97	71	103	65 63	84 92	2	0.16	-1.06	0.16	1.27	22	18.20	83 115	97	41	7	0	1	0
HURON         77         59         94         51         88         -5         0.5         0.1         0.3         0.2         1.2         1.01         95         53         0        0         0         0 <td>SD</td> <td>ABERDEEN</td> <td>54 79</td> <td>58</td> <td>86</td> <td>47</td> <td>68</td> <td>-3</td> <td>1.89</td> <td>1.06</td> <td>0.12</td> <td>4.38</td> <td>40 98</td> <td>10.63</td> <td>90</td> <td>90</td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td>2</td>	SD	ABERDEEN	54 79	58	86	47	68	-3	1.89	1.06	0.12	4.38	40 98	10.63	90	90	50	0	0	4	2
RAID CITY         63         55         64         77         7.0         7.0         7.0         9.33         7.2         8.50         7.0         9.50         7.0         9.50         7.0         9.50         7.0         9.50         7.0         9.50         7.0         9.50         7.0        7.0         7.0 <t< td=""><td>-</td><td>HURON</td><td>77</td><td>59</td><td>84</td><td>51</td><td>68</td><td>-5</td><td>0.50</td><td>-0.16</td><td>0.34</td><td>4.08</td><td>92</td><td>12.81</td><td>101</td><td>95</td><td>53</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>3</td><td>0</td></t<>	-	HURON	77	59	84	51	68	-5	0.50	-0.16	0.34	4.08	92	12.81	101	95	53	0	0	3	0
SICUX FALLS         77         69         64         49         68         -0.2         0.31         6.88         17         0.18         20.07         139         93         51         0         0         3         0           N         RHXTMAOQA         65         75         07         73         85         50         1.17         0.18         0.08         1.88         1.00         75         24.61         83         84         4         6         0      <		RAPID CITY	83	52	92	47	67	-3	0.31	-0.22	0.19	1.93	58	9.83	92	85	35	1	0	3	0
IN         BARDUL         BB         67         97         98         67         97         78         85         11         0.10         100	-	SIOUX FALLS	77	59	84	49	68	-5	0.54	-0.23	0.31	8.68	177	20.67	139	93	51	0	0	3	0
NEXOVILLE         92         91         97         65         92         9         1019         400         75         98         75         98         75         98         75         98         75         98         75         98         75         98         75         98         75         98         75         98         75         98         75         98         75         98         75         98         75         99         67         94         90         62         81         2         026         -33         0.03         4.17         122         990         102         76         94         7         0         1         0          4000         70         77 <t< td=""><td>IN</td><td>CHATTANOOGA</td><td>89</td><td>67 75</td><td>95 97</td><td>51 73</td><td>78 85</td><td>35</td><td>1.17</td><td>0.15</td><td>0.98</td><td>2.83</td><td>59 26</td><td>21.08</td><td>88</td><td>94 80</td><td>48</td><td>3</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>1</td></t<>	IN	CHATTANOOGA	89	67 75	95 97	51 73	78 85	35	1.17	0.15	0.98	2.83	59 26	21.08	88	94 80	48	3	0	2	1
MEMPHIS         93         75         98         64         1         0.24         -0.76         0.24         2.85         88         26.9         87         82         45         4         0         1           TX         ANASHULE         93         75         98         269         177         28         28.0         18.1         12.2         12.6         10         10         12.8         10         12.5         10.0         1.41         140         13.28         10.1         7.8         12.0         10.0         10.1         14.1         12.0         12.0         12.0         10.0         10.0         1.41         12.0         <		KNOXVILLE	92	71	97	65	82	4	0.33	-0.91	0.19	4.00	75	29.61	102	89	44	6	0	2	0
NS.NVILLE         93         72         99         63         83         3         0.48         0.55         0.02         1.59         42         1.61         73         22         32         101         73         32         75         0         0         0           MAMAPILO         92         70         99         62         81         2         0.53         0.01         1.94         49         102         76         85         34         0         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0.01         1.01         1.01         1.05         0.05         0.14         0.05         0.14         2.05         1.01         1.0		MEMPHIS	93	75	98	69	84	1	0.24	-0.78	0.24	2.85	58	26.69	87	82	45	4	0	1	0
TX       ABALENC       99       76       104       67       87       4       0.00       -0.53       0.00       1.44       91       32.8       101       72       32       6       0       0       0         AMARILO       92       70       96       62       81       2       0.28       0.38       0.13       117       122       990       102       76       87       10       15       1         BEALMONT       94       77       77       87       1       0.04       -0.56       0.67       83.31       150       66       57       0       1       0		NASHVILLE	93	72	99	63	83	3	0.48	-0.56	0.26	1.57	29	26.63	94	79	42	6	0	2	0
AMARILU         32         7.0         93         62         71         2         0.26         0.33         4.1         122         9.30         102         76         37         57         00         4         0           ALSTM         101         78         102         75         86         3         0.08         0.26         0.27         4.61         56         43.31         150         96         85         37         7         0         1         0           BROWNSULE         06         78         97         76         87         3         0.39         0.48         0.39         39         92         1066         72         91         54         7         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1	тх	ABILENE	99	75	104	67	87	4	0.00	-0.53	0.00	1.94	49	13.28	101	72	32	6	0	0	0
BEAUMONT         194         17         107         16         28         4.61         56         4.31         150         26         7         10         1         0         0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>92</td> <td>70</td> <td>99 102</td> <td>62 75</td> <td>81 80</td> <td>2</td> <td>0.26</td> <td>-0.38</td> <td>0.13</td> <td>4.17</td> <td>122</td> <td>9.90</td> <td>102</td> <td>76</td> <td>37</td> <td>5</td> <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td>0</td>			92	70	99 102	62 75	81 80	2	0.26	-0.38	0.13	4.17	122	9.90	102	76	37	5	0	4	0
BROWNSVILLE         95         97         97         97         87         1         0.04         -0.59         0.04         2.96         77         82         55         7         0         1         0           CCRPUSCHRIST         102         81         105         79         92         55         0.00         -0.37         0.00         0.74         32         2.05         2.11         52         2.16         2.16         2.11         52         2.11         52         1.67         2.11         2.21         1.21         2.21         1.21		BEAUMONT	94	77	97	76	86	3	0.68	-0.96	0.57	4.61	56	43.31	150	96	59	7	0	5	1
CORPUS CHRIST         96         78         97         76         87         3         0.38         0.38         0.38         0.48         72         18         54         7         0         1         0           LP ASO         100         74         100         76         87         2         2.01         2.25         1.67         2.01         2.26         1.7         2.8         7         0         4         2           FORTWORTH         98         70         100         71         83         2.25         1.67         2.01         2.25         1.67         1.28         7.7         0         1         0           GALVESTON         98         80         0.70         0.28         1.41         27         1.746         88         3.42         7.8         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0		BROWNSVILLE	95	79	97	77	87	1	0.04	-0.59	0.04	2.96	87	8.30	77	92	55	7	0	1	0
DEL RIO         102         81         105         79         92         5         0.00         -0.37         0.00         74         32         2.05         2.17         72         2.87         7         0         0         0         0         0           FORT WORTH         98         79         100         71         88         43         0.26         0.26         0.41         88         2.6.8         128         77         77         7         0         0         1         0           GALVESTON         98         80         100         76         89         4         0.28         0.70         33         3.32         127         78         84         7         0         1         10           MIDLAND         98         73         103         69         85         1         0.38         0.03         0.35         0.70         33         3.32         52         74         31         66         0         2         0         11           VICTORIA         98         75         0.28         0.03         0.02         3.27         80         14.1         30         30         2         7         <		CORPUS CHRISTI	96	78	97	76	87	3	0.39	-0.45	0.39	3.96	92	10.65	72	91	54	7	0	1	0
LE PASD         100         74         103         69         87         2         2.41         2.52         1.67         2.91         2.94         3.89         139         69         22         7         0         4         2           FORT <worth< td="">         93         82         94         80         88         3         0.28         0.70         0.28         1.41         27         17.46         88         91         62         7         0         1         &lt;</worth<>		DEL RIO	102	81	105	79	92	5	0.00	-0.37	0.00	0.74	32	2.05	21	72	28	7	0	0	0
GALVESTON         B3         B2         B4         B6         C3         C28         C.01         C30         C30 </td <td></td> <td>EL PASO</td> <td>100 98</td> <td>74</td> <td>103</td> <td>69 71</td> <td>87 88</td> <td>2</td> <td>2.81</td> <td>2.52</td> <td>1.67</td> <td>2.91</td> <td>294</td> <td>3.69</td> <td>139</td> <td>69 77</td> <td>22</td> <td>6</td> <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td>2</td>		EL PASO	100 98	74	103	69 71	87 88	2	2.81	2.52	1.67	2.91	294	3.69	139	69 77	22	6	0	4	2
HOUSTON         98         80         100         76         89         4         0.78         0.78         6.14         88         33.42         127         88         43         7         0         1           LUBBOCK         97         73         103         64         85         1         0.38         0.10         33         12.53         131         75         29         6         0         2         0           SAN ANCELO         102         74         106         68         89         5         0.19         -0.13         0.18         1.59         61         7.28         67         76         27         0         2         0           SAN ANTONIO         00         76         97         75         86         2         0.10         0.80         150         0.80         151         2.55         50         1.54         1.50         0.56         0.02         0.11         1.00         1.64         1.01         1.03         0.08         152         1.5         0.0         3.65         0.01         1.64         1.01         1.5         0.55         1.55         1.55         1.55         1.55         1.55         1.55 </td <td></td> <td>GALVESTON</td> <td>93</td> <td>82</td> <td>94</td> <td>80</td> <td>88</td> <td>3</td> <td>0.43</td> <td>-0.20</td> <td>0.43</td> <td>1.41</td> <td>27</td> <td>17.46</td> <td>88</td> <td>91</td> <td>62</td> <td>7</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td>		GALVESTON	93	82	94	80	88	3	0.43	-0.20	0.43	1.41	27	17.46	88	91	62	7	0	1	0
LUBBOCK         97         73         104         64         85         4         0.48         -0.11         0.28         4.12         133         12.53         131         75         29         6         0         3         0           MIDAND         98         73         103         69         85         10         0.38         0.03         0.55         0.70         33         322         52         74         30         75         0         1         0           SAN ANTON<0		HOUSTON	98	80	100	76	89	4	0.78	-0.36	0.78	6.14	88	33.42	127	88	43	7	0	1	1
MIDLAND         98         73         103         69         85         1         0.38         0.035         0.70         33         3.32         52         74         31         6         0         2         0           SAN ANTONO         100         74         106         68         89         5         0.01         0.13         0.18         1.59         61         7.26         7.7         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         1         0         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         0         1         0         1         1         0         1         1         0         0         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1 </td <td></td> <td>LUBBOCK</td> <td>97</td> <td>73</td> <td>104</td> <td>64</td> <td>85</td> <td>4</td> <td>0.46</td> <td>-0.11</td> <td>0.28</td> <td>4.12</td> <td>133</td> <td>12.53</td> <td>131</td> <td>75</td> <td>29</td> <td>6</td> <td>0</td> <td>3</td> <td>0</td>		LUBBOCK	97	73	104	64	85	4	0.46	-0.11	0.28	4.12	133	12.53	131	75	29	6	0	3	0
SAN ANGELO         100         74         106         66         65         5         0.13         -0.13         0.16         1.25         01         7.26         7         0         1         0         2         0           SAN ANTONIO         100         78         102         75         86         2         0.10         0.08         3.21         80         14.13         83         88         37         7         0         1         1           WICTORIA         95         76         97         75         86         2         0.19         0.32         0.11         3.09         81         21.23         143         81         36         6         0         2         0           VICTORIA         92         64         101         57         76         -2         0.00         -0.11         0.00         1.04         10.30         108         42         111         3         0         0         0         3         0         0         0         0         0.01         0.01         1.03         1.04         10.3         0         0         0         3         1         0         0         2 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>98</td><td>73</td><td>103</td><td>69 69</td><td>85</td><td>1</td><td>0.38</td><td>0.03</td><td>0.35</td><td>0.70</td><td>33</td><td>3.32</td><td>52</td><td>74</td><td>31</td><td>6</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>0</td></t<>			98	73	103	69 69	85	1	0.38	0.03	0.35	0.70	33	3.32	52	74	31	6	0	2	0
NATION         10 <th< td=""><td></td><td>SAN ANGELO</td><td>102</td><td>74</td><td>106</td><td>68 75</td><td>89 89</td><td>5</td><td>0.19</td><td>-0.13</td><td>0.18</td><td>1.59</td><td>61 80</td><td>7.28 14.13</td><td>67 83</td><td>76</td><td>37</td><td>7</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>0</td></th<>		SAN ANGELO	102	74	106	68 75	89 89	5	0.19	-0.13	0.18	1.59	61 80	7.28 14.13	67 83	76	37	7	0	2	0
WACO         98         75         100         71         87         3         0.68         0.03         0.58         3.69         97         30.88         152         85         35         7         0         1           UT         SALTLAKE CITY         89         64         101         65         86         2         0.19         -0.32         0.11         3.09         81         21.23         143         81         36         6         0         0         0           VA         LYNCHBURG         92         65         98         54         79         3         0.26         -061         0.24         0.74         16         7.32         78         90         38         5         0         2         0           NCHMOND         92         69         101         62         81         2         1.55         0.55         1.05         3.25         59         2.617         115         91         411         4         0         4         1           ROANOKE         92         69         86         30         3         0.75         0.24         0.88         18.05         810         31         1.00		VICTORIA	95	76	97	75	86	2	0.10	-0.89	0.10	3.07	60	19.41	92	96	52	7	0	1	0
WICHITAFALLS         97         74         104         65         86         2         0.19         -0.32         0.11         3.09         81         21.23         143         81         36         6         0         2         0           UT         SALT LAKE CITY         89         64         101         57         76         -2         0.00         -0.10         0.00         1.07         104         10.30         108         42         11         3         0         0         0         0           VA         LYNCHBURG         92         65         98         81         1         1.65         0.55         1.05         3.25         59         26.17         118         87         52         4         0         2         1         1         0.66         0.91         1.03         4.10         74         168         75         3         0.96         -0.44         0.88         1.88         36         1.857         81         81         31         3         0         2         1         0         2         1         0         2         0         1         0         2         1         0         0         2		WACO	98	75	100	71	87	3	0.58	0.03	0.58	3.69	97	30.88	152	85	35	7	0	1	1
U1         SALL LAKE CHTY         89         64         101         57         7.6         -2         0.00         0.00         1.07         104         10.30         108         42         11         3         0         0         0         0           VA         LYNCHBURG         92         65         98         54         79         3         0.26         -0.61         0.24         0.74         116         17.32         78         90         38         50         0         2         0           NORFOLK         89         73         97         68         81         1         1.65         0.55         1.05         3.25         59         26.17         115         91         41         4         0         4         1           ROANOKE         92         67         99         58         80         3         0.72         -0.24         0.68         1.88         36         18.59         82         88         39         4         0         2         1           WA         OLYMPIA         82         50         75         3         0.96         -0.04         0.89         37.0         84         92		WICHITA FALLS	97	74	104	65	86	2	0.19	-0.32	0.11	3.09	81	21.23	143	81	36	6	0	2	0
NAME       Subscription       Subscri		SALT LAKE CITY	89 02	64 65	101 09	57 54	76 70	-2	0.00	-0.10	0.00	1.07	104	10.30 17.32	108 79	42 00	11 29	3	0	0	0
RICHMOND         92         69         101         62         81         2         1.5         0.55         1.05         3.25         59         26.17         115         91         41         4         0         4         1           ROANOKE         92         69         98         57         80         3         1.06         0.09         1.03         4.10         74         18.67         81         81         39         5         0         2         1           WASH/DULLES         92         67         99         58         80         3         0.72         -0.24         0.68         1.88         36         18.59         82         88         39         4         0         2         1           WA         OLYMPIA         82         50         94         44         66         4         0.01         -0.17         0.01         0.98         60         23.75         90         94         36         2         0         1         0         0         3         55         51         1.01         0.01         1.50         93         17.00         82         80         36         1         0         0 <td>v A</td> <td>NORFOLK</td> <td>32 89</td> <td>73</td> <td>97</td> <td>68</td> <td>81</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.65</td> <td>0.56</td> <td>1.34</td> <td>4.72</td> <td>88</td> <td>26.87</td> <td>118</td> <td>87</td> <td>52</td> <td>4</td> <td>0</td> <td>2 3</td> <td>1</td>	v A	NORFOLK	32 89	73	97	68	81	1	1.65	0.56	1.34	4.72	88	26.87	118	87	52	4	0	2 3	1
ROANOKE         92         69         98         57         80         3         1.06         0.09         1.03         4.10         74         18.67         81         81         39         5         0         2         1           WASH/DULLES         92         67         99         58         80         3         0.72         -0.24         0.68         1.88         36         18.51         92         88         39         4         0         2         1           WA         OLYMPIA         82         50         94         44         66         4         0.01         -0.17         0.01         0.98         60         23.75         90         94         36         2         0         1         0         0         3         0         5         0.12         -0.19         0.00         1.50         93         17.0         82         80         36         1         0 </td <td></td> <td>RICHMOND</td> <td>92</td> <td>69</td> <td>101</td> <td>62</td> <td>81</td> <td>2</td> <td>1.55</td> <td>0.55</td> <td>1.05</td> <td>3.25</td> <td>59</td> <td>26.17</td> <td>115</td> <td>91</td> <td>41</td> <td>4</td> <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td>1</td>		RICHMOND	92	69	101	62	81	2	1.55	0.55	1.05	3.25	59	26.17	115	91	41	4	0	4	1
WASH/DULLES         92         67         99         58         80         3         0.72         -0.24         0.68         1.88         36         18.59         82         88         39         4         0         2         1           VT         BURLINGTON         86         64         90         55         75         3         0.06         -0.04         0.89         5.58         109         18.11         99         87         39         2         0         2         1         0           QUILLAYUTE         72         54         85         46         63         5         0.18         -0.25         0.13         2.28         62         50.65         94         92         59         0         0         0         0           SEATTLE-TACOMA         80         57         90         54         69         4         0.00         -0.19         0.00         1.50         93         17.00         82         80         36         1         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0		ROANOKE	92	69	98	57	80	3	1.06	0.09	1.03	4.10	74	18.67	81	81	39	5	0	2	1
VIA       OLYMPIA       82       50       93       53       75       74       4       0.00       -0.03       0.12       1.19       91       76.8       81       62       21       2       0       1       0       0       0.00       1.11       93       52       0       0       0       0       0.01       107       96       51<	VТ	WASH/DULLES	92	67	99	58	80	3	0.72	-0.24	0.68	1.88	36	18.59	82	88	39	4	0	2	1
Min       OL       OG       Fr       OG       OG <t< td=""><td>V I WA</td><td>OLYMPIA</td><td>80</td><td>64 50</td><td>90 94</td><td>55 44</td><td>75 66</td><td>3</td><td>0.96</td><td>-0.04</td><td>0.89</td><td>5.58</td><td>109</td><td>18.11 23.75</td><td>99</td><td>87 94</td><td>39 36</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>1</td></t<>	V I WA	OLYMPIA	80	64 50	90 94	55 44	75 66	3	0.96	-0.04	0.89	5.58	109	18.11 23.75	99	87 94	39 36	2	0	2	1
SEATTLE-TACOMA         80         57         90         54         69         4         0.00         -0.19         0.00         1.50         93         17.00         82         80         36         1         0         0         0           YAKIMA         91         57         100         55         73         5         0.12         -0.03         0.12         1.19         91         7.68         81         62         21         2         0         1         0           YAKIMA         91         57         100         50         74         4         0.00         -0.66         0.00         0.4         77         3.37         74         59         20         3         0 <td>WA.</td> <td>QUILLAYUTE</td> <td>72</td> <td>54</td> <td>85</td> <td>46</td> <td>63</td> <td>5</td> <td>0.18</td> <td>-0.25</td> <td>0.13</td> <td>2.28</td> <td>62</td> <td>50.65</td> <td>94</td> <td>92</td> <td>59</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>3</td> <td>0</td>	WA.	QUILLAYUTE	72	54	85	46	63	5	0.18	-0.25	0.13	2.28	62	50.65	94	92	59	0	0	3	0
SPOKANE         85         60         93         55         73         5         0.12         -0.03         0.12         1.19         91         7.68         81         62         21         2         0         1         0           YAKIMA         91         57         100         50         74         4         0.00         -0.06         0.00         0.04         7         3.37         74         59         20         3         0         0         0           WI         EAU CLAIRE         76         55         86         47         65         -6         1.60         0.70         0.81         7.68         138         18.00         107         96         51         0         4         1           GREEN BAY         77         58         86         50         67         -3         1.45         0.58         1.06         5.59         115         16.00         101         93         51         0         4         0         4         0         4         0         4         0         4         0         4         0         4         0         4         0         0         4         0         0		SEATTLE-TACOMA	80	57	90	54	69	4	0.00	-0.19	0.00	1.50	93	17.00	82	80	36	1	0	0	0
YAKIMA         91         57         100         50         74         4         0.00         -0.06         0.00         0.04         7         3.37         74         59         20         3         0         0         0           WI         EAU CLAIRE         76         55         86         47         65         -6         1.60         0.70         0.81         7.69         138         18.00         107         96         51         0         0         4         1           GREEN BAY         77         58         86         50         67         -3         1.45         0.58         1.06         5.59         115         16.00         101         93         52         0         4         1           LA CROSSE         79         59         89         52         69         -3         1.89         0.80         1.22         9.06         146         22.96         118         91         51         0         0         4         1           MLWAUKEE         76         63         87         55         70         -3         0.85         0.00         0.77         5.44         106         23.34         128		SPOKANE	85	60	93	55	73	5	0.12	-0.03	0.12	1.19	91	7.68	81	62	21	2	0	1	0
Image: Condition of the conditing the condition of the condition of the condi	\A/I		91 76	57 55	100	50 47	74 65	4	0.00	-0.06	0.00	0.04	129	3.37	74 107	59 06	20	3	0	0	0
LA CROSSE       78       60       86       52       69       -6       0.85       -0.16       0.30       4.61       77       177       1743       94       91       48       0       0       4       1         MADISON       78       60       86       52       69       -3       1.89       0.80       1.22       9.06       146       22.96       118       91       51       0       0       4       1         MILWAUKEE       76       63       87       55       70       -3       0.85       0.00       0.77       5.44       106       23.34       128       87       56       0       0       4       1         WV       BECKLEY       83       64       88       55       76       1       1.01       -0.11       0.43       4.44       78       25.49       101       92       46       3       0       3       0         CHARLESTON       88       65       91       55       76       1       1.01       -0.11       0.43       4.44       78       25.49       101       92       46       3       0       3       0       3       0     <	VVI	GREEN BAY	70 77	58	00 86	47 50	67	-0 -3	1.45	0.70	1.06	7.09 5.59	138	16.00	107	90 93	52	0	0	4	1
MADISON         78         60         86         52         69         -3         1.89         0.80         1.22         9.06         146         22.96         118         91         51         0         0         4         1           MILWAUKEE         76         63         87         55         70         -3         0.85         0.00         0.77         5.44         106         23.34         128         87         56         0         0         4         1           WV         BECKLEY         83         64         88         55         73         2         0.36         -0.68         0.15         2.78         53         19.86         83         86         51         0         0         3         0           CHARLESTON         88         65         91         55         76         1         1.01         -0.11         0.43         4.44         78         25.49         101         92         46         3         0         3         0           LKINS         84         61         90         47         73         2         0.73         0.57         0.31         2.70         48         22.63		LA CROSSE	79	59	89	52	69	-6	0.85	-0.16	0.30	4.61	77	17.43	94	91	48	õ	0	4	0
MILWAUKEE         76         63         87         55         70         -3         0.85         0.00         0.77         5.44         106         23.34         128         87         56         0         0         4         1           WV         BECKLEY         83         64         88         55         73         2         0.36         -0.68         0.15         2.78         53         19.86         83         86         51         0         0         3         0           CHARLESTON         88         65         91         55         76         1         1.01         -0.11         0.43         4.44         78         25.49         101         92         46         3         0         3         0           ELKINS         84         61         90         47         73         2         0.73         -0.57         0.31         2.70         48         22.63         88         99         50         1         0         3         0         3         0         3         0         3         0         3         0         3         0         3         0         3         0         3         0		MADISON	78	60	86	52	69	-3	1.89	0.80	1.22	9.06	146	22.96	118	91	51	0	0	4	1
WV         BECKLEY         83         64         88         55         73         2         0.36         -0.68         0.15         2.78         53         19.86         83         86         51         0         0         3         0           CHARLESTON         88         65         91         55         76         1         1.01         -0.11         0.43         4.44         78         25.49         101         92         46         3         0         4         0           ELKINS         84         61         90         47         73         2         0.35         -0.67         0.31         2.70         48         22.63         88         99         50         1         0         3         0           HUNTINGTON         89         66         94         56         78         2         0.35         -0.67         0.26         2.83         55         23.94         98         89         45         3         0         3         0           WY         CASPER         84         46         96         41         65         -3         2.69         2.42         1.44         4.04         257	14.5.7	MILWAUKEE	76	63	87	55	70	-3	0.85	0.00	0.77	5.44	106	23.34	128	87	56	0	0	4	1
ELKINS       84       61       90       47       73       2       0.73       -0.71       0.43       4.44       76       23.49       101       92       46       3       0       4       0         ELKINS       84       61       90       47       73       2       0.73       -0.57       0.31       2.70       48       22.63       88       99       50       1       0       3       0       3       0         HUNTINGTON       89       66       94       56       78       2       0.35       -0.67       0.26       2.83       55       23.94       98       89       54       3       0       3       0         WY       CASPER       84       46       96       41       65       -3       2.69       2.42       1.44       4.04       257       9.22       129       86       14       1       0       5       1         CHEYENNE       81       52       86       45       67       -2       0.50       0.06       0.38       2.20       86       569       66       77       21       0       0       2       0       2       0	WV	CHARLESTON	83	64 65	88	55 55	73	2	0.36	-0.68	0.15	2.78	53 79	19.86	83	86 02	51 16	0	0	3	0
HUNTINGTON         89         66         94         76         78         2         0.35         -0.67         0.26         2.83         55         23.94         98         89         45         3         0         3		ELKINS	84	61	90	47	73	2	0.73	-0.57	0.43	2.70	48	22.63	88	92 99	40 50	3 1	0	+ 3	0
WY         CASPER         84         46         96         41         65         -3         2.69         2.42         1.44         4.04         257         9.22         129         86         14         1         0         5         1           CHEYENNE         81         52         86         45         67         -2         0.50         0.06         0.38         2.20         86         5.69         66         77         21         0         0         2         0           LANDER         84         50         95         45         67         -2         0.00         -0.11         0.00         0.83         70         7.36         87         66         14         1         0		HUNTINGTON	89	66	94	56	78	2	0.35	-0.67	0.26	2.83	55	23.94	98	89	45	3	õ	3	õ
CHEYENNE       81       52       86       45       67       -2       0.50       0.06       0.38       2.20       86       5.69       66       77       21       0       0       2       0         LANDER       84       50       95       45       67       -2       0.00       -0.11       0.00       0.83       70       7.36       87       66       14       1       0       0       0       0         SHERIDAN       80       48       101       44       64       -3       1.29       1.02       0.53       2.46       110       8.21       90       85       32       1       0       5       1	WY	CASPER	84	46	96	41	65	-3	2.69	2.42	1.44	4.04	257	9.22	129	86	14	1	0	5	1
LANDER 84 50 95 45 67 -2 0.00 -0.11 0.00 0.83 70 7.36 87 66 14 1 0 0 0 0 SHERIDAN 80 48 101 44 64 -3 1.29 1.02 0.53 2.46 110 8.21 90 85 32 1 0 5 1		CHEYENNE	81	52	86	45	67	-2	0.50	0.06	0.38	2.20	86	5.69	66	77	21	0	0	2	0
		SHERIDAN	84 80	50 48	95 101	45 44	64	-2 -3	0.00	-0.11 1.02	0.00	2.46	70 110	7.36 8,21	87 90	66 85	14 32	1	0	0 5	1

Based on 1991-2020 normals

\*\*\* Not Available

10

#### 11

## **June Weather Summary**

### Weather

Weather summary provided by USDA/WAOB

Highlights: A sprawling but shifting ridge of high pressure developed across the continental U.S. during June, driving temperatures to broadly above-normal levels and cutting off moisture from reaching several key crop production areas. Notably, June temperatures averaged at least 5°F above normal in numerous communities from California to the central and southern High Plains. Above-normal temperatures also dominated the East and Deep South, fueled by a late-month heat wave that sent temperatures soaring to 100°F or higher as far north as the middle Mississippi Valley and the middle Atlantic States. It was the hottest June on record in diverse locations such as Del Rio, TX (average temperature of 90.9°F, or 3.2°F above normal); Baton Rouge, LA (84.6°F, or 3.6°F above normal); and Hartford, CT (74.1°F, or 5.2°F above normal). In contrast, near- or below-normal June temperatures were observed across portions of the nation's northern tier, mainly from northern Washington into the upper Great Lakes region.

Starting on June 20, torrential rain accompanied the upper Midwestern cool spell, with record flooding developing in the Big Sioux and Little Sioux River basins, as well as neighboring watersheds in parts of eastern South Dakota, southern Minnesota, and northwestern Iowa. Around the same time, Tropical Storm Alberto made landfall along Mexico's Gulf Coast near Tampico, about 250 miles south of Brownsville, TX. Despite the landfall position, tropical showers overspread southern Texas, with remnant moisture later being entrained by the fledgling Southwestern monsoon circulation and eventually helping to enhance rainfall across the upper Midwest. Earlier, southern Florida had been one of the first U.S. areas to experience semi-organized tropical moisture, with droughtbreaking rainfall totaling 10 to 20 inches or more in numerous locations from June 7-15.

Outside the wetter areas, June rainfall was lacking. Among the driest areas were the Southeast and lower Midwest, with USDA/NASS reporting topsoil moisture more than 70 percent very short to short by June 30 in six Atlantic Coast States from Georgia to Delaware. Dryness extended across the Appalachians, where West Virginia's soil moisture was rated 85 percent very short to short. On the same date, topsoil moisture was rated at least 40 percent very short to short in Illinois, Indiana, and Ohio, along with Alabama, Arkansas, and Mississippi. Spotty dryness extended to portions of the Plains and Rockies, where topsoil moisture was rated more than 40 percent very short to short in Colorado, New Mexico, Texas, and Wyoming. Conversely, topsoil moisture was rated more than one-half surplus on June 30 in rain-soaked Minnesota (53 percent) and Wisconsin (52 percent).

According to statistics from the *U.S. Drought Monitor*, drought coverage dipped to 11.77 percent of the Lower 48 States on June 11, 2024. Not since March 3, 2020, when drought was affecting

11.52 percent of the country, had national coverage been lower. By July 2, 2024, however, drought coverage had grown to 18.67 percent, an increase of nearly 7 percentage points in just 3 weeks. Still, as July began, the nation's only remaining extreme to exceptional drought (D3 to D4) covered nearly 13 percent of New Mexico and just over 5 percent of Texas.

Corresponding to some of the adversity related to heat, dryness, and wetness, USDA/NASS crop conditions generally declined during June. Notably, the portion of the national peanut crop rated in good to excellent condition declined from 63 to 53 percent between June 2 and 30, largely due to rapidly developing Southeastern drought. During the same 4-week period, good to excellent ratings fell from 61 to 50 percent for cotton and from 75 to 67 percent for corn. However, some crops-including rice and spring wheat-experienced more favorable growing conditions during June and exhibited little overall change in condition. Although June heat and dryness stressed some Southern crops, including reproductive corn, most Midwestern crops still had time for more favorable weather to return. Nationally, 20 percent of the soybeans were blooming by the end of June, while only 11 percent of the corn was silking. Elsewhere, maturing winter wheat was quickly cut, with 54 percent of the crop harvested by June 30, versus the 5-year average of 39 percent.

**Historical Perspective:** According to preliminary data provided by the National Centers for Environmental Information, the contiguous U.S. experienced its second-hottest, 41st-driest June during the 1895-2024 period of record. The national average temperature of  $71.82^{\circ}F$  was  $3.35^{\circ}F$  above the 1901-2000 mean. Only June 2021, with  $72.57^{\circ}F$ , featured a higher June average temperature. In recent years, older temperature standards have increasingly been replaced by modern records. The only 20th century year remaining in the top-five list for highest June average temperature is 1933, which—with a reading of  $71.55^{\circ}F$ —slipped into fourth place behind 2021, 2024, and 2016. Meanwhile, monthly precipitation across the Lower 48 States averaged 2.74 inches, slightly below the 1901-2000 mean June value of 2.92 inches.



State temperature rankings ranged from the 58th-coolest June in North Dakota to the hottest June on record in Arizona and New Mexico. Top-ten rankings for June warmth were also observed in California, Colorado, Florida, Nevada, Texas, Vermont, and Utah, along with all Atlantic Coast States from Virginia to Maine (figure 1). Meanwhile, state precipitation rankings ranged from the driest June on record in Virginia to the fourthwettest June in Minnesota. In addition to Virginia, top-five rankings for June dryness were noted in North Carolina and Georgia. Michigan and Wisconsin joined Minnesota on the topten list for June wetness (figure 2).



Summary: In early June, a final week of extremely active weather across the central and eastern U.S. featured heavy showers and locally severe thunderstorms. However, the tally of 166 tornadoes and just one tornado-related fatality (in Michigan on the 5th) in June, according to preliminary reports from the National Weather Service, was far below the May count of 571 tornadoes and 25 deaths. During the last flurry of active weather, streaks of heavy rain-locally 4 inches or morestretched from Kansas into southern Missouri and from the southeastern Plains toward the Mississippi Delta. In fact, muchneeded rain dampened some of the driest areas of the central Plains, with Dodge City, KS, receiving 5.69 inches during the first 9 days of June. Additional heavy rain fell in Dodge City at month's end. Dodge City's wettest days during the month were June 2 and 30, with respective totals of 2.94 and 4.39 inches. Those downpours propelled Dodge City to its wettest June on record, with 12.02 inches, surpassing 11.17 inches in 1899. It was also the wettest month in Dodge City since May 1881, when 12.82 inches fell. For the first half of the year, Dodge City's precipitation increased to 15.54 inches (143 percent of normal). Other areas receiving heavy precipitation in early June included the northern Plains and the Northwest. Record-setting rainfall totals for June 2 reached 1.92 inches in Astoria, OR; 1.87 inches in Hoquiam, WA; 1.79 inches in Brainerd, MN; and 1.68 inches in Jamestown, ND. Locally heavy showers continued into June 3, when daily-record amounts included 1.83 inches in Ashland, WI, and 1.45 inches in Fort Smith, AR, along with 0.87 inch in Pullman, WA, and 0.63 inch in Stanley, ID. As showers shifted eastward, Raleigh-Durham, NC, netted a record-setting rainfall of 1.85 inches on June 4. Additional rainfall in the southern and eastern U.S. on June 5 led to dailyrecord amounts in locations such as Wilmington, DE (3.13

inches); Columbia, MO (1.60 inches); and Harrisburg, PA (1.40 inches). Meanwhile, localized lowland flooding affected portions of the western Gulf Coast region. Some of the most significant flooding was reported in eastern Texas, where the Trinity River at Trinidad crested 14.21 feet above flood stage on June 6. This marked the sixth-highest water level on record in Trinidad—and the highest since December 3, 2015. Later, additional thunderstorms peppered the Plains and Midwest, with daily-record totals being observed in Broken Bow, NE (3.03 inches on June 7), and Springfield, MO (2.46 inches on June 8).

The broad cool spell that had led to daily-record lows (and freezes) on May 31 in Montana locations such as Butte (24°F) and Dunkirk (28°F) continued into early June. With a dailyrecord low of 30°F on the 1st, Livingston, MT, noted its lowest reading in June since June 4, 2011, when it was also 30°F. In contrast, heat persisted across southern Texas, where Brownsville reported highs of 100°F on June 5 and 7. Harlingen, TX, notched consecutive triple-digit, daily-record highs (100 and 101°F, respectively) on June 4 and 5. Elsewhere in Texas, Del Rio also logged a pair of daily-record highs (109 and 107°F, respectively) on June 4-5. Heat also made brief northward surges. On June 4, for example, San Angelo, TX, collected a daily-record high of 111°F. Prior to 2023, that would have been the highest-ever June reading in San Angelo; however, June 2023 featured 4 days with higher temperatures, including a pair of 114-degree readings on the 20th and 21st. Several days later, on June 7, daily-record highs on the High Plains included 105°F in Dalhart, TX, and 102°F in Pueblo, CO. However, Western heat was more persistent and expansive. In many areas, Western heat peaked on June 6 with daily-record highs of 122°F in Death Valley, CA; 113°F in Phoenix, AZ; and 111°F in Las Vegas, NV. In California, record-setting highs for June 6 soared to 115°F in Needles and 107°F in Fresno. Elsewhere in California, Red Bluff notched a pair of dailyrecord highs (105 and 107°F, respectively) on June 10-11. Heat also extended into the Southwest, where record-setting highs for June 13 included 109°F in El Paso, TX, and 105°F in Douglas, AZ. Concurrently, heat across the nation's mid-section pushed temperatures to 100°F or higher as far north as western and southern Nebraska. On June 12, Scottsbluff, NE, noted a dailyrecord high of 101°F. The following day, record-setting highs for June 13 soared to 107°F in Roswell, NM; 105°F in Dalhart, TX; and 103°F in Pueblo, CO. Summer-like heat also appeared across the Intermountain West, where record-setting highs for June 8 reached 98°F in Grand Junction, CO, and 97°F in Winnemucca, NV. Grand Junction also noted a record high the following day (99°F on June 9), part of a hot spell that featured high temperatures ranging from 95 to 102°F each day from June 6-13. The hot spell in Grand Junction peaked on June 12-13, with respective daily-record highs of 102 and 101°F. Meanwhile, much of Florida continued to experience extreme heat, following that state's hottest May on record. Punta Gorda, FL, tied a June record with a high of 101°F on the 5th. Similarly, Winter Haven, FL (102°F on the 6th), experienced its hottest June day since June 17, 1985, when it was 103°F. By June 8, ongoing heat in the Gulf Coast States led to daily-record highs in locations such as Jacksonville, FL (99°F), and Baton Rouge, LA (98°F). Back in Florida, record-setting highs for June 9 reached 98°F in Leesburg and 97°F in Punta Gorda. A few additional daily-record highs occurred in Florida on June 10, when highs climbed to 97°F in Fort Pierce and 96°F in Vero

Beach. Southern Texas also remained hot, with McAllen reporting a high temperature of 100°F or greater each day from June 5-17. McAllen's heat included a trio of daily-record highs (104, 103, and 104°F) from June 11-13. In contrast, readings below 40°F in portions of the upper Great Lakes region resulted in scattered frost. Spotty temperatures below 40°F were also observed across the northern Plains and Northwest. In northern Minnesota, June 10 lows of 32°F in Hibbing and 33°F in International Falls narrowly missed tying records for the date.

Abruptly heavy rain across southern Florida vanquished drought but led to flash flooding. June 7-15 totals exceeding a foot were common, affecting locations such as Fort Myers (12.88 inches), Miami (14.19 inches), and Fort Lauderdale (15.01 inches), with the heaviest rain generally falling on June 11, 12, or 13. However, much of the remainder of the South, East, and lower Midwest received little or no rain during the mid-month period, favoring fieldwork but reducing topsoil moisture for pastures and summer crops. On June 11, daily-record totals in Florida included 6.47 inches in Sarasota-Bradenton, 3.99 inches in Gainesville, 3.94 inches in Naples, and 3.30 inches in Fort Lauderdale. For Sarasota-Bradenton, it was the wettest day in almost 2 years, since September 28, 2022, when 6.67 inches fell during the passage of Hurricane Ian. The next day, daily-record amounts for June 12 reached 9.54 inches in Fort Lauderdale and 3.86 inches in Fort Myers. For Fort Lauderdale, it was also the wettest June day on record, surpassing 8.60 inches on June 2, 1930. Elsewhere in southeastern Florida, calendar-day totals on June 12 included 7.92 inches in Pembroke Pines, 6.44 inches in Pompano Beach, and 6.25 inches in Miami. Fort Myers measured another daily-record sum on June 13, with 4.54 inches. By June 14, heavy showers shifted a bit to the north, where daily-record rainfall totaled 2.95 inches in Winter Haven and 2.46 inches in Sarasota-Bradenton. Elsewhere, the middle of the month was mostly uneventful, in terms of rain, except in parts of the central U.S. In Colorado, Pueblo measured a dailyrecord total of 1.62 inches on June 9. Some storms contained high winds, with Rochester, MN, clocking a peak gust to 61 mph on June 13. Appreciably higher thunderstorm-related winds gusts were reported late in the month at a few locations, with Omaha, NE, measuring 89 mph on June 25 and Buffalo, SD, recording 90 mph on June 27.

Those late-month storms repeatedly struck the upper Midwest, submerging fields, closing rural roads, and resulting in pockets of record river flooding. Some of the heaviest rain, 5 to 10 inches or more, fell from parts of southern and eastern South Dakota into the upper Great Lakes region, including northwestern Iowa and southern Minnesota. A portion of the upper Midwestern deluge originated in the tropics, including moisture associated with the remnants of Tropical Storm Alberto. On June 20, Alberto had moved inland near Tampico, Mexico, with heavy showers extending northward into southern Texas. More broadly, tropical showers dotted the Gulf Coast region, a week after southern Florida's drought-ending deluge. Tropically enhanced moisture was also entrained into the fledgling Southwestern monsoon circulation. However, long before the tropical linkage occurred, heavy showers developed across portions of the Plains and Midwest. By June 17, dailyrecord totals included 2.49 inches in Lansing, MI, and 1.05 inches in Valentine, NE. High temperatures on the 17th peaked at 45°F in Montana communities such as Cut Bank and Great Falls. The following day, record-setting totals for June 18 reached 3.42 inches in Hibbing, MN; 2.13 inches in Grand Forks, ND; and 1.20 inches in Great Falls, MT. Elsewhere on the 18th, maximum temperatures remained below the 60-degree mark in North Dakota locations such as Minot (55°F) and Jamestown (58°F). On June 19, the day before Tropical Storm Alberto's arrival along Mexico's Gulf Coast, record-setting rainfall totals in Texas reached 4.29 inches in McAllen and 3.11 inches in Palacios. A separate area of rain led to record-setting amounts for the 19th in St. Joseph, MO (2.24 inches), and Madison, WI (1.19 inches). Later, rainfall greatly intensified across the upper Midwest, with record-setting totals in South Dakota for June 20 climbing to 4.87 inches in Mitchell, 3.50 inches in Sioux Falls, and 2.58 inches in Huron. A second day of record rainfall occurred on June 21, with Sioux Falls recording 2.99 inches and Mitchell netting 2.83 inches. The heavy rain carried through June 22, when daily-record amounts included 2.55 inches in Wausau, WI, and 2.51 inches in Rochester, MN. Eventually, La Crosse, WI, set a June record with 24 days of rain totaling a trace or more; the previous mark had been 22 days in 1935 and 2013. Meanwhile, Rochester, MN, experienced its ninth-wettest month for any time of year, with a June total of 9.86 inches (184 percent of normal), and weathered its third-wettest June, behind 12.51 inches in 2000 and 11.99 inches in 1914. June rainfall topped 10 inches in several upper Midwestern communities, including Sioux Falls, SD (11.20 inches, or 265 percent of normal); Spencer, IA (10.68 inches, or 266 percent); and Mitchell, SD (10.60 inches, or 302 percent). The bulk of the precipitation-6.70 inches in Sioux Falls, 6.49 inches in Spencer, and 7.72 inches in Mitchell-fell from June 20-22, when flash flooding developed amid repeated rounds of heavy rain. (Days earlier, on June 17, an earlier round of heavy rain, mostly 1 to 3 inches-which by itself would have been mostly harmless-moistened soils in advance of the deluge.) Ultimately, the West Fork Des Moines River at Emmetsburg, IA, achieved a record crest on June 24, eclipsing the high-water mark of 14.75 feet set on April 12, 1969. Record flooding also unfolded in the Big Sioux River basin, where the Rock River at Rock Rapids, IA, crested 15.47 feet above flood stage on June 22, surpassing the June 2014 peak crest by 1.49 feet. In fact, many of the previous high-water marks in the Big Sioux River basin, from below Sioux Falls, SD, to Sioux City, IA, had been set in mid-June 2014 or mid-March 2019. Along the Little Sioux River, including Spencer, IA, many of the former records had been set in late-June 2018 or mid-March 2019, although the previous high-water mark at Correctionville, IA, set on June 23, 1891, was topped by 1.58 feet on June 24. Other watersheds experiencing record flooding included the Floyd River basin in Iowa and the Vermillion River basin in South Dakota. In Le Mars, IA, the Floyd River's June crest (8.80 feet above flood stage) topped the June 1953 high-water mark by 2.40 feet. Near-record flooding was observed along parts of the James River in eastern South Dakota. For example, the James River near Mitchell, SD, climbed 6.65 feet above flood stage on June 23; this crest was just 1.68 feet below the April 2001 record.

Around mid-month, heat pushed northward across the High Plains, resulting in record-setting highs for June 16 in locations such as Roswell, NM ( $107^{\circ}F$ ) and Goodland, KS ( $101^{\circ}F$ ). Soon, hot weather arrived in parts of the Midwest and the lower Great Lakes region, where daily-record highs for June 17 soared

to 97°F in Chicago, IL, and 96°F in Cleveland, OH. In Pennsylvania, Dubois twice tied its monthly record-originally set on June 30, 1969—with highs of 92°F on June 18 and 22. Heat also surged into the Northeast, where daily-record highs in Maine for June 19 reached 97°F in Millinocket and 96°F in Caribou. The reading in Caribou tied a monthly record most recently achieved on June 19, 2020. Elsewhere in New England, record-setting highs for June 19 climbed to 98°F in Boston, MA, and 97°F in Hartford, CT. Hartford logged another daily-record high, 98°F, on June 20. Eventually, heat became more focused across the middle Atlantic States, while the hot spell broke in New England. Newark, NJ, collected a daily record-tying high of 100°F on June 21. Williamsport, PA, recorded a maximum reading of 90°F or higher each day from June 17-23, with the temperature peaking at 98°F, a record for the date, on June 21. By June 22, triple-digit, daily-record highs affected cities such as Reading, PA, and Baltimore, MD, with both locations reaching 101°F. For Reading, it was the first 100degree reading in June since June 26, 1952, when it was 102°F. For Baltimore, it was the first triple-digit reading in June since June 29, 2012, when the high rose to 103°F. Heat also baked the Southwest, where daily-record highs included 117°F (on June 21) in Phoenix, AZ, and 105°F (on June 22) in Campo, CA. In stark contrast, cool air gripped the Northwest, starting with daily-record lows of June 16 in McCall, ID (28°F); Alturas, CA (30°F); and Yakima, WA (35°F). Two days later, on the 18th, hard freezes and daily-record lows affected Nevada locations such as Eureka (22°F) and Ely (23°F). Freezes (and dailyrecord lows) occurred on June 19 in Pocatello, ID (31°F), and Worland, WY (32°F). On the same date in Montana, dailyrecord lows dipped to 33°F in Stanford, Choteau, and Cut Bank. Another daily-record low (34°F) was observed in Cut Bank on June 20.

The last full week of June featured ongoing heat in the middle and southern Atlantic States; daily-record highs for June 23 reached 100°F in Jacksonville, FL, and Raleigh-Durham, NC. On June 22-23, Baltimore, MD, notched a pair of daily-record highs (101 and 98°F). Meanwhile, heat intensified across the South and reappeared in the West. Record-setting highs for June 23 soared to 109°F in Merced, CA; 101°F in Salt Lake City, UT; and 100°F in Greenville, MS. Greenville posted another dailyrecord high, 101°F, on June 25. Elsewhere in South, triple-digit, daily-record highs for June 24 included 100°F in Greenwood, MS, and Baton Rouge, LA. Late-month heat also surged northward across the Plains, fueling daily-record highs for June 24 in Nebraska locations such as Imperial (105°F), Scottsbluff (104°F), and Lincoln (103°F). Extreme Southeastern heat lingered, with Alma, GA, collecting consecutive daily-record highs (101 and 100°F, respectively) on June 25-26. Elsewhere on the 26th, daily-record highs soared to 104°F in Columbia, SC; 103°F in Raleigh-Durham, NC; and 102°F in Macon, GA. Heat crept as far north as the southern Corn Belt, where dailyrecord highs in Missouri climbed to 103°F (on the 25th) in St. Louis and 100°F (on the 24th) in Joplin. There was little relief at night from the heat; in Kentucky, monthly records were tied or broken on June 29 with minimum temperatures of 83°F in Louisville and 79°F in Frankfort. Only one time, on August 19, 1936, Louisville's minimum temperature remained above 83°F. Elsewhere, cool air returned across the Northwest, with dailyrecord lows for June 29 being set in locations such as Casper, WY (37°F), and Great Falls, MT (39°F).

Late in the month, thunderstorms swept away heat from the Northeast, where Caribou, ME, netted a daily-record total (1.70 inches) for June 23. In the Southwest, Flagstaff, AZ, received 1.23 inches of rain during the last 8 days of the month, aided by a daily-record sum (0.91 inch) on June 25. Late-June showers dotted various parts of the West, resulting in daily-record totals for the 26th in Ely, NV (1.58 inches), and Ontario, OR (0.38 inch). Ely's previous wettest June day occurred in 1963, when 1.44 inches fell on the 10th. Widespread Western showers lingered through June 27, when daily-record amounts reached 0.56 inch in Winslow, AZ, and 0.44 inch in Townsend, MT. Simultaneously, thunderstorms across the nation's mid-section led to daily-record totals for June 26 in Missouri locations such as Poplar Bluff (4.13 inches) and St. Joseph (2.64 inches). For Poplar Bluff, it was also the wettest June day on record, surpassing 4.00 inches on June 4, 1928. The following day, record-setting totals for June 27 reached 2.33 inches in New Orleans, LA, and 2.04 inches in Childress, TX. Thunderstorms remained active through the end of June across the South, where daily-record amounts for June 28 totaled 3.44 inches in Lake Charles, LA, and 2.48 inches in Leesburg, FL. Thunderstorms sweeping through the Northeast on June 29 led to daily-record totals in New York locations such as Buffalo (1.66 inches) and Rochester (1.32 inches). More Eastern rain on the final day of June led to daily-record amounts in Elizabeth City, NC (2.29 inches), and Wilmington, DE (1.86 inches).

Following a cool start to June across much of Alaska, near- or above-normal temperatures returned for the remainder of the month. From June 4-6, however, maximum temperatures in Kotzebue ranged from 35 to 37°F. Meanwhile, Bethel reported consecutive freezes (32 and 31°F, respectively) on June 4-5, with the latter reading tying a daily-record low. In southeastern Alaska, wet weather in early June was followed by warmer, drier conditions. From June 2-5, rainfall in Ketchikan totaled 4.19 inches. Later, record-setting highs for June 8 included 78°F in Yakutat and 72°F in Sitka. Soon warmth also overspread western Alaska, with high temperatures reaching 70°F on the 9th in Kotzebue and 77°F on the 10th in Nome. Kotzebue topped that mark with highs of 71 and 73°F, respectively, on June 25 and 26. For Nome, it was the highest reading since August 4, 2021, when the temperature reached 79°F. During the last half of June, Fairbanks reported high temperatures ranging from 77 to 85°F, with rainfall totaling just 0.13 inch during that 15-day span. Meanwhile, windy weather struck the Aleutians, where Cold Bay clocked a peak gust to 65 mph on June 25. Elsewhere, June rainfall in southeastern Alaska totaled less than one-half normal in locations such as Sitka (1.31 inches, or 45 percent), Juneau (1.54 inches, or 40 percent), and Yakutat (2.29 inches, or 42 percent). On the mainland, even drier June conditions affected Nome (0.21 inch, or 21 percent of normal), Bethel (0.49 inch, or 28 percent), and Fairbanks (0.51 inch, or 34 percent).

Drier-than-normal June weather in Hawaii led to an expansion of abnormally dry conditions (D0) and moderate to severe drought (D1 to D2), according to the *U.S. Drought Monitor*. On July 2, Hawaiian coverage of D0 to D2 stood at 62 percent, up from 13 percent just 4 weeks earlier. With the entire month passing without a significant change in Hawaii's dry pattern, June rainfall at the state's major airport observation sites ranged from 0.06 inch (12 percent of normal) in Honolulu, Oahu, to 3.88 inches (53 percent) at Hilo, on the Big Island.







### Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin

July 9, 2024

## National Weather Data for Selected Cities

June 2024

### Data Provided by Climate Prediction Center

	TEN	1P, °F	PR	ECIP.		TEM	IP, °F	PR	ECIP.		TEN	1P, *F	PR	ECIP.
STATES	Ш	RE		RE	STATES	ш	RE		RE	STATES	ш	RE		RE
AND	SAG	RTUH	TAL	IUT	AND	SAG	RUH	TAL	RTUI	AND	SAG	RTUI	LAL	RTUI
STATIONS	VEF	PAF	101	PAF	STATIONS	VEF	PAF	101	PAF	STATIONS	VEF	PAF	101	PAF
	A	DE		DE		A	DE		DE		A	DE		DE
AK ANCHORAGE	57	1	0.94	-0.07	WICHITA	80	3	5.52	0.59	TOLEDO	73	1	4.22	0.77
BARROW	38	0	0.08	-0.35		76	3	2.26	-2.70		69 80	1	2.49	-1.41
JUNEAU	57	3	3.39	-0.43	PADUCAH	77	1	3.15	-1.36	TULSA	82	3	3.09	-1.56
KODIAK	50	-1	1.96	-3.21	LA BATON ROUGE	85	4	3.44	-3.01	OR ASTORIA	58	1	2.63	0.32
NOME	51	2	0.66	-0.33	LAKE CHARLES	83	1	9.28	2.74	BURNS	64	4	3.35	2.63
AL BIRMINGHAM	81	2	1.48	-3.30	NEW ORLEANS	85	2	4.65	-2.96	EUGENE	63	2	0.98	-0.24
HUNTSVILLE	81	2	2.44	-1.61	SHREVEPORT	84	3	***	***		70	3	0.74	0.06
MONTGOMERY	83	3	2.73	-1.84	WORCESTER	69	3	3.82	-0.07	PORTLAND	66	2	1.46	0.40
AR FORT SMITH	82	3	3.21	-1.35	MD BALTIMORE	77	4	2.09	-1.88	SALEM	67	4	2.04	0.78
LITTLE ROCK	82	4	1.64	-1.91	ME CARIBOU	65	4	2.53	-1.36	PA ALLENTOWN	73	2	2.16	-2.24
AZ FLAGSTAFF	67	6	0.44	0.14	PORTLAND	66	2	2.39	-1.75	ERIE	71	2	5.34	1.64
PHOENIX	97	6	0.02	0.00	MI ALPENA	65	2	4.50	1.76	MIDDLETOWN	76	3	5.05	1.07
TUCSON	89	5	2.26	1.91	GRAND RAPIDS	70 63	1	4.23	0.29	PHILADELPHIA	72	4	4.12	-1.50
CA BAKERSFIELD	84	5	0.95	-0.05	LANSING	70	2	5.39	1.63	WILKES-BARRE	71	2	2.32	-1.48
EUREKA	55	-1	1.22	0.52	MUSKEGON	70	2	3.88	0.83	WILLIAMSPORT	72	3	2.59	-1.26
FRESNO	82	5	0.02	-0.22	TRAVERSE CITY	67	2	2.76	0.19	RI PROVIDENCE	70	2	4.90	1.09
LOS ANGELES	66	-1	0.09	0.01	MN DULUTH	61	0	7.56	3.17	SC CHARLESTON	82	2	4.82	-1.39
REDDING	83	6	0.33	-0.41	INT_L FALLS	60	-1	3.93	0.15	COLUMBIA	81	2	2.82	-2.15
SACRAMENTO	75	3	0.00	-0.23	MINNEAPOLIS	69	0	5.56	0.98	FLORENCE	80	1	1.11	-3.50
SAN DIEGO	67	0	0.00	-0.05	ROCHESTER ST. CLOUD	68	0	7.09	1.74		78 68	1	2.27	-1.63
STOCKTON	77	3	0.00	-0.14	MO COLUMBIA	77	3	4.47	0.12	HURON	69	0	3.59	-0.30
CO ALAMOSA	64	4	2.78	2.35	KANSAS CITY	76	2	7.30	2.04	RAPID CITY	67	2	1.62	-1.25
CO SPRINGS	72	4	1.20	-1.06	SAINT LOUIS	80	4	1.60	-2.89	SIOUX FALLS	70	0	8.14	3.91
DENVER INTL	74	6	1.05	-0.89	SPRINGFIELD	76	1	4.13	-0.34	TN BRISTOL	74	2	2.64	-1.28
GRAND JUNCTION	79	6	2.35	1.94	MS JACKSON	81	2	3.73	-0.70	CHATTANOOGA	80	3	1.26	-2.92
	76	4	2.52	1.25		80	0	2.15	-2.50	KNOXVILLE	77	2	3.81	-0.43
	74	3	3.28	-0.98		66	2	3.18	-1.83	MEMPHIS NASHVILLE	79	2	2.62	-1.37
DC WASHINGTON	79	3	1.38	-2.82	BUTTE	57	1	1.90	-0.56	TX ABILENE	84	3	1.94	-3.03
DE WILMINGTON	74	2	7.05	2.37	CUT BANK	56	-1	1.51	-1.22	AMARILLO	81	5	3.91	1.05
FL DAYTONA BEACH	83	2	7.00	0.06	GLASGOW	64	-1	1.20	-1.62	AUSTIN	86	3	2.07	-1.60
JACKSONVILLE	83	2	4.91	-2.70	GREAT FALLS	59	0	2.70	-0.02	BEAUMONT	84	2	3.94	-2.75
KEY WEST	84	0	6.67	2.44	HAVRE	61	-1	2.00	-0.49	BROWNSVILLE	88	2	2.96	0.10
	83	0	7.69	-2.82	MISSOULA	60	1	1.65	-0.48	CORPUS CHRISTI	87	4	3.57	0.01
	83	2	6.80	-1.11		73	1	2.43	-2.30	EL PASO	91	5	0.74	-1.26
TALLAHASSEE	84	3	3.25	-4.51	GREENSBORO	77	2	1.09	-3.01	FORT WORTH	84	2	3.35	-0.35
ТАМРА	84	1	3.63	-3.74	HATTERAS	78	0	3.02	-1.39	GALVESTON	85	1	1.13	-3.09
WEST PALM BEACH	83	1	6.34	-2.14	RALEIGH	81	4	2.69	-1.20	HOUSTON	85	2	5.36	-0.64
GA ATHENS	79	1	2.19	-2.69	WILMINGTON	79	1	2.99	-2.68	LUBBOCK	82	3	3.66	1.07
ATLANTA	81	4	2.48	-2.06	ND BISMARCK	65	-1	2.83	-0.54	MIDLAND	84	2	0.32	-1.48
	79	0	4.89	0.14	DICKINSON	62	-1	3.20	0.15	SAN ANGELO	86	4	1.40	-0.92
MACON	80	2	0.35	-1.13	GRAND FORKS	64	0	3.40	-0.15	VICTORIA	84	4	2.97	-0.08
SAVANNAH	82	2	2.72	-3.93	JAMESTOWN	65	0	3.44	0.07	WACO	84	2	3.11	-0.23
HI HILO	76	1	2.78	-4.52	NE GRAND ISLAND	74	2	3.07	-0.94	WICHITA FALLS	83	3	2.89	-0.46
HONOLULU	80	0	0.98	0.48	LINCOLN	76	2	2.60	-1.88	UT SALT LAKE CITY	78	6	1.07	0.13
KAHULUI	78	-1	0.58	0.41	NORFOLK	73	2	3.11	-1.26	VA LYNCHBURG	75	3	0.48	-3.34
LIHUE	78	0	0.97	-0.82	NORTH PLATTE	72	3	5.06	1.52	NORFOLK	79	2	4.42	-0.01
	74	2	3.27	-1.59		75	1	3.46	-0.99	RICHMOND	79	4	2.15	-2.49
DES MOINES	76	3	2.39	-0.49		69	4	5.67	1.70	WASH/DULLES	76	4	1 20	-1.01
DUBUQUE	71	2	3.06	-2.13	NH CONCORD	67	2	2.26	-1.52	VT BURLINGTON	70	3	4.63	0.37
SIOUX CITY	72	1	2.98	-1.37	NJ ATLANTIC_CITY	75	4	1.74	-1.83	WA OLYMPIA	59	0	0.96	-0.49
WATERLOO	73	2	4.06	-1.66	NEWARK	77	4	2.95	-1.39	QUILLAYUTE	57	1	2.24	-1.06
ID BOISE	72	4	0.44	-0.31	NM ALBUQUERQUE	79	3	2.98	2.41	SEATTLE-TACOMA	61	-1	1.50	0.05
LEWISTON	69	3	0.79	-0.46	NV ELY	66	4	0.69	0.13	SPOKANE	64	2	1.19	0.01
	65 74	3	0.72	-0.21	LAS VEGAS	94	7	0.02	-0.02		65 67	0	0.04	-0.46
MOLINE	74	2	3.30	-1.71	WINNEMUCCA	70	6	3.30	2.80	GREEN BAY	68	2	4.13	0.03
PEORIA	76	3	3.26	-0.46	NY ALBANY	71	3	3.30	-0.76	LA CROSSE	70	-1	3.75	-1.33
ROCKFORD	73	3	4.28	-0.96	BINGHAMTON	67	3	2.69	-2.00	MADISON	70	2	7.17	1.90
SPRINGFIELD	75	2	2.04	-2.57	BUFFALO	70	3	4.43	1.06	MILWAUKEE	70	3	4.59	0.21
IN EVANSVILLE	77	1	1.67	-2.77	ROCHESTER	70	2	3.30	-0.06	WV BECKLEY	70	2	2.47	-1.82
FORT WAYNE	73	3	2.99	-1.50	SYRACUSE	71	4	3.53	-0.03	CHARLESTON	74	2	3.86	-0.86
	74	1	2.22	-2.72	OH AKRON-CANTON	71	1	1.57	-2.85	ELKINS	70	2	2.23	-2.25
KS CONCORDIA	72	3	4.10	0.06 2.21		72	2	1.35	-3.40 -1.18	WY CASPER	75 66	3	2.48	-1./2 1.46
DODGE CITY	77	2	10.41	7.12	COLUMBUS	74	2	3.20	-1.13	CHEYENNE	68	5	1.82	-0.34
GOODLAND	76	5	4.05	1.09	DAYTON	74	1	2.29	-1.85	LANDER	68	6	0.83	-0.25
ТОРЕКА	79	3	5.15	0.22	MANSFIELD	71	2	1.31	-3.48	SHERIDAN	65	3	1.17	-0.81

Based on 1991-2020 normals

# National Agricultural Summary

July 1 – 7, 2024

Weekly National Agricultural Summary provided by USDA/NASS

#### HIGHLIGHTS

Most of southern Texas and the Far West remained dry. Conversely, large parts of the Midwest and Great Plains, as well as parts of the Northeast, Rockies, South, and Southwest, recorded at least twice the normal amount of weekly precipitation. Parts of Missouri received more than 6 inches of rain. Meanwhile, most of the mid-Atlantic, Northeast, Pacific Northwest, South, and Southwest were hotter than normal. Large parts of California recorded temperatures 9°F or more above normal. In contrast, most of the Midwest, central and northern Plains, and Rockies were cooler than normal. Parts of Montana, Nebraska, South Dakota, and Wyoming recorded temperatures 6°F or more below normal.

**Corn:** By July 7, twenty-four percent of the nation's corn acreage had reached the silking stage, 6 percentage points ahead of last year and 10 points ahead of the 5-year average. Three percent of the corn acreage was at or beyond the dough stage, 1 percentage point ahead of both last year and the average. On July 7, sixty-eight percent of the nation's corn acreage was rated in good to excellent condition, 1 percentage point above the previous week and 13 points above the previous year. In Iowa, the largest corn-producing state, 76 percent of the corn crop was rated in good to excellent condition.

**Soybeans**: By July 7, thirty-four percent of the nation's soybean acreage had reached the blooming stage, 1 percentage point behind last year but 6 points ahead of the 5-year average. Nationally, 9 percent of the nation's soybean acreage had begun setting pods, 1 percentage point ahead of last year and 4 points ahead of average. On July 7, sixty-eight percent of the nation's soybean acreage was rated in good to excellent condition, 1 percentage point above the previous week and 17 points above the previous year.

**Winter Wheat:** Sixty-three percent of the 2024 winter wheat acreage had been harvested by July 7, twenty percentage points ahead of last year and 11 points ahead of the 5-year average. During the week, winter wheat harvest progress advanced by 20 percentage points or more in Colorado, Indiana, Michigan, and Ohio.

**Rice:** By July 7, thirty-one percent of the nation's rice acreage had reached the headed stage, 4 percentage points ahead of the previous year and 11 points ahead of the 5-year average. On July 7, eighty-one percent of the nation's rice acreage was rated in good to excellent condition, 1 percentage point below the previous week but 5 points above the previous year.

**Sorghum:** By July 7, twenty-three percent of the nation's sorghum acreage had reached the headed stage, 1 percentage point behind both last year and the 5-year average. Thirteen percent of the nation's sorghum acreage was at or beyond the coloring stage by July 7, one percentage point behind both last year and the average. Fifty-nine percent of the nation's sorghum acreage was rated in good to excellent condition on July 7, one percentage point above the previous week and 4 points above the previous year.

**Cotton:** Fifty-two percent of the nation's cotton acreage had reached the squaring stage by July 7, one percentage point ahead of last year and 2 points ahead of the 5-year average. By July 7, nineteen percent of the nation's cotton acreage had begun setting bolls, 4 percentage points ahead of both last year and the average. On July 7, forty-five percent of the 2024 cotton acreage was rated in good to excellent condition, 5 percentage points below the previous week and 3 points below the previous year.

**Small Grains:** Eighty-three percent of the nation's oat acreage had headed by July 7, one percentage point behind last year but 1 point ahead of the 5-year average. On July 7, sixty-seven percent of the nation's oat acreage was rated in good to excellent condition, unchanged from the previous week but 20 percentage points above the previous year.

Fifty-six percent of the nation's barley acreage had reached the headed stage by July 7, equal to last year but three percentage points behind the 5-year average. During the week, barley headed progress advanced by 10 percentage points or more in all five estimating states. On July 7, seventy percent of the nation's barley acreage was rated in good to excellent condition, 6 percentage points above the previous week and 18 points above the same time last year.

By July 7, fifty-nine percent of the nation's spring wheat crop had reached the headed stage, 7 percentage points behind the previous year and 1 point behind the 5-year average. During the week, spring wheat headed progress advanced by 13 percentage points or more in all six estimating states. On July 7, seventy-five percent of the nation's spring wheat was rated in good to excellent condition, 3 percentage points above the previous week and 28 points above the previous year.

**Other Crops:** By July 7, fifty-eight percent of the nation's peanut crop had reached the pegging stage, eight percentage points ahead of the previous year and 3 points ahead of the 5-year average. In Georgia, 67 percent of the peanut crop had reached the pegging stage, 7 percentage points ahead of the previous year but 2 points behind average. On July 7, fifty-eight percent of the nation's peanut acreage was rated in good to excellent condition, 5 percentage points above the previous week but 7 points below the same time last year.

### July 9, 2024

## **Crop Progress and Condition**

## Week Ending July 7, 2024

Weekly U.S. Progress and Condition Data provided by USDA/NASS

Corn Percent Silking												
	Prev	Prev	Jul 7	5-Yr								
	Year	Week	2024	Avg								
со	0	0	3	3								
L	21	17	39	16								
IN	13	7	20	11								
IA	17	4	17	10								
KS	31	30	47	27								
KY	34	32	46	40								
мі	2	0	9	1								
MN	12	3	4	6								
MO	43	35	60	30								
NE	16	3	21	8								
NC	69	63	79	69								
ND	7	1	3	4								
он	6	3	15	4								
PA	0	0	5	1								
SD	5	0	4	3								
TN	65	53	68	58								
тх	74	70	75	73								
WI	1	0	3	2								
18 Sts 18 11 24 14												
These 18 States planted 92%												
of last year	's corn acr	eage.										

S	Soybeans Percent Blooming												
		Prev	Prev	Jul 7	5-Yr								
		Year	Week	2024	Avg								
AR		83	74	83	67								
IL		36	25	43	24								
IN		19	15	30	21								
IA		40	19	32	32								
KS		27	7	17	22								
KY		29	17	27	23								
LA		82	60	77	82								
МΙ		19	13	22	17								
MN		45	19	32	32								
MS		82	68	83	72								
MO		33	14	30	18								
NE		36	23	51	35								
NC		29	22	31	23								
ND		29	2	9	18								
ОН		9	12	24	20								
SD		20	3	14	21								
TN		50	41	53	30								
WI		18	11	22	25								
18 St	s	35	20	34	28								
These	e 18 States	plante	ed 96%										
of las	st year's so	ybean	acreag	e.									

	Corn Perc	ent Do	ough	
	Prev	Prev	Jul 7	5-Yr
	Year	Week	2024	Avg
со	0	NA	0	0
IL	1	NA	1	0
IN	0	NA	0	0
IA	1	NA	1	0
KS	3	NA	4	2
KY	1	NA	0	1
МІ	0	NA	0	0
MN	1	NA	0	0
мо	2	1	9	1
NE	0	NA	1	0
NC	21	11	26	18
ND	0	NA	0	0
он	0	NA	0	0
PA	0	NA	0	0
SD	0	NA	0	0
TN	12	3	12	11
тх	54	47	60	52
wi	0	NA	0	0
18 Sts	2	NA	3	2
These 18	States plante	ed 92%		
of last y	ear's corn acr	eage.		

Soybeans Percent Setting Pods											
	Prev	Prev	Jul 7	5-Yr							
	Year	Week	2024	Avg							
AR	46	43	58	30							
IL	7	1	9	3							
IN	1	1	9	2							
IA	6	1	5	4							
KS	7	0	1	3							
KY	5	0	6	3							
LA	46	28	45	54							
МІ	1	0	4	1							
MN	9	0	2	4							
MS	54	33	55	32							
МО	5	0	7	2							
NE	2	0	8	4							
NC	5	0	9	4							
ND	4	0	0	1							
он	1	0	9	1							
SD	0	0	0	1							
TN	17	5	20	7							
WI	1	0	2	2							
18 Sts	8	3	9	5							
These 18 State	s plante	ed 96%									
of last year's s	oybear	acreag	e.								

Corn Condition by														
	Percent													
	VP	Р	F	G	EX									
со	6	11	27	48	8									
IL	4	6	23	52	15									
IN	3	6	24	53	14									
IA	2	4	18	58	18									
KS	2	5	28	47	18									
KY	2	6	25	61	6									
МІ	0	1	26	59	14									
MN	3	7	31	47	12									
МО	3	4	14	61	18									
NE	1	3	16	52	28									
NC	40	33	15	9	3									
ND	1	5	24	65	5									
он	1	3	22	61	13									
PA	1	2	16	68	13									
SD	2	5	21	54	18									
TN	6	9	23	46	16									
тх	3	15	28	36	18									
WI	3	6	29	46	16									
18 Sts	3	6	23	52	16									
Prev Wk	3	6	24	52	15									
Prev Yr	4	10	31	45	10									

Soybean Condition by											
		Perc	ent								
	VP	Р	F	G	EX						
AR	1	4	21	58	16						
IL	3	7	24	55	11						
IN	3	6	25	54	12						
IA	2	4	18	58	18						
KS	0	3	23	55	19						
KY	1	8	24	60	7						
LA	0	3	15	67	15						
МІ	1	4	35	52	8						
MN	2	7	31	52	8						
MS	1	7	27	49	16						
МО	2	5	18	62	13						
NE	1	4	18	56	21						
NC	9	24	49	18	0						
ND	1	6	35	56	2						
он	2	4	21	59	14						
SD	3	7	22	53	15						
TN	3	8	24	49	16						
wi	2	8	30	46	14						
18 Sts	2	6	24	55	13						
Prev Wk	2	6	25	55	12						
Prev Yr	4	11	34	44	7						

## Week Ending July 7, 2024

Weekly U.S. Progress and Condition Data provided by USDA/NASS

Cotton Percent Squaring												
	Prev	Prev	Jul 7	5-Yr								
	Year	Week	2024	Avg								
AL	72	65	73	69								
AZ	71	80	97	88								
AR	86	70	82	82								
CA	52	45	60	60								
GA	64	53	71	70								
KS	52	47	63	47								
LA	70	70	76	81								
MS	58	41	68	54								
МО	75	42	61	54								
NC	47	48	70	53								
ок	28	20	30	30								
SC	38	42	65	52								
TN	73	55	70	58								
тх	43	37	42	41								
VA	56	50	65	55								
15 Sts	51	43	52	50								
These 15 Stat	es plante	ed 99%										
of last year's	cotton a	creage.										

Sorç	Sorghum Percent Headed					
	Prev	Prev	Jul 7	5-Yr		
	Year	Week	2024	Avg		
СО	0	2	11	0		
KS	7	1	4	6		
NE	3	4	6	6		
ОК	6	9	11	7		
SD	23	8	10	12		
тх	68	65	71	68		
6 Sts	24	19	23	24		
These 6 States planted 100%						
of last year's sorghum acreage.						

	Peanuts Percent Pegging				
		Prev	Prev	Jul 7	5-Yr
		Year	Week	2024	Avg
AL		37	48	58	50
FL		63	49	62	64
GA		60	51	67	69
NC		42	35	55	43
ок		4	0	28	19
SC		64	55	68	64
ТΧ		12	12	16	10
VA		42	37	53	40
8 Sts		50	44	58	55
These 8 States planted 96%					
of last year's peanut acreage.					

Cotton Percent Setting Bolls					
	Prev	Prev	Jul 7	5-Yr	
	Year	Week	2024	Avg	
AL	11	13	27	16	
AZ	31	52	71	38	
AR	32	16	35	27	
CA	4	5	10	12	
GA	15	12	26	20	
KS	4	1	7	3	
LA	22	6	17	28	
MS	16	3	19	11	
МО	4	0	5	9	
NC	3	1	5	7	
ок	0	0	0	0	
SC	5	5	22	14	
TN	23	9	16	14	
тх	17	13	19	15	
VA	6	10	15	11	
15 Sts	15	11	19	15	
These 15 States planted 99%					

of last year's cotton acreage.

Sorghum Percent Coloring					
	Prev	Prev	Jul 7	5-Yr	
	Year	Week	2024	Avg	
со	0	0	0	0	
KS	2	0	0	0	
NE	0	0	0	0	
ок	0	0	0	0	
SD	0	0	0	0	
тх	47	46	50	47	
6 Sts	14	12	13	14	
These 6 States planted 100%					
of last year's sorghum acreage.					

Peanut Condition by					
		Perc	ent		
	VP	Ρ	F	G	EX
AL	0	1	17	80	2
FL	0	2	43	54	1
GA	1	9	34	48	8
NC	3	12	46	39	0
ок	2	9	15	72	2
SC	0	5	39	53	3
тх	3	6	37	46	8
VA	0	1	24	70	5
8 Sts	1	7	34	52	6
Prev Wk	3	9	35	49	4
Prev Yr	1	4	30	60	5

Cotton Condition by					
		Perc	ent		
	VP	Ρ	F	G	EX
AL	2	5	32	59	2
AZ	0	0	0	44	56
AR	1	2	18	53	26
CA	0	0	0	95	5
GA	1	8	36	49	6
KS	0	7	36	39	18
LA	0	0	8	84	8
MS	1	8	38	47	6
мо	3	9	29	59	0
NC	7	14	44	35	0
ок	1	6	22	69	2
SC	6	9	40	44	1
TN	6	12	34	42	6
тх	15	17	33	27	8
VA	0	12	39	48	1
15 Sts	10	13	32	37	8
Prev Wk	8	9	33	44	6
Prev Yr	9	16	27	41	7

S	Sorghum Condition by					
		Perc	ent			
	VP	Р	F	G	EX	
со	5	18	29	45	3	
KS	2	5	35	45	13	
NE	0	0	16	71	13	
ок	1	3	39	50	7	
SD	0	0	19	71	10	
тх	4	10	29	41	16	
6 Sts	3	7	31	46	13	
Prev Wk	3	5	34	50	8	
Prev Yr	3	7	35	47	8	

## Week Ending July 7, 2024

Weekly U.S. Progress and Condition Data provided by USDA/NASS

Winter Wheat Percent Harvested						
	Prev	Prev	Jul 7	5-Yr		
	Year	Week	2024	Avg		
AR	96	94	99	97		
CA	47	45	60	72		
со	1	23	51	18		
ID	1	0	1	1		
IL	86	89	93	85		
IN	55	64	84	59		
KS	55	80	92	72		
МІ	2	3	31	3		
МО	93	92	95	86		
МТ	0	0	0	0		
NE	9	13	28	16		
NC	91	86	95	89		
он	24	49	88	46		
ок	91	100	100	96		
OR	4	0	4	4		
SD	7	0	9	4		
тх	91	87	92	93		
WA	1	0	2	1		
18 Sts	43	54	63	52		
These 18 States harvested 89%						

of last year's winter wheat acreage.

Barley Percent Headed						
	Prev	Prev	Jul 7	5-Yr		
	Year	Week	2024	Avg		
ID	72	51	70	72		
MN	77	55	70	75		
мт	41	32	47	49		
ND	62	30	56	58		
WA	92	72	82	87		
5 Sts	56	38	56	59		
These 5 States planted 84%						
of last year's barley acreage.						

Barley Condition by					
		Feit	ent		
	VP	Р	F	G	EX
ID	0	2	18	75	5
MN	0	1	19	71	9
мт	0	5	37	56	2
ND	0	2	20	66	12
WA	3	6	35	52	4
5 Sts	0	3	27	64	6
Prev Wk	1	4	31	60	4
Prev Yr	2	7	39	47	5

Rice Percent Headed						
	Prev	Prev	Jul 7	5-Yr		
	Year	Week	2024	Avg		
AR	13	6	22	5		
CA	14	10	15	15		
LA	65	49	60	58		
MS	48	11	40	26		
МО	19	1	3	5		
тх	58	65	78	60		
6 Sts	27	18	31	20		
These 6 States planted 100%						
of last year's rice acreage.						

Spring Wheat Percent Headed					
	Prev	Prev	Jul 7	5-Yr	
	Year	Week	2024	Avg	
ID	78	39	74	73	
MN	83	61	76	73	
мт	56	33	58	47	
ND	60	29	49	56	
SD	93	66	82	82	
WA	96	71	84	87	
6 Sts	66	38	59	60	
These 6 States planted 100%					
of last year's spring wheat acreage.					

Oats Percent Headed						
	Prev	Prev	Jul 7	5-Yr		
	Year	Week	2024	Avg		
IA	99	93	95	95		
MN	81	65	77	79		
NE	92	90	95	95		
ND	47	32	48	49		
ОН	90	71	84	89		
PA	89	56	75	76		
SD	97	75	90	85		
тх	100	100	100	100		
WI	83	75	84	78		
9 Sts	84	74	83	82		
These 9 States planted 66%						
of last vear's oat acreage.						

Rice Condition by							
Percent							
	VP P F G EX						
AR	1	2	19	54	24		
CA	0	0	10	75	15		
LA	1	0	10	79	10		
MS	0	1	39	51	9		
МО	2	7	14	76	1		
ТΧ	1	2	16	71	10		
6 Sts	1	2	16	64	17		
Prev Wk	1	2	15	67	15		
Prev Yr	1	3	20	61	15		

Spring Wheat Condition by							
Percent							
	VP P F G EX						
ID	0	4	29	64	3		
MN	0	1	11	77	11		
МТ	0	5	30	59	6		
ND	1	2	16	67	14		
SD	0	2	23	69	6		
WA	2	8	34	47	9		
6 Sts	1	3	21	65	10		
Prev Wk	1	3	24	61	11		
Prev Yr	4	12	37	45	2		

Oat Condition by							
Percent							
	VP	Ρ	F	G	EX		
IA	1	2	18	64	15		
MN	1	3	20	61	15		
NE	1	1	20	56	22		
ND	0	1	19	71	9		
он	0	0	18	77	5		
PA	1	2	9	80	8		
SD	0	2	16	67	15		
ТΧ	22	13	35	27	3		
WI	0	2	20	60	18		
9 Sts	6	5	22	56	11		
Prev Wk	6	5	22	57	10		
Prev Yr	6	9	38	43	4		

Week Ending July 7, 2024

Weekly U.S. Progress and Condition Data provided by USDA/NASS

Pasture and Range Condition by Percent Week Ending Jul 7, 2024											
	VP	Р	F	G	EX		VP	Р	F	G	EX
AL	1	6	39	51	3	NH	0	0	11	89	0
AZ	39	17	32	12	0	NJ	4	7	52	36	1
AR	3	13	33	45	6	NM	22	34	33	9	2
CA	0	0	65	30	5	NY	0	1	14	66	19
СО	7	23	24	40	6	NC	9	48	33	10	0
СТ	0	0	30	70	0	ND	1	3	18	61	17
DE	16	18	39	24	3	ОН	0	8	38	50	4
FL	0	7	29	40	24	ок	3	7	37	48	5
GA	15	23	35	24	3	OR	4	25	21	35	15
ID	0	5	23	47	25	PA	2	4	24	62	8
IL	3	8	23	52	14	RI	0	0	25	75	0
IN	4	9	35	46	6	SC	11	31	41	16	1
IA	2	3	22	55	18	SD	1	6	28	44	21
KS	3	7	28	48	14	TN	6	16	36	37	5
KY	3	8	30	50	9	тх	13	21	32	27	7
LA	0	3	31	59	7	UT	2	2	10	74	12
ME	0	0	21	79	0	VT	0	0	7	32	61
MD	16	21	34	27	2	VA	18	31	38	13	0
MA	0	0	25	75	0	WA	0	18	57	22	3
МІ	0	1	18	51	30	wv	4	44	28	18	6
MN	2	4	17	51	26	wi	2	7	22	44	25
MS	2	12	32	49	5	WY	7	20	41	32	0
мо	0	1	19	70	10	48 Sts	9	16	31	36	8
МТ	4	14	28	46	8						
NE	1	2	28	55	14	Prev Wk	<b>9</b>	14	29	39	9
NV	15	20	20	25	20	Prev Yr	8	15	30	37	10

VP - Very Poor; P - Poor; F - Fair; G - Good; EX - Excellent

NA - Not Available \* Revised

### Week Ending July 7, 2024

Weekly U.S. Progress and Condition Data provided by USDA/NASS



### Week Ending July 7, 2024

Weekly U.S. Progress and Condition Data provided by USDA/NASS



## **International Weather and Crop Summary**

June 30 - July 6, 2024

International Weather and Crop Highlights and Summaries provided by USDA/WAOB

### HIGHLIGHTS

**EUROPE:** A wet first half of the week over much of Europe gave way to a building southeastern heat wave by the end of the period.

**WESTERN FSU**: An intensifying heat wave over eastern and southern growing areas juxtaposed with more heavy rain in the west and north.

**EASTERN FSU**: Cool and very wet weather overspread the spring grain belt, while seasonably sunny skies in Uzbekistan and Turkmenistan favored wheat harvesting and cotton development.

**MIDDLE EAST:** Showers in Turkey provided muchneeded soil moisture for reproductive summer crops and tempered the recent protracted spell of very hot weather.

**SOUTH ASIA:** The southwest monsoon circulation reached its fullest extent, producing widespread showers throughout much of the region.

**EAST ASIA:** Drier weather in southern China provided some relief from excessive wetness, while wetter weather on the North China Plain eased developing drought.

**SOUTHEAST ASIA:** Patchy rainfall returned to portions of Indochina, while widespread showers continued in the Philippines.

**AUSTRALIA:** Widespread showers in the east helped sustain good to excellent early-season winter crop prospects.

**ARGENTINA**: Cool, sunny weather promoted seasonal fieldwork.

**BRAZIL:** Warm, dry weather favored maturing corn and cotton.

**MEXICO:** Tropical Storm Chris and Hurricane Beryl made landfall at different locations along the Gulf Coast.

**CANADIAN PRAIRIES:** Milder-than-normal weather maintained a relatively slow rate of spring crop development.

**SOUTHEASTERN CANADA:** Summer warmth hastened development of summer crops and pastures.







#### EUROPE

Widespread rainfall returned to most of Europe before a developing heat wave arrived late in the period in southeastern portions of the continent. After last week's welcome drier weather, moderate to heavy showers and thunderstorms (10-70 mm, locally more) in England, France, and Germany slowed winter crop drydown and renewed concerns over quality and yield losses. The rain extended eastward across much of eastern Europe, boosting moisture supplies for vegetative (north) to reproductive (center and south) corn, sunflowers, and soybeans. However, Hungary and parts of the lower

Balkans remained mostly dry (10 mm or less), leaving summer crops especially vulnerable to the building heat. While cool weather prevailed over central and eastern Europe for much of the week, higher temperatures (35°C or greater) at the end of the period in Greece and the Balkans signaled the arrival of untimely heat. The severity, extent, and duration of the heat wave will ultimately determine yield impacts, though tasseling to silking corn was approaching peak temperature sensitivity while sunflowers and soybeans were still relatively early in the flowering stages of development.



#### WESTERN FSU

An intensifying heat wave over eastern and southern croplands contrasted with additional moderate to heavy rain in western and northern growing areas. Widespread, locally heavy showers and thunderstorms maintained abundant moisture supplies for vegetative to reproductive summer crops in western Ukraine (25-105 mm), Belarus (20-70 mm), and northwestern Russia (15-55 mm). Conversely, scorching heat developed from southeastern Ukraine into central and southern Russia; daytime highs reached 38°C in eastern Ukraine and northern portions of

Russia's Southern District and topped 40°C in the North Caucasus District's Stavropol Oblast. The heat accelerated corn into or through the tasseling stage and toward silking at week's end, the peak temperature-sensitive stage of development. Similarly, sunflowers and soybeans proceeded rapidly through the budding and blooming stages, respectively. Consequently, summer crops were rapidly approaching or entering the key yield formation stages and were increasingly vulnerable to deleterious impacts from the heat.

EASTERN FSU Total Precipitation(mm) June 30 - July 6, 2024



#### EASTERN FSU

Wet and mostly cool weather in the spring grain belt contrasted with seasonably sunny skies over cotton areas to the south. Rain totaled 10 to 75 mm across northern Kazakhstan and adjacent portions of central Russia, maintaining abundant moisture supplies for vegetative to reproductive spring grains. In addition, the clouds and rain were accompanied by near- to below-normal temperatures (up to 4°C below normal in central Kazakhstan), which slowed crop growth. Across northeastern Kazakhstan and east-central Russia, moderate to very heavy rain (25-140 mm) maintained abundant to excessive soil moisture for vegetative spring wheat and sunflowers. Farther south across the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS), seasonably dry and relatively cool weather (upper 30s to lower 40s degrees C, up to 3°C below normal) favored the development of flowering cotton. However, additional late-season rain (15-60 mm) continued in the watersheds of the Syr and Amu Darya Rivers, boosting irrigation reserves for cotton and other summer crops.



#### **MIDDLE EAST**

A slow-moving upper-air low over Turkey produced widespread showers and somewhat cooler temperatures. The low drifted eastward from the Aegean Sea across the Anatolian Plateau, generating showers and thunderstorms in the west (10-55 mm) and along the Black Sea Coast. The moisture stabilized prospects for reproductive sunflowers (Marmara) and cotton (Aegean Region). The

storm system also produced up to 90 mm of rainfall in the Armenian Highlands of eastern Turkey, boosting irrigation supplies for summer crops grown in the GAP Region of southeastern Turkey. Temperatures in Turkey averaged within 2°C of normal during the monitoring period, with excessive heat (40°C or greater) not as widespread or prevalent as previous weeks.

SOUTH ASIA Total Precipitation(mm) June 30 - July 6, 2024



SOUTH ASIA

The southwest monsoon circulation reached its fullest extent, encompassing all of India and reaching into Pakistan. As such, widespread showers were prevalent in key kharif crop areas. Rainfall totals topped 100 mm locally in cotton and oilseed reaches of Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, and Gujarat and well surpassed 100 mm in eastern rice areas that had experienced early-season dryness. In fact, some northeastern locales (including Bangladesh and Nepal) recorded over 300 mm of rain, causing damaging flooding. Meanwhile, showers (25-100 mm or more) in northern India and adjacent portions of Pakistan bolstered irrigation supplies for cotton and rice while also abating searing heat that had plagued these areas; temperatures dropped below 35°C for the first time since May 1 in northern India. Corn and soybean planting in India were advancing at a faster pace than last year, while most other crops were lagging.

EASTERN ASIA Total Precipitation(mm) June 30 - July 6, 2024



#### **EASTERN ASIA**

Deluges of the past few weeks in southern China gave way to somewhat drier weather during the current period. Persistent downpours in parts of southern China since mid-June led to reports of rainfall totals in excess of 800 mm (a 30-year record for the period) and crop-damaging flooding. However, rainfall eased during the current reporting period, with drier weather providing some relief from the excessive wetness. At the same time, consistent rainfall (25-100 mm, locally more) paraded across the North China Plain, easing the extreme heat and dryness of the last four to six weeks. The wet weather also extended into northeastern provinces, benefiting corn and soybeans nearing reproduction, as well as onto the Korean Peninsula and southern Japan; flooding was likely in North Korea where the highest totals were recorded (300 mm or more). Elsewhere, after a bout of stressful heat in western China (Xinjiang), temperatures dipped below-average (4°C below average), stabilizing conditions for reproductive cotton.



#### SOUTHEAST ASIA

Monsoon showers in Indochina became patchy once more following last week's widespread downpours. In Thailand, northeastern rain-fed rice areas and irrigated areas in the Central Plains continued to benefit from 25 to 50 mm (or more). However, some locales in the north recorded less than 25 mm of rain or none at all. The limited northern rainfall has prevented replenishment of reservoirs following the dry season and likely necessitated restricted irrigation in some places. In contrast, showers have been consistent throughout the Philippines, with most regions receiving 25 to 50 mm during the most recent week and several locales topping 100 mm. The continued rainfall maintained near-normal seasonal (since May 1) totals and favored rice, corn, and other crops. Elsewhere, moisture conditions remained favorable for oil palm in Malaysia and Indonesia with another round of showers between 25 and 75 mm.



Scattered, mostly light showers (generally less than 10 mm) in the south and west maintained local moisture supplies for wheat, barley, and canola. The rain continued to benefit crop establishment, but additional follow-up rain would be welcome to further fill the soil moisture profile after the very dry start to the growing season. In the east, more widespread and abundant showers (5-25 mm) covered

eastern Victoria, New South Wales, and southern Queensland, helping to sustain good to excellent earlyseason winter crop prospects. Maximum temperatures topped off in the middle and upper 10s (degrees C) in most parts of the wheat belt, except southern Queensland and far northern New South Wales, where maxima crept into the lower and middle 20s. ARGENTINA



#### ARGENTINA

Cool albeit sunny weather favored drydown and harvesting of summer crops and planting of winter grains. Weekly temperatures averaged 1 to 2°C below normal in the main southern and eastern agricultural delegations (La Pampa and Buenos Aires northeastward to southern Paraguay) and near normal in the northwest. Freezes were recorded throughout much of the country, with nighttime lows reaching -5°C or lower as far north as Santa Fe. Nearcomplete dryness accompanied the cold, allowing fieldwork to occur despite the low soil temperatures. According to the government of Argentina, wheat and barley were 80 and 76 percent planted, respectively, as of July 4; meanwhile, corn and cotton were 73 and 71 percent harvested, respectively.



#### BRAZIL

Warm, seasonably dry weather spurred rapid drydown and harvesting of corn and cotton in key production areas of central and northeastern Brazil. According to the government of Mato Grosso, corn was 76 percent harvested as of July 5, more than 25 points ahead of the 5-year average pace, while cotton harvesting was off to a slower start (2 percent harvested versus 7 percent on average). Farther south, rain was widely scattered and light, with few non-coastal locations reporting more than 2 mm. Weekly average temperatures ranged from 2°C above

normal in Mato Grosso do Sul to 2°C below normal in Rio Grande do Sul, with freezes reported as far north as southeastern Paraná. According to the government of Paraná, second-crop corn was 53 percent harvested as of June 24; meanwhile, wheat was 96 percent planted, and 25 percent of the emerged crop had flowered. In Rio Grande do Sul, wheat was 69 percent planted as of June 27, compared with 82 percent last year and the 5-year average of 85 percent, with delays noted in areas still experiencing unfavorably wet soils.



#### MEXICO

Two tropical storm systems brought heavy rain and damaging winds to locations close to the Gulf of Mexico. On July 1, Tropical Storm Chris made landfall in Veracruz with maximum sustained winds of 35 knots before moving inland and dissipating. On July 5, following a long journey across the Caribbean Sea, Hurricane Beryl struck the shores of Quintana Roo with sustained winds of 95 knots, weaking to a tropical storm (sustained winds of 55 knots) before re-emerging in the Gulf of Mexico and tracking toward the United States. Both storms contributed to heavy rain (100-200 mm, locally higher) in states in close proximity to the Gulf Coast. Moreover, the remnants of Chris generated more moderate to heavy showers (25-100 mm) across the southern plateau (Puebla to Jalisco), and moisture from the storm eventually became entrained in the monsoon circulation. Moderate to heavy rain (25-100 mm, locally higher) stretched from Nayarit northward through Sonora and western Chihuahua, providing several locations with their heaviest totals thus far in the season.

CANADIAN PRAIRIES Total Precipitation(mm) June 30 - July 6, 2024



#### **CANADIAN PRAIRIES**

Mild, showery weather continued, maintaining adequate to locally excessive levels of moisture for crop development. The heaviest rainfall (25-50 mm, locally higher) was concentrated over Manitoba, but similar amounts were scattered throughout Saskatchewan. According to government reporting, farmers in Manitoba experienced impacts from the stormy weather during the week ending July 2 that included visible water stress on crops and an increased need to treat for pests and diseases in challenging conditions. Weekly average temperatures varied from 1 to 2°C above normal at the northern edge of the Prairie farm belt to 1 to 2°C below normal along the United States border, with highest daytime temperatures mostly confined to the middle 20s (degrees C) regionwide. Despite the cooling trend, nighttime lows mostly stayed above 5°C and no freezes were reported.





Warm, sunny weather favored summer crop development while also supporting late planting activities. Weekly average temperatures ranged from near normal in Ontario's Interlake farming areas to as much as 3°C above normal in southern Quebec, with daytime highs reaching the upper 20s and lower 30s (degrees C) regionwide. Meanwhile, rainfall was mostly light, although pockets of moderate to heavy rain (15-50 mm) were reported in Quebec and Ontario's eastern and northern agricultural districts. The relatively drier conditions elsewhere in Ontario supported fieldwork that likely included late soybean planting and early harvesting of winter wheat.



The Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin (ISSN 0043-1974) is jointly prepared by the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). Publication began in 1872 as the Weekly Weather Chronicle. It is issued under general authority of the Act of January 12, 1895 (44-USC 213), 53rd Congress, 3rd Session. The contents may be redistributed freely with proper credit.

Correspondence to the meteorologists should be directed to: *Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin*, NOAA/USDA, Joint Agricultural Weather Facility, USDA South Building, Room 4443B, Washington, DC 20250.

Internet URL: <u>www.usda.gov/oce/weather-drought-monitor</u> E-mail address: <u>brad.rippey@usda.gov</u>

An archive of past Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletins can be found at https://usda.library.cornell.edu/, keyword search "Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin".

### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

World Agricultural Outlook Board

Managing Editor	
Production Editor	Brian Morris (202) 720-3062
International Editor	Mark Brusberg (202) 720-2012
Agricultural Weather Analysts	Harlan Shannon
	and Eric Luebehusen

#### **National Agricultural Statistics Service**

Agricultural Statistician and State Summaries Editor..... Irwin Anolik (202) 720-7621

#### **U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Weather Service/Climate Prediction Center Meteorologists......Brad Pugh, Adam Allgood, and Rich Tinker

USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer. To file a complaint of discrimination, write: USDA, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, Office of Adjudication, 1400 Independence Ave., SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (866) 632-9992 (Toll-Free Customer Service), (800) 877-8339 (Local or Federal relay), (866) 377-8642 (Relay voice users).